Paper 12

Entered: July 28, 2015

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

KOITO MANUFACTURING CO., LTD. and STANLEY ELECTRIC CO., Petitioner,

v.

INNOVATIVE DISPLAY TECHNOLOGIES LLC, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-00574 (Patent 6,508,563 B2) Case IPR2015-00575 (Patent 6,886,956 B2)

Before LORA M. GREEN, THOMAS L. GIANNETTI, MIRIAM L. QUINN, and MICHELLE N. WORMMEESTER, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

QUINN, Administrative Patent Judge.

JUDGMENT Termination of Proceeding 37 C.F.R. § 42.72



IPR2015-00574 (Patent 6,508,563 B2) IPR2015-00575 (Patent 6,886,956 B2)

On July 27, 2015, the parties filed a joint motion to terminate the instant proceedings pursuant to a settlement agreement. Paper 10.<sup>1</sup> The parties also filed a true copy of their written settlement agreement, made in connection with the termination of the instant proceedings, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(b). Exhibit 1020. Additionally, the parties submitted joint requests to have their settlement agreement treated as confidential business information under 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c). Paper 11.

The instant proceedings are in the preliminary stage. The Board has not determined whether trial will be instituted in Petitioner's requests for *inter partes* review of US Patent Nos. 6,508,563 and 6,886,956. The parties allege that they have settled their dispute and have agreed to jointly terminate the instant proceedings. Paper 10, 1.

Upon consideration of the requests before us, we determine that terminating the instant proceedings with respect to both Petitioner and Patent Owner, at this early juncture, promotes efficiency and minimizes unnecessary costs. Based on the facts of these cases, it is appropriate to enter judgment.<sup>2</sup> *See* 35 U.S.C. § 317(a); 37 C.F.R. § 42.72.

Accordingly, it is:

ORDERED that the joint motions to terminate IPR2015-00574 and IPR2015-00575 are *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that the instant proceedings are hereby *terminated* as to all parties, including Petitioner and Patent Owner; and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The parties filed identical papers in the captioned cases. For ease of reference, this order references the papers filed in IPR2015-00574.

<sup>2</sup> A *judgment* means a final written decision by the Board, or a *termination* of a proceeding. 37 C.F.R. § 42.2.



IPR2015-00574 (Patent 6,508,563 B2) IPR2015-00575 (Patent 6,886,956 B2)

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties' joint requests that the settlement agreement be treated as business confidential information, kept separate from the patent file, and made available only to Federal Government agencies on written request, or to any person on a showing of good cause, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c), are *granted*.



IPR2015-00574 (Patent 6,508,563 B2) IPR2015-00575 (Patent 6,886,956 B2)

PETITIONER:

William H. Mandir Steven M. Gruskin SUGHRUE MION PLLC wmandir@sughrue.com sgruskin@sughrue.com

## PATENT OWNER:

George W. Webb III AHMAD, ZAVITSANOS, ANAIPAKOS, ALAVI & MENSING P.C. gwebb@azalaw.com

