UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. and SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC. Petitioner,

v.

E-WATCH, INC. and E-WATCH CORPORATION, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-00612 Patent 7,365,871

MANDATORY NOTICE OF PATENT OWNER AND RELATED MATTERS

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1)

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.8, Patent Owner e-Watch, Inc. submits the

following Mandatory Notices in response to the Petition for inter partes review of

U.S. Patent No. 7,365,871.

A. <u>Real Party-In-Interest</u>: The Patent Owner below is the real party-in-interest:

e-Watch, Inc. c/o Robert C. Curfiss 19826 Sundance Drive Humble, Texas 77346

Tel: (832) 573-1442 Fax: (832) 644-6152 Email: <u>bob@curfiss.com</u>

B. <u>Related Matters</u>: The Patent Owner is aware of the following administrative

and judicial matters that may affect, or be affected by, a decision in the present

proceeding:

Administrative matters:

None.

DOCKF

Judicial matters:

- 1. *e-Watch, Inc. and e-Watch Corporation v. Apple, Inc.*, Cause No. 13-01061 filed on December 9, 2013 (Lead Case);
- e-Watch, Inc. and e-Watch Corporation v. Blackberry Limited and Blackberry Corporation, Cause No. 13-01078; Amended Complaint filed on December 13, 2013;

- e-Watch, Inc. and e-Watch Corporation v. HTC Corporation and HTC America, Inc., Cause No. 13-01063; Amended Complaint filed on December 13, 2013;
- 4. e-Watch, Inc. and e-Watch Corporation v. Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd. and Huawei Technologies USA, Inc., Cause No. 13-01076; Amended Complaint filed on December 13, 2013;
- 5. e-Watch, Inc. and e-Watch Corporation v. Kyocera Communications, Inc. and Kyocera International, Inc., Cause No. 13-01077 field on December 9, 2013;
- e-Watch, Inc. and e-Watch Corporation v. LG Electronics, Inc., LG Electronics U.S.A, Inc., and LG Electronics Mobilecomm U.S.A., Cause No. 13-01064; Amended Complaint filed on December 13, 2013;
- 7. *e-Watch, Inc. and e-Watch Corporation v. Nokia Corporation and Nokia, Inc.*, Cause No. 3-01075; Amended Complaint filed on December 13, 2013;
- 8. e-Watch, Inc. and e-Watch Corporation v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung Telecommunications America, Inc., Cause No. 13-01062; Amended Complaint filed on December 13, 2013;
- 9. e-Watch, Inc. and e-Watch Corporation v. Sharp Corporation and Sharp Electronics Corporation; Cause No. 13-01074; Amended Complaint filed on December 13, 2013;
- e-Watch, Inc. and e-Watch Corporation v. Sony Corporation, Sony Mobile Communications AB, and Sony Mobile Communications (USA), Inc., Cause No. 13-01073; Amended Complaint filed on December 13, 2013;
- 11. e-Watch, Inc. and e-Watch Corporation v. ZTE Corporation, ZTE (USA), Inc., and ZTE Solutions, Inc., Cause No. 13-01071; Amended Complaint filed on December 13, 2013.
- 12. IPR2014-00439, Iron Dome LLC v. e-Watch, Inc., U.S. Pat. No. 7,365,871;
- 13. IPR2014-00987, HTC Corporation v. e-Watch, Inc., U.S. Patent No. 7,365,871;

- 14. IPR2014-00989, HTC Corporation v. e-Watch, Inc., U.S. Patent No. 7,643,168;
- 15. IPR2015-00401, Sony Mobile Communications (USA), Inc. v. e-Watch, Inc., U.S. Patent No. 7,643,168;
- IPR2015-00402, Sony Mobile Communications (USA), Inc. v. e-Watch, Inc., U.S. Patent No. 7,365,871;
- 17. IPR2015-00406, Kyocera Communications, Inc. v. e-Watch, Inc., U.S. Patent No. 7,365,871;
- 18. IPR2015-00407, Kyocera Communications, Inc. v. e-Watch, Inc., U.S. Patent No. 7,643,168;
- 19. IPR2015-00404, LG Electronics, Inc. and Microsoft Mobile Oy v. e-Watch, Inc., U.S. Patent No. 7,365,871;
- 20. IPR2015-00408, LG Electronics, Inc. and Microsoft Mobile Oy v. e-Watch, Inc., U.S. Patent No. 7,643,168;
- 21. IPR2015-00411, Apple, Inc. v. e-Watch, Inc., U.S. Patent No. 7,365,871;
- 22. IPR2015-00412, Apple, Inc. v. e-Watch, Inc., U.S. Patent No. 7,365,871;
- 23. IPR2015-00413, Apple, Inc. v. e-Watch, Inc., U.S. Patent No. 7,365,871; and
- 24. IPR2015-00414, Apple, Inc. v. e-Watch, Inc., U.S. Patent No. 7,643,168;
- 25. IPR2015-00543, Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. v. e-Watch, Inc., U.S. Patent No. 7,643,168;
- 26. IPR2015-00607, Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. v. e-Watch, U.S. Patent No. 7,643,168;
- 27. IPR2015-00611, Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. v. e-Watch, U.S. Patent No. 7,643,168;

- 28. IPR2015-00541, Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. v. e-Watch, U.S. Patent No. 7,365,871;
- 29. IPR2015-00610, Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. v. e-Watch, U.S. Patent No. 7,365,871.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.