

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

OWENS CORNING,
Petitioner,

v.

FAST FELT CORPORATION,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2015-00650
U.S. Patent No. 8,137,757 B2

PETITIONER OWENS CORNING'S NOTICE OF APPEAL

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 141, 142, and 319, and in accordance with 37 C.F.R. §§ 90.2-3, Petitioner Owens Corning appeals to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit from the Final Written Decision of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (“Board”) entered on August 11, 2016 (Paper No. 32) (“Final Written Decision”), and from all underlying findings, determinations, rulings, opinions, orders and decisions regarding the *inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 8,137,757 (“’757 patent”). A copy of the Final Written Decision is attached.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 90.2(a)(3)(ii), Owens Corning states that the issues on appeal include, but are not limited to: the Board’s construction of the terms in claims 1, 2, 4, 6 and 7 of the ’757 patent; the Board’s determination that claims 1, 2, 4, 6 and 7 of the ’757 patent have not been shown by a preponderance of the evidence to be unpatentable as obvious by U.S. Patent No. 6,451,409 (“Lassiter”) in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,101,759 (“Hefele”); the Board’s determination that claims 1, 2, 4, and 6 of the ’757 patent have not been shown by a preponderance of the evidence to be unpatentable as obvious by Lassiter in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,597,618 (“Bayer”); the Board’s determination that claims 1, 2, 4, 6 and 7 of the ’757 patent have not been shown by a preponderance of the evidence to be unpatentable as obvious by Lassiter in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,875,710 (“Eaton”); the Board’s consideration of the level of skill in the art, expert testimony, prior art, the state of the art, and other evidence in the record;