

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

GOOGLE INC.  
Petitioner

v.

Patent of AT HOME BONDHOLDERS' LIQUIDATING TRUST  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2015-00660

U.S. Patent No. 6,286,045

Title: INFORMATION STORAGE AND DELIVERY OVER A COMPUTER  
NETWORK USING CENTRALIZED INTELLIGENCE TO MONITOR AND  
CONTROL THE INFORMATION BEING DELIVERED

---

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE  
UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.107**

## Table of Contents

I.	Introduction.....	1
A.	Summary of U.S. Patent No. 6,286,045 .....	1
B.	The invention of the 045 Patent was acknowledged as a solution to a long-felt, but poorly understood, problem .....	6
C.	The invention of the 045 Patent was widely adopted by industry .....	10
D.	Petitioner’s declarations do not make a prima facie case of invalidity.....	11
II.	Patent Owner’s claim constructions should be adopted.....	14
A.	“banner” (claims 49, 53, 58, 64, 69 and 71) .....	15
B.	“content general request signal” (claim 51).....	16
C.	“content specific request signal” (claim 52) .....	17
III.	Patent Owner’s definition of a person of ordinary skill in the art should be adopted .....	18
IV.	[GROUND 1] The Petition fails to show that claims 49, 51-53, 55-58, 64-67 and 70-71 are unpatentable based on Angles (GOOG 1012), Merriman (GOOG 1013), and HTTP1.0 (GOOG 1008).....	19
A.	Angles, Merriman, and HTTP1.0 do not disclose all of the elements of independent claims 49 and 64 .....	19
	(i) Angles, Merriman, and HTTP1.0 do not disclose the claimed request signal that includes information to prevent the signal from being blocked due to caching .....	19
	(ii) Angles, Merriman, and HTTP1.0 do not teach or suggest the use of two or more requests to retrieve a banner .....	25
B.	A POSA would not modify Angles and Merriman in a way that results in the claimed invention.....	30

C.	The Petition fails to demonstrate that dependent claims 51-53, 55-58, 65-67, and 70-71 are obvious .....	31
V.	[GROUND 2] The Petition Fails To Show That Claims 50 and 69 Are Unpatentable Based On Angles (GOOG 1012), Merriman (GOOG 1013), HTTP1.0 (GOOG 1008), and Davis (GOOG 1014) .....	32
VI.	[GROUND 3] The Petition Fails To Demonstrate That Claims 49-53 and 55-57 Are Unpatentable Based On Wexler (Ex. 1007) and HTTP 1.0 (Ex. 1008) .....	33
A.	Wexler and HTTP1.0 do not disclose all the elements of independent claim 49 .....	33
(i)	Neither Wexler nor HTTP 1.0 teach or suggest the use of two requests to retrieve a banner .....	33
(ii)	Neither Wexler nor HTTP1.0 teach or suggest “causing a first banner request signal to be transmitted from the device to a first server” of Claim 49 .....	37
B.	A POSA would not modify Wexler and HTTP1.0 in a way that results in the claimed invention.....	38
C.	The Petition fails to demonstrate that dependent Claims 50-53 and 55-57 are obvious .....	39
VII.	[GROUND 4] The Petition Fails To Demonstrate That Claims 58, 64-67, and 69-71 Are Unpatentable Based On Wexler (GOOG 1007), HTTP1.0 (GOOG 1008), and Meeker (GOOG 1010).....	41
A.	Wexler, HTTP1.0, and Meeker do not disclose all of the elements of independent claim 64.....	41
B.	A POSA would not modify Wexler, HTTP1.0, and Meeker in a way that results in the claimed invention.....	42
C.	The Petition fails to demonstrate that dependent claims 58, 65-67, and 69-71 are obvious .....	43
VIII.	CONCLUSION.....	45

**Table of Exhibits**

<b>AHBLT-(Exhibit #)</b>	<b>Description</b>
<b>AHBLT-2001</b>	Jason Fry, Network Caching Catches Flak From Some Content Providers, WALL ST. J. (Nov. 21, 1997), <a href="http://www.wsj.com/articles/SB876847891653286000">http://www.wsj.com/articles/SB876847891653286000</a>
<b>AHBLT-2002</b>	Seth Schiesel, Updates/Media and Technology; Software to Track Business Prospects By Web Visits, N.Y.TIMES (Oct. 6, 1997), <a href="http://www.nytimes.com/1997/10/06/business/updates-media-and-technology-software-to-track-business-prospects-by-web-visits.html">http://www.nytimes.com/1997/10/06/business/updates-media-and-technology-software-to-track-business-prospects-by-web-visits.html</a>
<b>AHBLT-2003</b>	Rick E. Bruner, Interactive: Media & Marketing: Matchlogic Service Solves Cache Problem, Advertising Age (Oct. 13, 1997), <a href="http://adage.com/article/news/interactive-media-marketing-matchlogic-service-solves-cache-problem/70523/">http://adage.com/article/news/interactive-media-marketing-matchlogic-service-solves-cache-problem/70523/</a>
<b>AHBLT-2004</b>	What is ABC, Audit Bureau of Circulations, <a href="http://www.auditbureau.org/about-what-is-abc.html">http://www.auditbureau.org/about-what-is-abc.html</a> (last visited May 17, 2015)
<b>AHBLT-2005</b>	Tom Shields, Internet Advertising Banner Counting Methodology (Oct. 23, 1998), <a href="https://web.archive.org/web/19990819024111/http://www.netgravity.com/standards/WD-countmethod-19981023.html">https://web.archive.org/web/19990819024111/http://www.netgravity.com/standards/WD-countmethod-19981023.html</a>
<b>AHBLT-2006</b>	Interactive Audience Measurement and Advertising Campaign Reporting and Audit Guidelines, Interactive Advertising Bureau (Sep. 2004), <a href="http://www.iab.net/media/file/US_meas_guidelines.pdf">http://www.iab.net/media/file/US_meas_guidelines.pdf</a>
<b>AHBLT-2007</b>	CGI Programming Guide on the World Wide Web, Shishir Gundavaram, (1st Ed., March 1996), <a href="http://www.oreilly.com/openbook/cgi/">http://www.oreilly.com/openbook/cgi/</a>

<b>AHBLT-2008</b>	DART White Paper Counting Methodologies, DoubleClick, July 12, 2001
<b>AHBLT-2009</b>	W3C httpd CGI/1.1 Script Support, World Wide Web Consortium (W3C) (July 1995), <a href="http://www.w3.org/Daemon/User/CGI/Overview.html">http://www.w3.org/Daemon/User/CGI/Overview.html</a>
<b>AHBLT-2010</b>	“conjunction” Merriam-Webster Online, <a href="http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/conjunction">http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/conjunction</a> (last visited May 17, 2015)
<b>AHBLT-2011</b>	HTML 4.0 Specification, Dave Raggett et. al. eds. (Dec. 1997), World Wide Web Consortium (W3C), <a href="http://www.w3.org/TR/REC-html40-971218/">http://www.w3.org/TR/REC-html40-971218/</a>
<b>AHBLT-2012</b>	We've officially acquired DoubleClick, Official Google Blog (Mar. 11, 2008), <a href="http://googleblog.blogspot.com/2008/03/weve-officially-acquired-doubleclick.html">http://googleblog.blogspot.com/2008/03/weve-officially-acquired-doubleclick.html</a>
<b>AHBLT-2013</b>	Duane Wessels, Web Caching, O'Reilly & Associates, Inc. (June 2001)

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.