	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
2	BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
3	
4	APPLE, INC AND TWITTER
5	Petitioners,
6	v.
7	SUMMIT 6
8	Patent Owner.
9	
LO	Case IPR2015
L1	Patent 00685, 686, 687 and 688.
L2	
L3	
L4	Before HOWARD B. BLANKENSHIP, KERRY BEGLEY and
L 5	GEORGIANNA W. BRADEN, Administrative Patent Judges
L 6	
L 7	
L 8	
L 9	Job No. 2031172
2 0	Pages 1 - 10
21	Reporter: Donna M. Lewis, RPR, CSR
22	



Page 2 APPEARANCES 1 2 ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER: 3 STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC BY: JASON D. EISENBERG, ESQUIRE 5 6 ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER: 7 LEE & HAYES, PLLC BY: PETER J. AYERS, ESQUIRE 8 REID JOHNSON, ESQUIRE 9 BRIAN MANGUM, ESQUIRE 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 Veritext Legal Solutions 19 Mid-Atlantic Region 1250 Eye Street NW - Suite 1201 20 Washington, D.C. 20005 21 22



Page 3

1 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S.

JUDGE BLANKENSHIP: This is Judge

Blankenship from the P tab (phonetic). Also on

are Judges Begley and Braden. This call concerns

cases of IPR2015-000685, 686, 687 and 688. Is

MR. AYERS: Yes, he is, Your Honor.

counsel for patent owner on the line?

8 Peter Ayers for Patent owner 76.

JUDGE BLANKENSHIP: All right. Counsel for Petitioner.

MR. EISENBERG: Yes, Your Honor. This
is Jason Eisenberg for Petitioner.

JUDGE BLANKENSHIP: All right. Is there a court reporter on the line?

THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. Donna Lewis the court reporter.

JUDGE BLANKENSHIP: Who is providing the court reporter?

MR. EISENBERG: The Petitioner, Your Honor.

JUDGE BLANKENSHIP: All right. Can you see that a copy of the transcript is filed in



6

7

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

Page 4

these cases when it is available?

MR. EISENBERG: Yes sir.

JUDGE BLANKENSHIP: All right. We understand the Patent owner seeks authorization to file a motion to stay in ex parte reexamination 90012987. Patent owner, can you tell us why a motion to stay is appropriate?

MR. AYERS: Yes, Your Honor. It is appropriate in this case because that reexamination involves some of the same patents -- the same -- one of the same patents that is at issue in nine -- or several of these IPRs challenging the same claims based on the same identical prior art as presented in these IPRs. And we just think given that the patent owner is now -- it is not just these four IPRs, there have actually been a total of ten IPRs file against three related patents. In nine of those involve the two prime -- the two sole prior art references that are being asserted in the reexam. We just think that it would be much more efficient for that matter to be stayed to allow us to -- the



2.

2.1

Page 5

record to be developed in the IPRs because the same issues will be presented, namely the scope of the patent, the 482 patent in particular, some claim construction issues are presented in the scope of what the prior art teaches in the Mattus (phonetic) and Gregler (phonetic) references that are being -- that form the basis for their reexamination are also the basis for or at issue in nine of the ten IPRs. And we just requested this call in connection with four of those that specifically challenged the 4A2. But the Kramer and Mathis patents or references are also being asserted as the basis for invalidity in the 681, 683, 806 and 807 IPRs which involve either the same 4A2 patent or two related patents. So we are going to be having to vet these same issues in nine of the ten IPRs. And we just think that that is inefficient if nothing else but -- so we would request that that reexam be stayed and that these issues be vetted in the inter parties review where the full record can be developed and we can conserve our resources in defending against all of



1

2.

3

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

