

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ION GEOPHYSICAL CORPORATION AND ION INTERNATIONAL S.A.R.L.,
Petitioner

v.

WESTERNGECO LLC,
Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. 7,293,520

(Trial No. IPR2015-00565)

**MOTION FOR JOINDER UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 315(c) AND
37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b)**

Mail Stop Patent Board
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. STATEMENT OF RELIEF REQUESTED2

II. BACKGROUND AND RELATED PROCEEDINGS3

**III. THE BOARD HAS DISCRETION TO JOIN THE INSTANT IPR
WITH THE FIRST PGS IPR4**

**IV. THE BOARD SHOULD EXERCISE ITS DISCRETION BY
GRANTING JOINDER UNDER THE PRESENT CIRCUMSTANCES6**

**A. The grounds of unpatentability raised in ION’s Petition are identical to
that in the First PGS IPR and ION relies on no new evidence in support of
its Petition6**

B. Joinder will not affect timely completion of the First PGS IPR7

C. Briefing and discovery are not complicated by joinder8

D. Joinder is appropriate10

V. CONCLUSION12

I. STATEMENT OF RELIEF REQUESTED

ION Geophysical Corporation and ION International S.a.r.l. (collectively, “ION” or “Petitioners”) submit concurrently herewith a Petition for *inter partes* review of claims 1, 2, 6, 18, 19, and 23 of U.S. Patent No. 7,293,520 (“the ‘520 patent”), which has been designated as IPR2015-00565 (“Petition”). ION moves for joinder, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 315(c) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b), with respect to the pending *inter partes* review designated as IPR2014-00689 (“the first PGS IPR”) and requested by Petroleum Geo-Services Inc. (“PGS”). In compliance with the timing requirement of 37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b), the Petition and this motion for joinder are being filed no later than one month after the institution date of IPR2014-00689, which was December 15, 2014.

In accordance with the Board’s Representative Order identifying matters to be addressed in a motion for joinder (IPR2013-00004, Paper No. 15)¹, ION

¹ A motion for joinder should: (1) set forth the reasons why joinder is appropriate; (2) identify any new grounds of unpatentability asserted in the petition; (3) explain what impact (if any) joinder would have on the trial schedule for the existing review; and (4) address specifically how briefing and discovery may be simplified. *See Kyocera Corporation v. Softview LLC*, IPR2013-00004, Paper No. 15 at 4 (April 24, 2013).

submits that: (1) the grounds of unpatentability and supporting evidence raised in ION's Petition are identical to those at issue in the first PGS IPR; (2) joinder would not affect the timely completion of that proceeding; (3) ION is willing to accept reasonable restrictions on briefing and discovery that will minimize the burden of joinder on the Board and on the parties; and (4) joinder will ensure the maintenance of ION's ongoing interests in the Office's review of the '520 patent without prejudicing the existing parties.

Accordingly, ION respectfully asks the Board to grant ION joinder.

II. BACKGROUND AND RELATED PROCEEDINGS

WesternGeco has asserted claims of the '520 patent against various defendants in numerous lawsuits.² ION is the named defendant in Civ. Act. No. 4-09-cv-01827 (S.D. Tex), filed Jun. 12, 2009 ("the ION litigation"). Notably, WesternGeco's complaint against ION alleging infringement of the '520 patent

² The '520 patent is or has been the subject of the following civil actions: (i) Civ. Act. No. 4-09-cv-01827 (S.D. Tex.), filed Jun. 12, 2009; (ii) Civ. Act. No. 4-10-cv-02120 (S.D. Tex.), filed Jun. 16, 2010; (iii) Civ. Act. No. 4-13-cv-02385 (S.D. Tex.), filed Aug. 15, 2013; and (iv) Civ. Act. No. 4-13-cv-02725 (S.D. Tex.), filed Sep. 16, 2013.

was filed more than three years before the existence of *inter partes* review proceedings. *See* Ex. 1064 (docket from ION Litigation) at pp. 1, 17.

The '520 patent is the subject of two petitions for *inter partes* review filed by PGS, including the First PGS IPR and a separate petition that has been designated IPR2014-01478 (“the second PGS IPR”). The second PGS IPR has not yet been instituted and ION is not currently seeking joinder to the second PGS IPR.

In the Petition accompanying the instant motion for joinder, ION requests cancelation of claims 1, 2, 6, 18, 19, and 23 of the '520 patent, and proposes the following grounds of rejection, which are the same as those proposed in the first PGS IPR:

- 1) Claims 1 and 18 are anticipated under § 102(b) by Workman;
- 2) Claims 1, 2, 18, and 19 are obvious under § 103 based on Workman;
- 3) Claims 1, 2, 18, and 19 are anticipated under § 102(b) by Hedberg;
- 4) Claims 1, 2, 18, and 19 are obvious under § 103 based on Hedberg;
- 5) Claims 1, 6, 18, and 23 are obvious under § 103 based on the '636 PCT in view of the '153 PCT.
- 6) Claims 1, 6, 18, and 23 are obvious under § 103 based on the '636 PCT in view of Dolengowski.

III. THE BOARD HAS DISCRETION TO JOIN THE INSTANT IPR WITH THE FIRST PGS IPR

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.