FORM 26. Docketing Statement

DOCKET

	<b>No.</b> <u>2013-1527</u>
Western	Geco L.L.C.
	V.
ION Geo	pphysical Corporation
DC	DCKETING STATEMENT
14 days of the date of do this Docketing Statement days of docketing. All q	nt must be completed by all counsel and filed with the court within ocketing. When the United States or its officer or agency is a party t must be completed by all counsel and filed with the court within 30 juestions must be answered or the statement will be rejected.
Party is (select one)	✓       Appellant/Petitioner       □       Cross-Appellant         □       Appellee/Respondent       □       Intervenor
Tribunal appealed from a	and Case No. U.S.D.C., S.D.Tex., Case No. 09-CV-1827
	and Case No.       U.S.D.C., S.D.Tex., Case No. 09-CV-1827         June 19, 2013       Type of Case

**A L A R M** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Form 26

Form 26

## FORM 26. Docketing Statement (continued)

	☐ Final Judgment, 28 USC 1295		
	□ Rule 54(b)		
	Interlocutory Order (specify type) $\frac{\text{Grant of Plaintiffs}}{\text{Grant of Plaintiffs}}$	s Motion for Pe	rmanent Injunctio
	☐ Other (explain; <i>see</i> Fed. Cir. R. 28(a)(5))		
	and docket number of any related cases pending before g judge if an opinion was issued	this court plu	is the name of the
Whethei Defenda suit; der	tatement of the issues to be raised on appeal or the district court erred in: granting Plaintiff's motion for perr ant's post-trial motions on anticipation, obviousness, and nor nying Defendant's motion for post-trial discovery; its summar ction order; or its remaining holdings in the June 19, 2013 or	n-infringement or ry judgment or d	of the patents-in- ler; its claim
Whether Defenda suit; der construc	r the district court erred in: granting Plaintiff's motion for perr ant's post-trial motions on anticipation, obviousness, and nor nying Defendant's motion for post-trial discovery; its summar	n-infringement ry judgment ord der and throug	of the patents-in- ler; its claim hout the case.
Whether Defenda suit; der construc	er the district court erred in: granting Plaintiff's motion for perr ant's post-trial motions on anticipation, obviousness, and nor nying Defendant's motion for post-trial discovery; its summar ction order; or its remaining holdings in the June 19, 2013 or	n-infringement ry judgment ord der and throug	of the patents-in- ler; its claim hout the case.
Whether Defenda suit; der construc Have tl	er the district court erred in: granting Plaintiff's motion for perr ant's post-trial motions on anticipation, obviousness, and nor nying Defendant's motion for post-trial discovery; its summar ction order; or its remaining holdings in the June 19, 2013 or	n-infringement or ry judgment ord der and throug clement of this	of the patents-in- ler; its claim hout the case.
Whether Defenda suit; der construc Have tl	er the district court erred in: granting Plaintiff's motion for perr ant's post-trial motions on anticipation, obviousness, and nor nying Defendant's motion for post-trial discovery; its summar ction order; or its remaining holdings in the June 19, 2013 or here been discussions with other parties relating to sett	n-infringement or ry judgment ord der and throug clement of this	of the patents-in- ler; its claim hout the case.
Whether Defenda suit; der construc Have tl	The district court erred in: granting Plaintiff's motion for perr ant's post-trial motions on anticipation, obviousness, and nor nying Defendant's motion for post-trial discovery; its summar ction order; or its remaining holdings in the June 19, 2013 or there been discussions with other parties relating to sett a," when were the last such discussions?	n-infringement or ry judgment ord der and throug clement of this	of the patents-in- ler; its claim hout the case.
Whether Defenda suit; der construc Have tl	<ul> <li>The district court erred in: granting Plaintiff's motion for perrant's post-trial motions on anticipation, obviousness, and nor nying Defendant's motion for post-trial discovery; its summar ction order; or its remaining holdings in the June 19, 2013 or there been discussions with other parties relating to sett s," when were the last such discussions?</li> <li>Before the case was filed below?</li> </ul>	n-infringement or ry judgment ord der and throug clement of this	of the patents-in- ler; its claim hout the case.
Whether Defenda suit; der construc Have tl Have tl	<ul> <li>ar the district court erred in: granting Plaintiff's motion for perrant's post-trial motions on anticipation, obviousness, and nor nying Defendant's motion for post-trial discovery; its summar ction order; or its remaining holdings in the June 19, 2013 or here been discussions with other parties relating to sett</li> <li>a," when were the last such discussions?</li> <li>Before the case was filed below?</li> <li>During the pendency of the case below?</li> </ul>	n-infringement or ry judgment ord der and throug clement of this	of the patents-in- ler; its claim hout the case.

154

DOCKET

## FORM 26. Docketing Statement (continued)

DOCKET

Do you believe that this case may be amenal If you answered no, explain why not	
The parties attempted mediation in August, 2012.	Plaintiff refused Defendant's offer of post-trial ant understands that Plaintiff currently has no further
Provide any other information relevant to th program.	e inclusion of this case in the court's mediation
I certify that I filed an original and one copy the United States Court of Appeals for the F record, this 6th day of August	y of this Docketing Statement with the Clerk of rederal Circuit and served a copy on counsel of, 2013
by: ECF and E-mail	
	of service)
David J. Healey	
Name of Counsel	/s/ David J. Healey
Name of Counser	Signature of Counsel
Law Firm Fish & Richardson P.C.	
Law Firm Fish & Richardson P.C.	
Law Firm Fish & Richardson P.C. Address 1221 McKinney St., Suite 2800	
Law Firm Fish & Richardson P.C. Address <u>1221 McKinney St., Suite 2800</u> City, State, ZIP <u>Houston, TX 77008</u>	
Law Firm Fish & Richardson P.C. Address <u>1221 McKinney St., Suite 2800</u> City, State, ZIP <u>Houston, TX 77008</u> Telephone Number <u>713-654-5300</u>	
Law Firm Fish & Richardson P.C. Address <u>1221 McKinney St., Suite 2800</u> City, State, ZIP <u>Houston, TX 77008</u> Telephone Number <u>713-654-5300</u> FAX Number <u>713-652-0109</u>	
Law Firm Fish & Richardson P.C. Address <u>1221 McKinney St., Suite 2800</u> City, State, ZIP <u>Houston, TX 77008</u> Telephone Number <u>713-654-5300</u> FAX Number <u>713-652-0109</u>	
Law Firm Fish & Richardson P.C. Address <u>1221 McKinney St., Suite 2800</u> City, State, ZIP <u>Houston, TX 77008</u> Telephone Number <u>713-654-5300</u> FAX Number <u>713-652-0109</u>	

Form 26

**A L A R M** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Pursuant to Federal Circuit Rule 25, I hereby certify that on August 6, 2013, the

foregoing document was filed with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will

send notification of such filing to the attorneys of record listed below. Copies were also served

via e-mail.

/s/ David J. Healey David J. Healey

Gregg F. LoCascio, P.C. gregg.locascio@kirkland.com KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 655 Fifteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005-5793 Tel.: (202) 879-5000 Fax: (202) 879-5200

Timothy K. Gilman timothy.gilman@kirkland.com Sarah K. Tsou sarah.tsou@kirkland.com K1RKLAND & ELLIS LLP 601 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022 Tel.: (212) 446-4800 Fax: (212) 446-4900

Lee L. Kaplan lkaplan@skv.com SMYSER KAPLAN & VESELKA, L.L.P. Bank of America Center 700 Louisiana, Suite 2300 Houston, TX 77002 Tel.: (713) 221-2323 Fax: (713) 221-2320

DOCKET