

trials@uspto.gov

IPR2015-00545 Paper No. 68
IPR2015-00546 Paper No. 69
IPR2015-00547 Paper No. 69
IPR2015-00548 Paper No. 68
IPR2015-00551 Paper No. 69
IPR2015-00554 Paper No. 67
May 18, 2016

571-272-7822

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC, PAR
PHARMACEUTICAL, INC., AND WOCKHARDT BIO AG,
Petitioner,

v.

JAZZ PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2015-00545 (Patent 8,589,182 B1)
IPR2015-00546 (Patent 7,765,106 B2)
IPR2015-00547 (Patent 7,765,107 B2)
IPR2015-00548 (Patent 7,895,059 B2)
IPR2015-00551 (Patent 8,547,988 B1)
IPR2015-00554 (Patent 7,668,730 B2)

Held: April 19, 2016

BEFORE: JACQUELINE WRIGHT BONILLA, BRIAN P.
MURPHY, and JON B. TORNQUIST, Administrative Patent
Judges.

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Tuesday, April
19, 2016, commencing at 9:02 a.m., at the U.S. Patent and
Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.

Case IPR2015-00545
Patent 8,589,182 B1

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

RICHARD J. BERMAN, ESQUIRE
JANINE CARLAN, ESQUIRE
BRADFORD C. FRESE, ESQUIRE
Arent Fox, LLP
1717 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER:

F. DOMINIC CERRITO, ESQUIRE
EVANGELINE SHIH, ESQUIRE
FRANK C. CALVOSA, ESQUIRE
ERIC STOPS, ESQUIRE
GABE BRIER, ESQUIRE
Quinn, Emanuel, Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP
51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor
New York, New York 10010

1 MR. CERRITO: Good morning, Your Honors. Francis
2 Cerrito from Quinn Emanuel on behalf of Jazz. With me today is
3 Frank Calvosa, Eric Stops, Evangeline Shih and Gabe Brier. We
4 also have a corporate representative from Jazz, Jana Gold, with
5 us.

6 JUDGE MURPHY: Welcome everyone. And thanks
7 for arriving a little bit early. We are a little bit earlier than usual,
8 but we do appreciate it because we have longer arguments today.

9 So according to our hearing order, each side has
10 90 minutes. Mr. Berman, are petitioners going to split the
11 argument in any way or are you going to be presenting? How do
12 you want to work that?

13 MR. BERMAN: I will be presenting all of it, Your
14 Honor. And I'll be using 30 minutes for my initial presentation
15 and 60 minutes for rebuttal.

16 JUDGE MURPHY: Okay. So we have you on the
17 clock here, Mr. Berman. If you run over, that's fine. You'll just
18 reduce your rebuttal time. Mr. Cerrito, you'll have 90 minutes, no
19 rebuttal time.

20 I remind everyone that petitioners bear the ultimate
21 burden of proof based on the preponderance of the evidence of
22 their assertions upon patentability. I also remind the parties that
23 this is a public hearing. We will have a transcript. We have a
24 reporter up front. So please be mindful of that in terms of citing
25 exhibits in the demonstratives. And if you are quoting from the

1 patent or other evidence, just take your time so we can get it all
2 down and keep a clear record.

3 If there are no questions, Mr. Berman, please begin.

4 MR. BERMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Good
5 morning, Your Honors. Your Honors, here the patentees told the
6 public about their restricted drug distribution system for Xyrem
7 then tried to patent it a year and a half later, but you can't do that
8 under U.S. law. The documents disclosed to the public,
9 Exhibits 1003 through 1006, known collectively as the Advisory
10 Committee Act or the ACA, render the six patents at issue here
11 obvious.

12 Today I will be focusing on the following topics: The
13 public availability of the ACA, claim construction and
14 obviousness.

15 First the public availability issue. Jazz argues the
16 petitioners have failed to prove the materials provided to the
17 advisory committee, Exhibits 1003 through 1006, were publicly
18 available prior to the critical date of December 17, 2001. Now, it
19 is true that petitioners do not have eyewitness testimony that
20 these briefing materials were posted on the FDA's website by the
21 June 6, 2001, Xyrem advisory committee meeting. But it's well
22 settled that that's not necessary. Here the totality of the evidence,
23 which is the standard, easily shows that it's more likely than not
24 that the briefing materials were posted in advance of the meeting.
25 So let's go through this evidence.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.