

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of
U.S. Patent No. 7,392,300

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ServiceNow, Inc.
Petitioner

v.

Hewlett-Packard Company
Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. 7,392,300
Filing Date: January 8, 2004
Issue Date: June 24, 2008

TITLE: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR MODELLING A COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,392,300**

Inter Partes Review No. 2015-00631

Table of Contents

	Page
I. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1)	1
A. Real Party-In-Interest under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....	1
B. Related Matters under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).....	1
C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)	1
D. Service Information	2
E. Power of Attorney	2
II. PAYMENT OF FEES - 37 C.F.R. § 42.103	2
III. REQUIREMENTS FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104 AND 42.108	2
A. Grounds for Standing under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)	2
B. Identification of Challenge under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and Statement of Precise Relief Requested	3
C. Requirements for Inter Partes Review 37 C.F.R. § 42.108(c).....	4
IV. BRIEF BACKGROUND OF THE UNDERLYING TECHNOLOGY.....	4
A. Computer Networks and Network Management Systems.....	5
B. Structured Data and the eXtensible Markup Language (XML)	7
V. SUMMARY OF THE CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER	9
A. The Specification of the '300 Patent.....	9
B. The Challenged Claims of the '300 Patent.....	11
VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B)(3).....	13
A. “network representation”	13
B. “network model”	15
C. “network event”	16
VII. CLAIMS 1, 7, 8, 10, 21 AND 22 OF THE '300 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE	17

Table of Contents
(continued)

	Page
A. Ground 1 – Claims 1, 7, 8, 10, 21 and 22 Are Obvious Over Matheny in View of XML in a Nutshell, Hamner and Arrouye	20
1. Prior Art and Date Qualification for Ground 1.....	20
2. Brief Summary of the Prior Art Applied in Ground 1.....	20
3. Claim 1.....	23
a. “generating a network representation using computer-readable code, the computer-readable code representing structured information”	23
b. “parsing the network representation”	26
c. “generating a network model using the parsed network representation, the network model including a plurality of network objects and relationships between the plurality of network objects”	30
d. “storing the network model in memory”	32
e. “processing a network event using the network model, wherein the processing includes identifying one or more network objects of the plurality of network objects...”	33
f. “...and the processing further includes determining an order of operation on the one or more network objects”	41
4. Claim 7.....	46
5. Claim 8.....	46
6. Claim 10.....	47
7. Claim 21.....	49
8. Claim 22.....	50
B. Ground 2 – Claims 1, 7, 8, 10, 21 and 22 Are Obvious Over Matheny in view of XML in a Nutshell, Hamner and Pitt.....	51

Table of Contents
(continued)

Page

VIII. CONCLUSION 55

List of Exhibits

Ex. No	Description of Document
1001	U.S. Patent No. 7,392,300 to Raghu Anantharangachar et al.
1002	Declaration of Tal Lavian, Ph.D.
1003	U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2002/0161883 to David Matheny et al.
1004	Excerpts from Elliotte Rusty Harold et al., <i>XML in a Nutshell</i> (2001), pages 3-25 & xi-xvi (Preface)
1005	U.S. Patent No. 5,796,951 to John F. Hamner et al.
1006	U.S. Patent No. 6,256,635 to Yan Arrouye et al.
1007	U.S. Patent No. 5,717,934 to Ronald L. Pitt et al.
1008	Excerpts from Microsoft Computer Dictionary (3d ed. 1997), Microsoft Press, pages 422-23

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.