

ION Exhibit 1025  
WesternGeco's Opening Claim Construction Brief

5 pages

Pages numbered 1-5

# **Ex. PGS 1025**

## **(EXCERPTED)**

Protective Order Material – Subject to Protective Order

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
HOUSTON DIVISION

WESTERNGECO L.L.C.,

*Plaintiff,*

v.

ION GEOPHYSICAL CORPORATION,

*Defendant.*

)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)

Civil Action No. 4:09-CV-01827

**Jury Trial Demanded**

**CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION**  
**FILED UNDER SEAL**

**WESTERNGECO'S OPENING CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF**

Lee L. Kaplan  
lkaplan@skv.com  
SMYSER KAPLAN  
& VESELKA, L.L.P.  
Bank of America Center  
700 Louisiana, Suite 2300  
Houston, TX 77002  
Tel: (713) 221-2323  
Fax: (713) 221-2320

*Of Counsel:*

John M. Desmarais, P.C.  
john.desmarais@kirkland.com  
Timothy K. Gilman  
timothy.gilman@kirkland.com  
Tamir Packin  
tamir.packin@kirkland.com  
Xiaoyan Zhang  
xiaoyan.zhang@kirkland.com  
Ameet Modi  
ameet.modi@kirkland.com  
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP  
601 Lexington Avenue  
New York, New York 10022  
Tel.: (212) 446-4800  
Fax: (212) 446-4900

*Attorneys for Plaintiff/  
Counterclaim Defendant  
WesternGeco L.L.C.*

Dated: February 10, 2010

70:21) ION's current streamer steering devices were developed years later and do not embody the '992 patent. (Ex. 43 at 11:15-19; Ex. 44 at 115:21-116:2; Ex. 16 at 3)

**ARGUMENT**

**I. CLAIM TERMS ARE PROPERLY CONSTRUED BASED ON THEIR ORDINARY MEANING IN LIGHT OF THE PATENT'S SPECIFICATION**

"[T]he claims of a patent define the invention to which the patentee is entitled the right to exclude." *Phillips v. AWH Corp.*, 415 F.3d 1303, 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (*en banc*). "[T]he court has the power and obligation to construe as a matter of law the meaning of language used in the patent claim." *Markman v. Westview Instr. Inc.*, 52 F.3d 967, 979 (Fed. Cir. 1995) (*en banc*).

The "words of a claim 'are generally given their ordinary and customary meaning' . . . the meaning that the term would have to a person of ordinary skill in the art in question at the time of invention." *Phillips*, 415 F.3d at 1312-13. "Importantly, the person of ordinary skill in the art is deemed to read the claim term not only in the context of the particular claim in which the disputed term appears, but in the context of the entire patent, including the specification." *Id.* at 1313. "The specification 'is always highly relevant to the claim construction analysis. Usually, it is dispositive; it is the single best guide to the meaning of a disputed term.'" *Id.* at 1315.

**II. PROPOSED CONSTRUCTIONS FOR THE BITTLESTON PATENTS**

**(a) "streamer positioning device(s)"**

| Claim  | Term   | WesternGeco's Proposed Construction  | ION's Proposed Construction  |
|--|--|--|--|
| '017-1, 3-5, 7-8, 16; '967-1-9, 15; '607-1, 4-6, 8-9, 15; '520-1, 9, 18, 26. | "streamer positioning device(s)"; "the positioning device" | a device that controls the position of a streamer as it is towed ( <i>e.g.</i> , a "bird") | device(s) used to steer/position the streamer both vertically and horizontally |

Both parties include "streamer," "positioning" and "device" in their proposed construction. There is no contention that these words have unusual meanings or would be confusing for a jury. In such cases, claim construction "involves little more than the application

of the widely accepted meaning of commonly understood words." *Phillips*, 415 F.3d at 1314. WesternGeco's proposed construction is in accord with this principle — a "streamer positioning device" is "a device that controls the position of a streamer as it is towed (e.g., a 'bird')."

The specification confirms this ordinary meaning. "Birds," "deflectors" and "steerable tail buoys" are all disclosed as examples of "streamer positioning devices." (E.g., Ex. 1 at 10:23-30)<sup>4</sup> Some of these control the lateral position of the streamer as it is towed. (E.g., *id.* at 1:24-27) Some control the vertical position. (E.g., *id.* at 1:34-36) And some control both. (E.g., *id.* at 3:27-29) Specifically regarding birds, the specification discloses examples that are laterally steerable, vertically steerable and both. (*Id.* at 1:34-36, 1:47-52, 2:5-6 (citing Ex. 12 at WG24354-55)) There is no requirement that a "streamer positioning device" must control both lateral and vertical steering. (See Ex. 18, at ¶38; see also Ex. 41 at 52:19-53:2)

This construction is confirmed by the context of the claims. Claim 1 recites a "streamer positioning device having a wing . . . to steer the streamer positioning device laterally." (Ex. 1 at Cl. 1) If the "streamer positioning device" were limited to vertical and horizontal steering, the later limitation would be redundant. See *Stumbo v. Eastman Outdoors, Inc.*, 508 F.3d 1358, 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2007) (rejecting a proposed construction that rendered claim terms superfluous); *Merck & Co., Inc. v. Teva Pharms. USA, Inc.*, 395 F.3d 1364, 1372 (Fed. Cir. 2005) ("A claim construction that gives meaning to all of the terms of the claim is preferred over one that does not do so."); *Phillips*, 415 F.3d at 1314 ("[T]he claim in this case refers to 'steel baffles', which strongly implies that the term 'baffles' does not inherently mean objects made of steel.")

ION's proposed construction commits the "cardinal sin of claim construction" by

---

<sup>4</sup> As the specifications of the Bittleston patents are largely identical, citations are made to the '017 patent for convenience. To the extent any relevant differences exist, the patents are addressed separately herein.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.