

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC. and TWITTER, INC.  
Petitioner

v.

SUMMIT 6 LLC  
Patent Owner

---

Case: IPR2015-00685  
Patent No. 7,765,482

Title: Web-Based Media Submission Tool

---

**PATENT OWNER'S  
PRELIMINARY RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.107**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction.....	1
II.	Procedural History .....	3
	A. Patenting of the Inventions.....	3
	B. Litigation of the Patents .....	8
III.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art .....	9
IV.	Claim Construction.....	10
	A. “Pre-processing” .....	10
V.	Response to Ground of Challenge .....	14
	A. Overview of Creamer .....	14
	B. Petitioner Has Not Shown That Claims 1, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 25, and 51 Are Obvious Over Creamer.....	17
	1. Creamer does not teach or suggest “receiving an identification of a group of one or more items of digital content for transmission” (claims 1, 4, 6, 8, 10) or “receiving an identification of a group of one or more media objects for transmission” (claim 12), or “receiving an identification of a media object for” (claim 25). .....	17
	2. Creamer does not teach or suggest “pre-processing parameters including a specification of an amount of digital content” (claims 1, 4, 6, 8, 10) or “media data” (claim 12). .....	25
	3. Creamer does not teach or suggest “pre-processing said identified group of one or more items of digital content using pre-processing parameters” (claims 1, 4, 6, 8, 10) or “pre- processing said identified group of one or more media object[s] using [or in accordance with] said received pre-processing parameters.” (claims 12, 25) .....	28

4.	Creamer does not teach or suggest “pre-processing parameters controlling said client device in a placement of said identified group of one or more items of digital content into a specified form in preparation for publication to one or more devices.” (claims 1, 4, 6, 8, 10, 51) .....	30
5.	Creamer does not teach or suggest “receiving an identification of a plurality of items of digital content.” (claim 4) .....	33
C.	The Objective Secondary Factors Confirm that the Challenged Claims are Not Obvious.....	35
1.	Long-Felt but Unresolved Need .....	36
2.	Commercial Success and Licensing .....	40
a.	Substantial Evidence of Commercial Success and Licensing Supports a Finding of Non-Obviousness.....	40
b.	The Commercial Success is Attributable to the Technology and Inventions Disclosed in Summit 6’s Patented Technology. ....	43
3.	Industry Praise.....	46
VI.	Petitioners Claims are Redundant and Lack Any Meaningful Distinction Between Prior Art References. ....	48
VII.	Conclusion .....	52

### EXHIBIT LIST

- Exhibit 2001 [PROTECTIVE ORDER MATERIAL] RIM–Summit 6 License and Settlement Agreement (executed October 17, 2012).
- Exhibit 2002 [PROTECTIVE ORDER MATERIAL] Facebook–Summit 6 License and Settlement Agreement (February 8, 2013).
- Exhibit 2003 Jury Charge and Verdict Form, *Summit 6 LLC v. Research in Motion Corp. et al.*, Civil Action No. 3:11-cv-00367-O (N.D.Tex., April 5, 2013).
- Exhibit 2004 Excerpts of Trial Testimony of Dr. Mark Jones, *Summit 6 LLC v. Research in Motion Corp. et al.*, Civil Action No. 3:11-cv-00367-O, N.D.Tex. (April 4, 2013).
- Exhibit 2005 Summit6-1876—Correspondence, T. Anderson to H. Latham of Moore Data Management Services (September 15, 1998).
- Exhibit 2006 Summit6-4346—Correspondence, T. Anderson to J. Graff of RealSelect, Inc., Proposal to integrate Rimfire system with Realtor.com (April 26, 1999).
- Exhibit 2007 Summit6-1606—Press Release, “New Prepare and Post Technology from PictureWorks Technology, Inc. Revolutionizes Use of Photos in Internet Marketing of Real Estate,” (November 7, 1998).
- Exhibit 2008 Summit6-1962—Press Release, “Moore Data Management Services and PictureWorks Technology, Inc., Announce Partnership to Revolutionize Use of Real Estate Photos on the Internet,” (Business Wire, November 6, 1998).
- Exhibit 2009 Excerpts of Trial Testimony of Lisa Wood, *Summit 6 LLC v. Research in Motion Corp. et al.*, Civil Action No. 3:11-cv-00367-O, N.D.Tex. (March 29, 2013).
- Exhibit 2010 Rimfire Functional Specification Version 1.0 Core Feature Set, revision 4 (April 12, 1999).
- Exhibit 2011 Summit6-4341—Press Release, “PictureWorks Technology’s PictureBay Solves #1 Frustration of eBay Members, Adding Pictures to Auctions,” (April 12, 1999).

- Exhibit 2012 Summit6-2415—pBay Marketing Plan (PictureWorks Technology, Inc., March 24, 1999).
- Exhibit 2013 Summit6-5246—Press Release “PictureWorks Technology's Auction Photo Service, Picturebay, Serves Over Two Million Images to eBay, Amazon.com and Auction Universe,” (August 3, 1999).
- Exhibit 2014 White Paper, “Rimfire: The End-to-End Imaging Solution for Content Capture and Delivery,” (Internet Pictures Corporation, 2000).
- Exhibit 2015 Market Study, “Image Servers - Early Adopter Case Studies,” (Tony Henning and Future Image, Inc., 2001).
- Exhibit 2016 Summit6-5178—Excerpts of Woerner et al., “eBay for Dummies,” (IDG Books Worldwide, Inc., 1999).
- Exhibit 2017 Press Release, “iPIX Acquisition Locks up Internet Picture Market,” (March 9, 2000).
- Exhibit 2018 Press Release, “iPIX to Acquire PictureWorks Technology, Inc. to form End-to-End Internet Imaging Solutions Company,” (PictureWorks Technology, Inc., March 8, 2000 [Exhibit 99.1 to 8-k filing]).
- Exhibit 2019 iPIX Presentation, “Enhancing Classified Advertising with Visual Data,” (Don Strickland and Sarah Pate, October 2, 2003).
- Exhibit 2020 [PROTECTIVE ORDER MATERIAL] Confidential Information Memorandum, “AdMission” (Swiftsure Capital LLC, December 13, 2004).
- Exhibit 2021 [PROTECTIVE ORDER MATERIAL] Amendment No. 3 to the Visual Content Services Agreement Between eBay and iPIX (June 27, 2003).
- Exhibit 2022 Excerpts of Trial Testimony of Scott Lewis, *Summit 6 LLC v. Research in Motion Corp. et al.*, Civil Action No. 3:11-cv-00367-O, N.D.Tex. (April 1, 2013).

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.