1 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS 2 MARSHALL DIVISION 3 C-CATION TECHNOLOGIES, DOCKET 2:14CV59 LLC 4 FEBRUARY 18, 2015 VS. 5 9:00 A.M. TIME WARNER CABLE, INC., 6 ET AL MARSHALL. TEXAS 7 8 VOLUME 1 OF 1, PAGES 1 THROUGH 141 9 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF CLAIM CONSTRUCTION HEARING 10 BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROY S. PAYNE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 11 12 13 APPEARANCES 14 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: LUPCO (LEWIS) V. POPOVSKI DAVID J. KAPLAN 15 DAVID JEFFREY COOPERBERG JEFFREY S. GINSBERG KENYON & KENYON LLP - NEW YORK 16 ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004 17 SAMUEL FRANKLIN BAXTER 18 MCKOOL SMITH - MARSHALL P 0 B0X 0 19 104 EAST HOUSTON ST., SUITE 300 20 MARSHALL, TX 75670 21 22 FOR THE DEFENDANTS: CHARLES KRAMER VERHOEVEN QUINN, EMANUEL, URQUHART & SULLIVAN LLP - SAN FRANCISCO 23 50 CALIFORNIA STREET, 22ND FLOOR 24 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111 25

> Christina L. Bickham, RMR, CRR 409/654-2891

1 FOR THE DEFENDANTS (CONTINUED): 2 EDWARD J. DEFRANCO MATTHEW A. TRAUPMAN QUINN, EMANUEL, URQUHART & 3 SULLIVAN, LLP - NY 51 MADISÓN AVE, 22ND FLOOR 4 NEW YORK, NY 10010 5 JAMES MARK MANN 6 MANN TINDEL & THOMPSON 300 W. MAIN 7 HENDERSON, TX 75652 8 9 COURT REPORTER: CHRISTINA L. BICKHAM, CRR, RMR 10 FEDERAL OFFICIAL REPORTER 300 WILLOW, SUITE 221 11 BEAUMONT, TEXAS 77701 12 13 PROCEEDINGS RECORDED USING COMPUTERIZED STENOTYPE: 14 TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED VIA COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION. 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

DOCKE.

A L A R M

2

1	INDEX	
2		
3		<u>PAGE</u>
4	USABILITY OF SAID SIGNALLING DATA CHANNELS	11
5	NEEDS TO BE REASSIGNED	42
6 7	DETERMINING WHETHER A DIFFERENT AND SUITABLE SIGNALLING DATA CHANNEL IS AVAILABLE OTHER THAN SAID PREDETERMINED CHANNEL	61
8	SAID PREDETERMINED SIGNALLING DATA CHANNEL	71
9	PREAMBLE IS LIMITING	77
10	ESTABLISHING COMMUNICATIONS BETWEEN SAID	98
11	CENTRAL CONTROLLER AND SAID PLURALITY OF REMOTE TERMINALS	
12	ORDER OF CLAIM STEPS	111
13	COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION	124
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

3

T

	4	
1	(OPEN COURT, ALL PARTIES PRESENT.)	
2	THE COURT: For the record, we're here for the	
3	claim construction hearing in C-Cation Technologies	
4	versus Time Warner Cable, et al, Case Number 2:14-59 on	
5	our docket.	
6	Would counsel state their appearances for the	
7	record.	
8	MR. BAXTER: Good morning, your Honor. Sam	
9	Baxter, McKool Smith, along with Lew Popovski and Jeff	
10	Ginsberg who you've seen before in these cases, your	
11	lonor, from Kenyon & Kenyon, along with their partners	
12	David Kaplan and David Cooperberg, your Honor; and we're	
13	ready.	
14	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Baxter.	
15	MR. MANN: Good morning, your Honor. Mark	
16	lann here for Cisco, Arris, Time Warner Cable, and CASA,	
17	he four defendants in the case. And along with me	
18	oday, Charles Verhoeven and Ed DeFranco and Matt	
19	Traupman. And Bill Silverio is here for Cisco as	
20	corporate representative, your Honor, from corporate	
21	counsel's office. We're ready to proceed.	
22	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Mann.	
23	I'll state for the record that	
24	Mr. Popovski, do you ––	
25	MR. POPOVSKI: I was going to start off by	

**DOCKET A L A R M** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>. 1 given your Honor's preliminary construction, I think we
2 have maybe a suggestion for organizing the rest of the
3 hearing.

4 THE COURT: All right. Well, let me just make 5 a statement about that; and then I'll give you the floor.

I was just going to note that a preliminary construction has been distributed to counsel earlier this morning, and I wanted to make sure that I do state for the record that the intent of that construction is not to limit the arguments in any way but simply to let both sides know where the court is after an initial review of the briefs and the record.

I do certainly occasionally reconsider the preliminary constructions based on the arguments, and the goal is to allow you to focus the arguments where you think the court has gone wrong rather than to prevent you from making any arguments that you think are appropriate.

I would also like to hear argument on a
term-by-term basis but -- you may have suggestions about
the order in which that's done, and I'm certainly
amenable to those.

And I also want to state that if either side has any opening remarks they want to make about the technology or the patents, I'm happy to hear those as well. 5

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.