

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. and
AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS LLC,
Petitioners,

v.

YEDA RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CO. LTD.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-00643 (Patent 8,232,250 B2)
Case IPR2015-00644 (Patent 8,399,413 B2)
Case IPR2015-00830 (Patent 8,969,302 B2)¹

Before ZHENYU YANG, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER

Patent Owner's Motions for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

¹ Cases IPR2015-01976, IPR2015-01980, and IPR2015-01981 have been joined with IPR2015-00643, IPR2015-00644, and IPR2015-00830, respectively. This order addresses issues that are common to each referenced case. We, therefore, issue a single order that has been entered in each case. For convenience, paper numbers refer to those filed in IPR2015-00643. The parties may use this style caption when filing a single paper in multiple proceedings, provided that such caption includes a footnote attesting that "the word-for-word identical paper is filed in each proceeding identified in the caption."

Case IPR2015-00643 (Patent 8,232,250 B2)

Case IPR2015-00644 (Patent 8,399,413 B2)

Case IPR2015-00830 (Patent 8,969,302 B2)

Patent Owner has filed unopposed Motions for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of John T. Bennett, Daryl L. Wiesen, and Nicholas K. Mitrokostas. Papers 29, 31, 33. The Motions are supported by the Declarations of Messrs. Bennett, Wiesen, and Mitrokostas. Exs. 2136–38.

The Board has reviewed the submissions and determined that the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 42.10 have been met and there is good cause to admit Messrs. Bennett, Wiesen, and Mitrokostas *pro hac vice* in each proceeding.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED that Patent Owner’s Motions for *pro hac vice* admission of John T. Bennett, Daryl L. Wiesen, and Nicholas K. Mitrokostas are granted in each proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Messrs. Bennett, Wiesen, and Mitrokostas shall comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board’s Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of the C.F.R.; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Messrs. Bennett, Wiesen, and Mitrokostas shall be subject to the Office’s disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a) and the Office’s Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101-11.901.

Case IPR2015-00643 (Patent 8,232,250 B2)

Case IPR2015-00644 (Patent 8,399,413 B2)

Case IPR2015-00830 (Patent 8,969,302 B2)

PETITIONER:

Jeffrey W. Guise
jguise@wsgr.com

Richard Torczon
rtorczon@wsgr.com

Brandon M. White
bmwhite@perkinscoie.com

Shannon Bloodworth
sbloodworth@perkinscoie.com

David Anstaett
danstaett@perkinscoie.com

PATENT OWNER:

Elizabeth J. Holland
eholland@goodwinprocter.com

William G. James
wjames@goodwinprocter.com

Eleanor M. Yost
eyost@goodwinprocter.com