

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

NIKE, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

MAYFONK ATHLETIC, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-00655 & Case IPR2015-00656
(Patent 8,860,584 B1)¹

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, KARL D. EASTHOM,
and JASON J. CHUNG, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

EASTHOM, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

JUDGMENT

Termination of the Proceeding
35 U.S.C. § 317
37 C.F.R. §§ 42.72, 42.73, 42.74

¹ This Judgment is entered in each identified case.

The parties filed a joint motion in each case to terminate each proceeding (“Motion”) and a true copy of their written settlement agreement in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(b) and 35 U.S.C. § 317(b). Paper 10; Ex. 1014. The Motion states that “the parties have settled their dispute as to” U.S. Patent No. 8,860,584. Paper 10, 2. The Board does not have before it full briefing on the trial issues, and has not entered a final decision. Accordingly, it is appropriate to enter judgment terminating this proceeding, without rendering a final written decision. *See* 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.2; 42.72; 42.73(a).²

It is

ORDERED that the joint motion to terminate IPR2015-00655 and IPR2015-00656 is *granted*; and

FURTHER ORDERED that the proceeding is *terminated*.

PETITIONER:

Edward H. Sikorski
James M. Heintz
DLA Piper LLC (US)
Nike-Mayfonk-IPR@dlapiper.com
Nike-Mayfonk-IPR@dlapiper.com

PATENT OWNER:

Edward J. Benz
Naveen Modi
Paul Hastings LLP
joebenz@paulhastings.com
naveenmodi@paulhastings.com

² A judgment “means a final written decision by the Board, or a termination of a proceeding.” 37 C.F.R. § 42.2.