

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

C-CATION TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 2:11-CV-00030-JRG-RSP

v.

COMCAST CORPORATION, CHARTER
COMMUNICATIONS, INC., CEQUEL
COMMUNICATIONS, LLC dba SUDDENLINK
COMMUNICATIONS, CABLE ONE, INC.,
ALMEGA CABLE INC., LONGVIEW CABLE
TELEVISION COMPANY, INC., and KILGORE
VIDEO, INC.,

Defendants.

**EXPERT REPORT OF STUART LIPOFF REGARDING
THE INVALIDITY OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,563,883 AND
THE MATERIALITY OF UNDISCLOSED PRIOR ART**

AUGUST 16, 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	APPENDICES AND EXHIBITS	1
II.	INTRODUCTION	3
III.	BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS	4
IV.	MATERIALS & INFORMATION CONSIDERED	7
V.	COMPENSATION	8
VI.	ASSERTED CLAIMS	8
VII.	SUMMARY OF OPINIONS	8
A.	Relevant Features in the Prior Art	9
1.	Cable Television (“CATV”) and Related Prior Art.....	10
2.	Trunked Radio System Prior Art – MPT 1327 & MPT 1343.....	12
3.	Combinations of Prior Art	13
B.	Lack of Written Description Under Section 112	14
C.	Materiality of Prior Art Not Disclosed to the Patent Office	14
VIII.	UNDERSTANDING OF THE LAW	15
A.	Anticipation.....	15
B.	Obviousness	16
C.	Written Description Requirement	20
D.	Priority Date.....	21
IX.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....	21
X.	SKILL LEVEL OF ONE OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART.....	23
XI.	THE FIELD OF ART FOR THE ’883 PATENT	24
A.	Signalling Data vs. Bearer Traffic in Multiple Access Systems.....	24
B.	Managing Resources in Communications Systems	28

C.	Approaches to System Monitoring	29
D.	Trunked Radio Systems	32
E.	Integrated Services Digital Networks (ISDN)	34
F.	Pre-DOCSIS Non-Video Telecommunications Services over Cable	36
G.	History of Development of DOCSIS	40
XII.	THE '883 PATENT	44
XIII.	CLAIM LIMITATIONS OF THE '883 PATENT IN THE PRIOR ART	50
A.	Cable Television (“CATV”) and Related Prior Art	50
1.	U.S. Patent 5,355,374 (“Hester”)	50
2.	U.S. Patent 4,533,948 (“McNamara”) and “MetroNet: An Overview of a CATV Regional Data Network” (“MetroNet”)	66
3.	U.S. Patent 5,225,902 (“McMullan”)	80
B.	Trunked Mobile Radio Prior Art	87
1.	MPT 1327 & MPT 1343	88
C.	Obviousness Combinations of Prior Art	107
D.	Response to C-Cation Tech’s Assertions Regarding Secondary Indicia of Non- Obviousness	111
1.	Long-Felt Need	112
2.	Industry Recognition & Praise	113
3.	Commercial Success	114
XIV.	THE ASSERTED CLAIMS ARE INVALID FOR FAILING TO SATISFY THE WRITTEN DESCRIPTION REQUIREMENT	115
A.	The '883 patent lacks written-description support for “predetermined signaling data channels” that are not fixed.	115
B.	The '883 patent lacks written-description support for “signaling data” and “traffic bearer” channels that are one and the same.	118

XV. INEQUITABLE CONDUCT.....121

XVI. CONCLUSION.....124

I. APPENDICES AND EXHIBITS

Appendix No.	Description
Appendix 1	Stuart Lipoff Curriculum Vitae
Appendix 2	Materials Reviewed & Information Considered
Appendix 3	Features of the '883 Patent described in U.S. Patent No. 5,355,374 ("Hester")
Appendix 4	Features of the '883 Patent described in U.S. Patent No. 4,533,948 ("McNamara") and "MetroNet: An Overview of a CATV Regional Data Network" ("MetroNet" or "the MetroNet paper")
Appendix 5	Features of the '883 Patent described in U.S. Patent No. 5,225,902 ("McMullan")
Appendix 6	Features of the '883 Patent described in MPT 1327 – A Signalling Standard for Trunked Private Land Mobile Radio Systems ("MPT 1327") and MPT 1343 – Performance Specification ("MPT 1343") (collectively, "MPT Specification")

Exhibit No.	Description
Exhibit 1	U.S. Patent No. 5,563,883 ("the '883 Patent")
Exhibit 2	U.S. Patent No. 5,355,374 ("Hester")
Exhibit 3	U.S. Patent No. 4,533,948 ("McNamara")
Exhibit 4	"MetroNet: An Overview of a CATV Regional Data Network" ("MetroNet" or "the MetroNet paper")
Exhibit 5	U.S. Patent No. 5,225,902 ("McMullan")
Exhibit 6	U.S. Patent No. 4,573,206A ("Grauel")
Exhibit 7	AT&T Website, "History of Network Management"
Exhibit 8	Excerpts from R.J. Holbeche, "Land Mobile Radio Systems," ISBN 0-863341-049-9 (1985).
Exhibit 9	Excerpts from Joseph A. Pecar, <i>et al.</i> , "Telecommunications Factbook," ISBN 0—0-049183-6 (1993).
Exhibit 10	"Building the business case for cable telephony," Business Communications Review, Larry Yokell, March 1, 1996.
Exhibit 11	Paul Kirvan, "It's finally happened: cable TV company provides phone service," Communications News, November 1, 1991.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.