

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FORD MOTOR COMPANY
Petitioner,

v.

PAICE LLC & ABELL FOUNDATION, INC.
Patent Owners.

U.S. Patent No. 7,237,634 to Severinsky *et al.*
IPR Case No. IPR2015-00606

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 311 *ET SEQ.* AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *ET SEQ.*
OF CLAIMS 56-65, 68-77, 242-251, 268-277, 292, 293 AND 298
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,237,634**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXHIBIT LIST.....	iii
I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8.....	2
A. Real Party-In-Interest - 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)	2
B. Related Matters - 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).....	3
C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3).....	3
D. Service Information Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4).....	4
III. GROUNDS FOR STANDING – 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)	4
IV. OVERVIEW OF CHALLENGE - 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b).....	4
V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION – 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3).....	5
VI. PRIORITY DATE OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS.....	7
A. The ‘634 Patent Family.....	7
B. The Electrical Limitations in the Challenged Claims Are Unsupported Before April 2, 2001.....	8
1. The “At Least 2.5” Ratio Is Unsupported Before April 2, 2001	9
2. The “Maximum Voltage” Limitation Is Unsupported Before April 2, 2001	10
3. The “Maximum Current” Limitation Is Unsupported Before April 2, 2001	11
C. An Insolubly Vague Incorporation by Reference Has No Impact.....	13
VII. THE PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE RELEVANT FIELD AND THE RELEVANT TIMEFRAME.....	15
VIII. STATE OF THE ART	16
IX. OVERVIEW OF THE ‘634 PATENT.....	18
A. Background	18
B. Purported Invention	19
1. The Claimed Control Methodology, HEV Architecture and General Electrical Characteristics are Old	19
2. The Claimed Voltage to Current Ratio of “At Least 2.5” Is Arbitrary and Old	20

3.	The Maximum DC Voltage and Maximum Current Limitations Are Old.....	21
X.	GROUND OF UNPATENTABILITY	21
A.	Claims 56-65, 68-77, 268-277, 292, 293, 303 and 305 Are Obvious Over the ‘455 PCT Publication In View of Severinsky ‘970.....	21
1.	Non-Challenged Independent Base Claims.....	22
a.	Independent Claim 33	22
b.	Independent Claim 241	27
c.	Independent Claim 267	28
d.	Independent Claim 1.....	30
2.	Challenged Dependent Claims.....	34
a.	Dependent Claims 68, 242 and 268	35
b.	Dependent Claims 69, 243 and 269	38
c.	Dependent Claims 70, 244 and 270	39
d.	Dependent Claims 71, 245 and 271	39
e.	Dependent Claims 72, 246 and 272	40
f.	Dependent Claims 73, 247 and 273	41
g.	Dependent Claims 74, 248 and 274	44
h.	Dependent Claims 75, 249 and 275	45
i.	Dependent Claims 76, 250 and 276	46
j.	Dependent Claims 77, 251 and 277	47
k.	Dependent Claims 56-65.....	48
3.	Challenged Independent Claims 292 and 298 (and Dependent Claim 293)	50
a.	Independent Claim 292	51
b.	Dependent Claim 293.....	54
c.	Independent Claim 298	55
B.	Rationale to Combine	57
XI.	OBJECTIVE INDICIA OF NONOBVIOUSNESS	59
XII.	CONCLUSION.....	60

EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit No.	Description	Identifier
1151	U.S. Patent No. 7,237,634	'634 Patent
1152	Declaration of Dr. Jeffrey L. Stein	Stein Decl.
1153	PCT Publication No. WO00/015455	'455 PCT Publication
1154	U.S. Patent No. 5,343,970	Severinsky '970
1155	Ford's letter to Paice dated September 22, 2014	Ford Letter
1156	Paice, LLC et al. v. Ford Motor Co. 1:14-cv-00492	MD Ct. Decision
1157	<i>Ford Motor Co v. Paice LLC</i> , Case IPR2014-00568, Paper 12 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 8, 2014) (Decision Denying Institution of <i>Inter Partes</i> Review)	IPR2014-00568 Decision
1158	U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/100,095	'095 Provisional Application
1159	U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/122,296	'296 Provisional Application
1160	U.S. Patent No. 6,554,088	'088 CIP Patent
1161	U.S. Application No. 09/822,866	'866 CIP Application
1162	U.S. Application No. 09/264,817	'817 Application
1163	U.S. Application No. 09/392,743	'743 Application
1164	File History for U.S. Patent No. 7,237,634	'634 File History
1165	U.S. Application No. 11/229,762	'762 Application
1166	<i>Ford Motor Co v. Paice LLC</i> , Case IPR2014-005708, Paper 10 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 30, 2014) (Decision Denying Institution of <i>Inter Partes</i> Review)	IPR2014-00570 Decision
1167	Kozo Yamaguchi et al., <i>Development of a New Hybrid System – Dual System</i> , SAE Technical Paper 960231 (February 1996). http://papers.sae.org/960231/	Yamaguchi Paper
1168	General Electric Company, Corp. Research & Dev., <i>Near-Term Hybrid Vehicle Program, Final Report -</i>	GE Final Report

Exhibit No.	Description	Identifier
	<i>Phase 1</i> (October 1979). http://ntrs.nasa.gov/search.jsp?R=19800017707	
1169	U.S. Patent No. 3,888,325	Reinbeck
1170	U.S. Patent No. 4,335,429	Kawakatsu
1171	<i>Cimline, Inc. v. Crafcro. Inc.</i> , No. 2010-1348 (Fed. Cir. Opinion March 2, 2011)	Cimline
1172	Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Jeffrey L. Stein	Dr. Stein CV
1173	U.S. Patent No. 913,846	Pieper
1174	Michael Duoba, Ctr. for Transp. Research, Argonne Nat'l Lab., <i>Challenges for the Vehicle Tester in Characterizing Hybrid Electric Vehicles</i> , 7th CRC on Road Vehicle Emissions Workshop (April 1997). http://www.osti.gov/scitech/biblio/516019	Duoba
1175	Society of Automotive Engineers Special Publication, <i>Technology for Electric and Hybrid Vehicles</i> , SAE SP-1331 (February 1998)	SAE SP-1331
1176	Catherine Anderson & Erin Pettit, <i>The Effects of APU Characteristics on the Design of Hybrid Control Strategies for Hybrid Electric Vehicles</i> , SAE Technical Paper 950493 (1995). http://papers.sae.org/950493/	Anderson
1177	L. E. Unnewehr et al., <i>Hybrid Vehicle for Fuel Economy</i> , SAE Technical Paper 760121 (1976). http://papers.sae.org/760121/	Unnewehr
1178	Case No. IPR2014-00568, Paper 8, Patent Owner's [Redacted] Preliminary Response to Petition for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,455,134 (July 11, 2014)	IPR2014-00568 Redacted PO Prelim. Response
1179	Performance Characterization Chevrolet S-10 Electric, Panasonic Lead-Acid Battery, Southern California Edison Electrical Transportation Division (December 1999). http://avt.inel.gov/pdf/fsev/sce_rpt/s10pbareport.pdf	S10 Performance Report
1180	Tesla Motors Website, www.teslamotors.com/roadster/specs , retrieved October 31, 2014.	Tesla Roadster Performance Specs

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.