

Filed on behalf of: Gold Standard Instruments, LLC

Paper _____

By: Joseph A. Hynds, Lead Counsel
R. Elizabeth Brenner-Leifer, Back-up Counsel
Steven Lieberman, Back-up Counsel
Jason M. Nolan, Back-up Counsel
Derek F. Dahlgren, Back-up Counsel
C. Nichole Gifford, Back-up Counsel
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C.
607 14th Street, N.W., Suite 800
Washington, DC 20005
Phone: 202-783-6040
Facsimile: 202-783-6031
Emails: jhynds@rothwellfigg.com
ebrenner@rothwellfigg.com
slieberman@rothwellfigg.com
jnolan@rothwellfigg.com
ddahlgren@rothwellfigg.com
ngifford@rothwellfigg.com

Date filed: March 2, 2016

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

US ENDODONTICS, LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

GOLD STANDARD INSTRUMENTS, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-00632
Patent 8,727,773 B2

**PATENT OWNER GOLD STANDARD INSTRUMENTS, LLC'S
REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT**

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Pursuant to the Scheduling Order, dated August 5, 2015 (Paper 30), Patent Owner Gold Standard Instruments, LLC (“Patent Owner”) respectfully requests oral argument, which is currently scheduled to be held on April 5, 2016.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a), Patent Owner identifies the following issues as among those to be argued:

- (1) Whether Petitioner has met its burden of proving claims 1, 2, and 9-12 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by Kuhn;
- (2) Whether Petitioner has met its burden of proving claims 8, 13, 15 and 17 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Kuhn and ISO 3630-1;
- (3) Whether Petitioner has met its burden of proving claims 1-17 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Kuhn, ISO 3630-1, McSpadden, and Pelton;
- (4) Whether Petitioner has met its burden of proving claims 1-17 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Matsutani, Pelton, and ISO 3630-1; and
- (5) Any other issues raised in the Petition, Patent Owner’s Preliminary Response, Patent Owner’s Response, Petitioner’s Reply to Patent Owner’s

Response, Petitioner's Request for Oral Argument, or otherwise raised by the Patent Owner, Petitioner, or the Board.

Patent Owner requests the ability to use audio/visual equipment to display demonstrative exhibits, including the use of a projector and screen for PowerPoint or other visual display.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 2, 2016

By: / Joseph A. Hynds /
Joseph A. Hynds, Reg. No. 34,627
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST &
MANBECK, P.C.
607 14th Street, N.W., Suite 800
Washington, DC 20005
Phone: 202-783-6040
Facsimile: 202-783-6031

*Counsel for Patent Owner
Gold Standard Instruments, LLC*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 2nd day of March 2016, a true and correct copy of the foregoing PATENT OWNER GOLD STANDARD INSTRUMENTS, LLC'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT was served, via electronic mail upon the following counsel for Petitioner US Endodontics, LLC:

Jeffrey S. Ginsberg, Esq.
Abhishek Bapna, Esq.
Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler LLP
1133 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036-6710
Phone: 212-336-2630
Facsimile: 212-336-1270
Emails: jginsberg@pbwt.com
abapna@pbwt.com

/ Erik van Leeuwen /

Erik van Leeuwen
Litigation Operations Coordinator
Rothwell, Figg, Ernst & Manbeck, P.C.