

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

GOOGLE INC.  
Petitioner

v.

AT HOME BONDHOLDERS' LIQUIDATING TRUST  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2015-00662<sup>1</sup>  
Patent 6,014,698

---

**GOOGLE INC.'S UNOPPOSED MOTION *FOR PRO HAC VICE*  
ADMISSION OF MATTHIAS A. KAMBER UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)**

***Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"***  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board

---

<sup>1</sup> Case IPR2015-00666 has been consolidated with this proceeding.

**I. RELIEF REQUESTED**

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), and as authorized in the Board's Notice of Filing Date issued February 24, 2015 (Paper 3), Petitioner Google Inc. (“Google”) respectfully requests the *pro hac vice* admission of Matthias A. Kamber in this proceeding. Patent Owner has indicated that it will not oppose this Motion.

**II. GOVERNING LAWS, RULES, AND PRECEDENT**

Section 42.10(c) states as follows:

The Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner and to any other conditions as the Board may impose. For example, where the lead counsel is a registered practitioner, a motion to appear *pro hac vice* by counsel who is not a registered practitioner may be granted upon showing that counsel is an experienced litigating attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.

As stated in the Board's February 24, 2015, Notice of Filing Date, any motion for *pro hac vice* admission under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c) must be filed in accordance with the guidance specified in the “Order Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission” entered in IPR2013-00639 (Paper 7). According to that

guidance, *pro hac vice* motions can be filed “no sooner than (21) days after service of the petition.”

### III. STATEMENT OF FACTS

Based on the following facts, and supported by the Affidavit of Mr. Kamber (GOOG 1029) submitted herewith, Petitioner requests the *pro hac vice* admission of Matthias A. Kamber in this proceeding:

1. Petitioner’s lead counsel, Michelle K. Holoubek, is a registered practitioner (Reg. No. 54,179).
2. Mr. Kamber is a partner of the law firm Keker & Van Nest LLP. (GOOG 1029, ¶3.)
3. Mr. Kamber is an experienced litigation attorney. Mr. Kamber has been litigating patent cases for nearly 13 years. Mr. Kamber has been practicing law since 2002 and has extensive experience litigating patent infringement cases in many different district courts across the United States. (*Id.* at ¶4.) Among his experience in patent litigation matters, Mr. Kamber has been lead counsel in multiple trials, *Markman* hearings, patent summary judgment proceedings, and other patent-related hearings and pleadings concerning, *inter alia*, patent validity and infringement issues. (*Id.* at ¶5.)
4. Mr. Kamber has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding. Mr. Kamber is Petitioner Google Inc.’s trial counsel

MOTION FOR *PRO HAC VICE* ADMISSION OF MATTHIAS A. KAMBER  
IPR2015-00662

against the Patent Owner in its co-pending district court litigation, *Williamson v. Google Inc.*, No. 3:15-cv-00966-JD (N.D. Cal. March 3, 2015), which was originally filed in the District of Delaware (Case No. 1:14-cv-00216-GMS) on February 19, 2014 before getting transferred to the Northern District of California on March 2, 2015. (*Id.* at ¶12). As trial counsel for Petitioner, Mr. Kamber has been actively involved in all aspects of its district court litigation, including the issue of validity of the patents-in-suit that include the patent at issue in this proceeding. (*Id.*)

5. Mr. Kamber is a member in good standing of the District of Columbia Bar, the California State Bar, the New York State Bar, and the Massachusetts State Bar. (*Id.* at ¶6.)

6. Mr. Kamber has never been suspended or disbarred from practice before any court or administrative body. (*Id.*)

7. No application of Mr. Kamber for admission to practice before any court or administrative body has ever been denied. (*Id.* at ¶7.)

8. No sanctions or contempt citations have ever been imposed against Mr. Kamber by any court or administrative body. (*Id.* at ¶8.)

9. Mr. Kamber has read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations. (*Id.* at ¶9.)



MOTION FOR *PRO HAC VICE* ADMISSION OF MATTHIAS A. KAMBER  
IPR2015-00662

10. Mr. Kamber understands that he will be subject to the USPTO Code of Professional Responsibility set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et. seq.* and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a). (*Id.* at ¶10.)

11. Mr. Kamber has applied to appear *pro hac vice* before this Office in the following proceedings in the past three years: IPR2015-00196, IPR2015-00198, and IPR2015-00209 and was admitted to practice in these proceedings as back-up counsel on May 21, 2015. (*Id.* at ¶11.) Mr. Kamber is also currently seeking *pro hac vice* admission to represent petitioner Google Inc. in a related matter for case IPR2015-00657. (*Id.*) Otherwise, Mr. Kamber has not applied to appear *pro hac vice* in any other proceedings before the Office in the last three (3) years. (*Id.*)

12. This motion was filed no sooner than 21 days after service of the Petition in this proceeding, which occurred on February 2, 2015.

**IV. GOOD CAUSE EXISTS FOR THE *PRO HAC VICE* ADMISSION OF Mr. KAMBER IN THIS PROCEEDING**

The Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner and to any other conditions as the Board may impose. 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c). Petitioner's lead counsel, Michelle K. Holoubek, is a registered practitioner. Based on the facts contained herein, as supported by Mr. Kamber's

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.