

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ARRIS GROUP, INC.
AND
COX COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
Petitioner

v.

C-CATION TECHNOLOGIES, LLC
Patent Owner

Case: IPR2015-00635
U.S. PATENT NO. 5,563,883

PETITIONERS' REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Preliminary Statement.....	1
II. The MPT Specifications are “Printed Publications”.....	3
III. The MPT Specifications Render the Involved Claims Obvious.....	6
A. Single Channel Hunt Sequence Followed by Normal Operation on a Control Channel Renders Claims 1, 3, and 4 Obvious.....	6
1. Patent Owner’s Interpretation of Limitation 1(c) as Requiring the Step to Be Performed by a Central Controller or Something Other than the Remote Terminal Is Flawed and Should Again Be Rejected by the Board	7
a. The Claims Show that Where the Inventor Wanted to Limit the Performance of Method Steps to Specific Network Equipment, He Knew How to Do So	8
b. The Specification Does Not Limit Step 1(c) In the Manner C-Cation Proposes and Supports Petitioners’ Understanding of the Claim	10
c. The Extrinsic Evidence Fails to Justify Injecting a Limitation Into the Claim	13
2. The ’883 Patent, the Language of Claim 1, and the Prior Art All Believe C-Cation’s Position on Step 1(e).....	16
a. Dr. Heegard’s Admissions Under Oath Show that the TSC Performs a Reassignment.....	16
b. C-Cation Misinterprets the “Registration” Process of the ’883 Patent, Leading It to Incorrect Conclusions About the MPT Specifications	17
c. The Grant of a Registration Request by the TSC Is a Reassignment of the Radio Unit to “A Different and Suitable Signalling Data Channel For Communications Henceforward”.....	19

d.	When an MPT-Compliant Radio Unit Needs to Register, It Cannot Reassign Itself, a Critical Distinction Between the MPT Specifications and Grauel	20
e.	The Claims Do Not Require a “Retune” Command	22
f.	That Radio Units Do Not Always Need to Register Is of No Consequence.....	23
B.	Fall-Back Mode Also Renders Claims 1, 3, and 4 Obvious	23
C.	The Credibility of the Expert Witnesses	25
IV.	Conclusion.....	25

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>Acumed LLC v. Stryker Corp.</i> , 483 F.3d 800 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	9
<i>Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Inc. v. Covidien, Inc.</i> , 796 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	11
<i>Hill-Tom Servs., Inc. v. Stryker Corp.</i> , 755 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	11
<i>In re Klopfenstein</i> , 380 F.3d 1345 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	3
<i>In re Lister</i> , 583 F.3d 1307 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	3
<i>In re NTP</i> , 654 F.3d 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	3
<i>In re Papst Licensing Digital Camera Litig.</i> , 778 F.3d 1255 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	24
<i>In re Tenney</i> , 254 F.2d 619 (CCPA 1958)	3
<i>In re Wyer</i> , 655 F.2d 221 (CCPA 1981)	3
<i>Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc.</i> , 52 F.3d 967 (Fed. Cir. 1995) <i>aff'd</i> 517 U.S. 370 (1996)	25
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (<i>en banc</i>)	24
<i>SkinMedica, Inc. v. Histogen Inc.</i> , 727 F.3d 1187 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	13
<i>Yoon Ja Kim v. ConAgra Foods, Inc.</i> , 465 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	14

OTHER AUTHORITIES

37 C.F.R. § 42.1117

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.