

Filed on behalf of: Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and
Samsung Electronics America, Inc.

By:
Steven L. Park (stevenpark@paulhastings.com)
Naveen Modi (naveenmodi@paulhastings.com)
Elizabeth L. Brann (elizabethbrann@paulhastings.com)
Paul Hastings LLP

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. and
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.
Petitioner

v.

E-WATCH, INC.
Patent Owner

Patent No. 7,365,871

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,365,871**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. GROUNDS FOR STANDING PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.1046

III. OVERVIEW OF THE '871 PATENT6

IV. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.1047

 A. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(1): Claims for Which *Inter Partes* Review Is Requested7

 B. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(2): The Prior Art and Specific Grounds on Which the Challenge to the Claims Is Based7

 C. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3): Claim Construction7

 D. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4): How the Construed Claims Are Unpatentable.....9

 E. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(5): Supporting Evidence9

V. THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE '871 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE10

 A. Claims 1-15 Are Anticipated by WO 1999/035818.....10

 1. The Effective Filing Date for the '871 Patent Is January 3, 2003.....10

 2. '073 Prosecution History11

 3. The Public Record Demonstrates Deliberate Abandonment of the '073 Application15

 4. No Record Evidence of “Unintentional” Delay.....17

 5. The PTO “Assumed” Unintentional Delay -- It Did Not Decide the Merits of the Issue19

 6. The Effective Filing Date of the '871 Patent Is January 3, 2003.....20

B.	The '818 Publication Has the Same Disclosure as the '871 Patent, Rendering Claims 1-15 of the '871 Patent Anticipated	21
1.	Independent Claim 1 Is Disclosed by the '818 Publication	21
2.	Independent Claim 6 Is Disclosed by the '818 Publication	28
3.	Independent Claim 9 Is Disclosed by the '818 Publication	34
4.	Independent Claim 12 Is Disclosed by the '818 Publication	41
5.	Dependent Claims 2-5, 7, 8, 10, 11, and 13-15 Are Disclosed by the '818 Publication	44
C.	Summary -- Claims 1-15 of the '871 Patent Are Invalid as Anticipated by the '818 Publication.....	48
VI.	MANDATORY NOTICES PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.8	49
A.	Real Party-in-Interest	49
B.	Related Matters.....	49
1.	Litigations	49
2.	<i>Inter Partes</i> Reviews.....	50
C.	Lead and Back-up Counsel and Service Information	51
VII.	PAYMENT OF FEES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.15	52
VIII.	CONCLUSION.....	53

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Federal Cases	
<i>In re Am. Acad. Sci. Tech. Ctr.</i> , 367 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	8
<i>In re Application of G</i> , 11 USPQ2d 1378 (Comm’r, 1989)	16
IPR2014-00439, Paper No. 16 (Aug. 4, 2014)	6
<i>Lawman Armor Corp. v. Simon</i> , 2005 U.S. Dist. Lexis 10843 (E.D. Mich., March 29, 2005).....	16
<i>Lumenyte Int’l Corp. v. Cable Lite Corp.</i> , Nos. 96-1011, 96-1077, 1996 U.S. App. LEXIS 16400, 1996 WL 383927 (Fed. Cir. July 9, 1996) (unpublished).....	15
<i>In re Maldague</i> , 10 USPQ2d 1477 (Comm’r 1988).....	16
Federal Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 102(b)	<i>passim</i>
35 U.S.C. § 120	11, 13
35 U.S.C. § 133	12
Regulations	
37 C.F.R. § 1.68	10
37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b)	<i>passim</i>
37 C.F.R. § 1.137(c).....	14
37 C.F.R. § 41.100(b)	8
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1).....	50
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)	50

37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).....	50, 51
37 C.F.R. §§ 42.8(b)(3)-(4).....	53
37 C.F.R. § 42.15(a).....	53
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a).....	6
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)	7
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(1).....	7
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(2).....	7
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3).....	8
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4).....	10
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(5).....	10
37 CFR 1.137(b)	15
37 CFR 11.18	15
Other Authorities	
MPEP 711.03(c)(II)(C).....	15
MPEP 711.03(c)(II)(C)(1)	16
MPEP § 2111	8

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.