

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

AT HOME BONDHOLDERS' LIQUIDATING TRUST,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-00657 (Patent 6,286,045 B1)¹
Case IPR2015-00658 (Patent 6,286,045 B1)
Case IPR2015-00660 (Patent 6,286,045 B1)
Case IPR2015-00662 (Patent 6,014,698)
Case IPR2015-00666 (Patent 6,014,698)

Before MIRIAM L. QUINN, KARL D. EASTHOM, and
JUSTIN T. ARBES, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

QUINN, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION

Patent Owner's Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Mr. Jared Bobrow
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

¹ This Order addresses issues that are identical in the listed cases. We exercise our discretion to issue a single paper to be filed in each case. The parties are not authorized to use this style heading for any subsequent papers.

IPR2015-00657 (Patent 6,286,045 B1)
IPR2015-00658 (Patent 6,286,045 B1)
IPR2015-00660 (Patent 6,286,045 B1)
IPR2015-00662 (Patent 6,014,698)
IPR2015-00666 (Patent 6,014,698)

Patent Owner has filed a Motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Jared Bobrow. Paper 8 (“Motion” or “Mot.”).² Patent Owner also filed a declaration of Mr. Bobrow in support of its Motion. Paper 9. Petitioner does not oppose the Motion. Paper 8, 5.

We have reviewed the Motion and the supporting declaration. Based on the statement of good cause set forth in the Motion and the facts averred in the declaration, we conclude that Mr. Bobrow has sufficient qualifications to represent Patent Owner in these proceeding and that there is a need for Patent Owner to have its counsel in the related district-court cases involved in these proceedings. *See Unified Patents v. Parallel Iron*, Case IPR2013-00639 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (setting forth the requirements for *pro hac vice* admission) (Paper 7). Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), Mr. Bobrow will be permitted to appear *pro hac vice* in these proceedings as back-up counsel *only*.

Order

It is

ORDERED that Patent Owner’s Motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Jared Bobrow in these proceedings is granted;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Bobrow is authorized to represent Patent Owner as back-up counsel in these proceedings;

² The Motion and accompanying papers are identical in all proceedings listed in the caption. Accordingly, for ease of reference, we refer to the papers filed in the first case: IPR2015-00657.

IPR2015-00657 (Patent 6,286,045 B1)
IPR2015-00658 (Patent 6,286,045 B1)
IPR2015-00660 (Patent 6,286,045 B1)
IPR2015-00662 (Patent 6,014,698)
IPR2015-00666 (Patent 6,014,698)

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner is to continue to have a registered practitioner as lead counsel in these proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Bobrow is to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Title 37, Part 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Bobrow is subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.* and the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a).

PETITIONER:

Michelle Holoubek (Lead Counsel)
Michael Messinger (Back-up Counsel)
mhoubek-PTAB@skgf.com
mikem-PTAB@skgf.com
Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein & Fox
1100 New York Ave., N.W.
Washington DC 20005

PATENT OWNER:

Garland Stephens (Lead Counsel)
Justin Constant (Back-up Counsel)
garland.stephens@weil.com
Justin.costant@weil.com
Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP
700 Louisiana, Suite 1700
Houston TX 77002