

Filed on behalf of: Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and
Samsung Electronics America, Inc.

By:
Steven L. Park (stevenpark@paulhastings.com)
Naveen Modi (naveenmodi@paulhastings.com)
Elizabeth L. Brann (elizabethbrann@paulhastings.com)
Paul Hastings LLP

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. and
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.
Petitioner

v.

E-WATCH, INC.
Patent Owner

Patent No. 7,643,168

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,643,168**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF EXHIBITS iv

I. Introduction.....1

II. Mandatory Notices Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8.....2

 A. Real Party-in-Interest2

 B. Related Matters.....2

 C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel.....4

III. Payment of Fees Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.15(a)4

IV. Requirements for *Inter Partes* Review Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104.....4

 A. Grounds for Standing5

 B. Identification of Challenge.....5

V. The '168 Patent.....6

 A. Overview of the '168 Patent.....6

 B. Prosecution History of the '168 Patent8

VI. Claim Construction.....9

 A. “media being suitable to embody at least one compression algorithm” (Claim 1)9

 B. “commonly moving” (Claim 1).....11

VII. Detailed Explanation of Grounds for Unpatentability12

 A. Summary of the Prior Art.....12

 1. *McNelley*12

 2. *Sarbadhikari*.....13

 3. *Morita*.....13

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review – Patent No. 7,643,168

4.	<i>Wilska</i>	14
5.	<i>Yamagishi-992</i>	15
B.	Ground 1: <i>McNelley</i> and <i>Sarbadhikari</i> Render Obvious Claims 19 and 20	15
1.	Claim 19	16
2.	Claim 20	35
C.	Ground 2: <i>Morita</i> , <i>Sarbadhikari</i> , and <i>McNelley</i> Render Obvious Claims 19 and 20.....	36
1.	Claim 19	37
2.	Claim 20	45
D.	Ground 3: <i>Wilska</i> , <i>Yamagishi-992</i> , and <i>McNelley</i> Render Obvious Claims 19 and 20	46
1.	Claim 19	47
2.	Claim 20	57
E.	The Board Should Adopt All of the Proposed Grounds	58
VIII.	Conclusion	60

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex, Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	<i>passim</i>
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 102(b)	6
35 U.S.C. § 103	5
35 U.S.C. § 103(a)	12
35 U.S.C. § 316(b)	60
Other Authorities	
37 C.F.R. § 1.131	8
37 C.F.R. § 42.1(b)	60
37 C.F.R. § 42.8	2
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....	2
37 C.F.R. § 42.15(a).....	4
37 C.F.R. § 42.104	4
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a).....	5
37 C.F.R. § 42.300(b)	9

LIST OF EXHIBITS¹

1001	U.S. Patent No 7,643,168 to Monroe
1002	JP Patent Application Pub. No. H06-133081 to Morita, an English-Language Translation, and a Certificate of Translation
1003	U.S. Patent No. 5,477,264 to Sarbadhikari et al.
1004	U.S. Patent No. 5,550,754 to McNelley et al.
1005	U.K. Patent Application Pub. No. GB 2289555 to Wilska et al.
1006	European Patent Application Pub. No. 0594992 to Yamagishi
1007	Declaration of Dr. Alan Bovik
1008	Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Alan Bovik
1009	<i>HTC Corp. v. e-Watch, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00989, Institution Decision, Paper No. 6 (Dec. 9, 2014)
1010	<i>HTC Corp. v. e-Watch, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00989, Petition, Paper No. 1 (June 19, 2014)
1011	Excerpts from <i>Microsoft Computer Dictionary</i> (2nd ed. 2002)
1012	Office Action mailed Oct. 4, 2007, in U.S. Patent Application No. 11/617,509
1013	Response to Non-Final Office Action dated Jan. 4, 2008, in U.S. Patent Application No. 11/617,509
1014	Final Office Action mailed Dec. 12, 2008, in U.S. Patent Application No. 11/617,509
1015	Response to Final Office Action dated March 13, 2009, in U.S.

¹ Citations to non-patent publications are to the page numbers of the publication and citations to patent publications are to column:line or page:line numbers.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.