

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. and  
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,  
Petitioner

v.

E-WATCH, INC. and E-WATCH CORPORATION  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2015-00607  
Patent No. 7,643,168

---

**JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE  
PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 317 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.74**

***Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"***  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74, Petitioner Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. (“Samsung” or “Petitioner”) and Patent Owner e-Watch, Inc. and e-Watch Corporation (“e-Watch” or “Patent Owner”) jointly move to terminate the present *inter partes* review proceeding with respect to both Petitioner and Patent Owner, in light of the parties’ resolution of their dispute relating to U.S. Patent No. 7,643,168 (“the ’168 patent”).

Termination with respect to Petitioner and Patent Owner e-Watch is appropriate in the instant proceeding because the dispute between the parties has been resolved, and further, the parties have agreed to terminate this *inter partes* review.

As required by 35 U.S.C. § 317(b), the parties are filing, concurrently herewith, a true copy of their written agreement as Exhibit 1017. The parties further request, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c), that the agreement be treated as confidential business information and kept separate from the files of the involved patent. The parties are filing, concurrently herewith, a request to treat the settlement agreement as confidential business information pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c).

The applicable statute, provides that an *inter partes* review proceeding “shall be terminated with respect to *any* petitioner upon the joint request of the petitioner

and the patent owner, unless the Office has decided the merits of the proceeding before the request for termination is filed.” 35 U.S.C. § 317(a) (emphasis added). Moreover, strong public policy considerations favor settlement between parties to an *inter partes* review proceeding. Indeed, the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide provides:

N. Settlement. There are strong public policy reasons to favor settlement between the parties to a proceeding. The Board will be available to facilitate settlement discussions, and where appropriate, may require a settlement discussion as part of the proceeding. The Board expects that a proceeding will terminate after the filing of a settlement agreement, unless the Board has already decided the merits of the proceeding.

The Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48756, 48768 (Aug. 14 2012). This proceeding is still in its early stages. e-Watch’s Patent Owner Response is not due until September 30, 2015. Moreover, no public interest factors militate against termination of this proceeding with respect to both Petitioner and Patent Owner.

The status of all district court cases involving U.S. Patent No. 7,643,168 is presented below.

Judicial Matter	Court	Filed	Status	Cause No.
e-Watch, Inc. and e-Watch Corporation v. Apple, Inc.	E.D. Tex.	12/09/13	Pending	13-01061
e-Watch, Inc. and e-Watch Corporation v. Blackberry Limited and Blackberry Corporation	E.D. Tex.	12/13/13	Terminated	13-01078

e-Watch, Inc. and e-Watch Corporation v. HTC Corporation and HTC America, Inc.	E.D. Tex.	12/13/13	Pending, but termination imminent	13-01063
e-Watch, Inc. and e-Watch Corporation v. Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd. and Huawei Technologies USA, Inc.	E.D. Tex.	12/13/13	Pending	13-01076
e-Watch, Inc. and e-Watch Corporation v. Kyocera Communications, Inc. and Kyocera International, Inc.	E.D. Tex.	12/09/13	Terminated	13-01077
e-Watch, Inc. and e-Watch Corporation v. LG Electronics, Inc., LG Electronics U.S.A, Inc., and LG Electronics Mobilecomm U.S.A.	E.D. Tex.	12/13/13	Pending, but termination imminent	13-01064
e-Watch, Inc. and e-Watch Corporation v. Nokia Corporation and Nokia, Inc.	E.D. Tex.	12/13/13	Terminated	13-01075
e-Watch, Inc. and e-Watch Corporation v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung Telecommunications America, Inc.	E.D. Tex.	12/13/13	Pending, but termination imminent	13-01062
e-Watch, Inc. and e-Watch Corporation v. Sharp Corporation and Sharp Electronics Corporation	E.D. Tex.	12/13/13	Terminated	13-01074
e-Watch, Inc. and e-Watch Corporation v. Sony Corporation, Sony Mobile Communications AB, and Sony Mobile Communications (USA), Inc.	E.D. Tex.	12/13/13	Terminated	13-01073
e-Watch, Inc. and e-Watch Corporation v. ZTE Corporation, ZTE (USA), Inc., and ZTE Solutions, Inc.	E.D. Tex.	12/13/13	Pending	13-01071

The status of all *inter partes* reviews (“IPR”) involving U.S. Patent No. 7,643,168 is presented below.

IPR	Status
IPR2015-00543 joined to IPR2014-00989	Pending, but motion to terminate has been filed
IPR2015-00401	Terminated
IPR2015-00407	Not Instituted
IPR2015-00408	Pending, but motion to terminate has been filed
IPR2015-00611 joined to IPR2015-00414	Pending
IPR2015-00607	Pending, but parties are filing the present motion to terminate

For the foregoing reasons, the parties jointly and respectfully request that the instant proceeding be terminated with respect to both Petitioner and Patent Owner.

Date: September 17, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

/Robert C. Curfiss/  
Robert C. Curfiss  
Reg. No. 26,540

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.