

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE INC.,

Petitioner

v.

AT HOME BONDHOLDERS' LIQUIDATING TRUST

Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-No. 00662

U.S. Patent No. 6,014,698

PATENT OWNER'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR *PRO HAC VICE*
ADMISSION OF JARED BOBROW PURSUANT TO 37 § C.F.R. 42.10

I. RELIEF REQUESTED

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10, and as authorized in the Board's Notice of Filing Date Accorded to Petition (Paper 3) ("Authorizing Order"), entered on February 24, 2015, Patent Owner At Home Bondholders' Liquidating Trust requests that the Board admit Jared Bobrow *pro hac vice* in this proceeding involving U.S. Patent No. 6,014,698 ("the '698 patent").

Petitioner Google Inc. also filed four related petitions on the same day, February 2, 2015: one involving the same '698 patent (IPR2015-00666), and another three involving U.S. Patent No. 6,286,045 ("the '045 patent") (IPR2015-00657, IPR2015-00658 and IPR2015-00660). The '698 patent is a continuation-in-part of the '045 patent. Patent Owner has asserted both the '045 patent and the '698 patent against Petitioner Google in a pending district court litigation in the Northern District of California, *Richard A. Williamson, On Behalf of and as Trustee for At Home Bondholders' Liquidating Trust v. Google Inc.*, No. 3:15-cv-00966-JD.

II. GOVERNING LAW, RULES AND PRECEDENT

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), the Board:

may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner and to any other conditions as the Board may impose. For example, where the lead counsel is a registered practitioner, a motion to appear *pro hac vice* by counsel who is not a registered practitioner may be

granted upon showing that counsel is an experienced litigating attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.

37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c). The Authorizing Order requires that any motion for *pro hac vice* admission under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c) must be filed in accordance with the “Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission” entered in Case IPR2013-00639 (Authorizing Order at 2).

The Order in Case IPR2013-00639 requires that a Motion for *pro hac vice* Admission contain (1) “a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during the proceeding”; and (2) “an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear attesting to the following”:

- i. Membership in good standing of the Bar of at least one State or the District of Columbia;
- ii. No suspensions or disbarments from practice before any court or administrative body;
- iii. No application for admission to practice before any court or administrative body ever denied;
- iv. No sanctions or contempt citations imposed by any court or administrative body;

- v. The individual seeking to appear has read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of 37 C.F.R.;
- vi. The individual will be subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 et. seq. and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a);
- vii. All other proceedings before the Office for which the individual has applied to appear *pro hac vice* in the last three (3) years; and
- viii. Familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.

III. STATEMENT OF FACTS

The facts, supported by the attached Declaration of Jared Bobrow in Support of Motion to Appear *Pro Hac Vice* ("Bobrow Decl."), establish good cause to admit Mr. Bobrow *pro hac vice* in this proceeding.

1. Jared Bobrow is an experienced litigating attorney. Mr. Bobrow has been a litigating attorney for more than 27 years. (Bobrow Decl. ¶ 1.) In particular, Mr. Bobrow has been litigating patent cases for approximately 25 of those years. (*Id.*)

2. Lead counsel Garland Stephens is a registered practitioner (Reg. No. 37,242). (*Id.* ¶2.) Back-up counsel Justin Constant is a registered practitioner

(Reg. 66,884). (*Id.*) With respect to this proceeding, Mr. Bobrow will work closely with the lead and back-up counsel. (*Id.*)

3. Mr. Bobrow is a member in good standing of the California State Bar, with no suspensions or disbarments from practice, nor any application for admission to practice denied, nor any sanctions or contempt citations, and is admitted to practice in the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit; California State Supreme Court; the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas; and the United States District Courts for the Central, Eastern, Northern and Southern Districts of California. (*Id.* ¶¶ 3-6.)

4. Mr. Bobrow is familiar with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding based on his work as lead counsel in the pending district court case *Richard A. Williamson, On Behalf of and as Trustee for At Home Bondholders' Liquidating Trust v. Google Inc.*, No. 3:15-cv-00966-JD (N.D. Cal. March 3, 2015), which involves the same patent at issue in this proceeding. (*Id.* ¶7.)

5. Mr. Bobrow has been actively involved in all aspects of the pending district court case, which was originally filed in the District of Delaware (Case No. 1:14-cv-00216-GMS) on February 19, 2014 before getting transferred to the

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.