

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

HTC CORPORATION and HTC AMERICA, INC.

Petitioners

v.

E-WATCH, INC. and E-WATCH CORPORATION

Patent Owner

---

CASE: To Be Assigned

Patent No. 7,643,168 B2

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW  
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,643,168 B2**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
EXHIBIT LIST .....	iii
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(B) .....	1
A. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST .....	1
B. RELATED MATTERS .....	1
C. NOTICE OF COUNSEL AND SERVICE INFORMATION .....	1
III. REQUIREMENTS FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW .....	2
A. GROUND FOR STANDING.....	2
B. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE, 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) .....	2
1. Claims Challenged.....	2
2. The Prior Art .....	2
3. Supporting Evidence Relied Upon For The Challenge .....	2
4. Statutory Ground(s) Of Challenge And Legal Principles.....	3
5. Claim Construction under 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b).....	3
6. How Claims Are Unpatentable Under Statutory Grounds Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.104 (b)(2) .....	3
IV. OVERVIEW OF THE 168 PATENT.....	3
A. PRIORITY DATE OF THE CLAIMS OF THE 168 PATENT .....	3
B. SUMMARY OF THE 168 PATENT .....	3
C. PROPOSED CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....	4
V. THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE 168 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE .....	6
A. IDENTIFICATION OF THE REFERENCES AS PRIOR ART.....	6
B. SUMMARY OF INVALIDITY POSITIONS .....	9
C. DIFFERENT INVALIDITY POSITIONS AGAINST EACH CLAIM ARE INDEPENDENT, DISTINCTIVE AND NOT REDUNDANT .....	10

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**  
(continued)

	<b>Page</b>
VI. DETAILED EXPLANATION OF FOR UNPATENTABILITY GROUNDS FOR CLAIMS 1-6, 8, 10-11, 13-18, 21-29 AND 31.....	12
A. GROUND 1: CLAIMS 1-6, 8, 10-11, 13-15, 21-29 AND 31 ARE UNPATENTABLE UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) AS BEING OBVIOUS OVER MORITA AND SARBADHIKARI.....	12
B. GROUND 2: CLAIMS 16-18 ARE OBVIOUS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) OVER MORITA, SARBADHIKARI, AND LONGGINOU .....	33
C. GROUND 3: CLAIMS 1-6, 8, 10-11, 16-18, 21-22, 24, 26-27 and 29 ARE UNPATENTABLE UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) AS BEING OBVIOUS OVER WILSKA AND YAMAGISHI- 992 .....	37
D. GROUND 4: CLAIMS 13-15, 23, 25, 28 AND 31 ARE OBVIOUS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) OVER WILSKA, YAMAGISHI-992 AND MCNELLEY .....	57
VII. CONCLUSION.....	59

## EXHIBIT LIST

- Ex. 1001 U.S. Patent No. 7,643,168 B2 to David A. Monroe (“the 168 Patent”)
- Ex. 1002 Certified Translation of the Japanese Patent Application Publication No. H06-133081 to Morita (“Morita”) and the corresponding Japanese language patent application
- Ex. 1003 U.S. Patent No. 5,477,264 to Sarbadhikari *et al.* (“Sardabhikari”)
- Ex. 1004 PCT Application Publication No. WO 95/23485 to Longginou (“Longginou”)
- Ex. 1005 U.K. Patent Application GB 2,289,555 A to Wilska *et al.* (“Wilska”)
- Ex. 1006 European Patent Application Publication No. 0594992 A1 to Yamagishi (“Yamagishi-992”)
- Ex. 1007 U.S. Patent No. 5,550,754 B2 to McNelley *et al.* (“McNelley”)
- Ex. 1008 Declaration of Kenneth Parulski including Attachments A-D (“Parulski Declaration”)

## I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 311 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.100, HTC Corporation and HTC America, Inc. (“Petitioners”) petition for *inter partes* review of claims 1-6, 8, 10-11, 13-18, 21-29 and 31 (“the Challenged Claims”) of U.S. Pat. No. 7,643,168 B2 (“the 168 Patent,” Ex. 1001). E-Watch, Inc. and E-Watch Corp. are referred to as “Patent Owner” because the 168 Patent is assigned to E-Watch, Inc. based on USPTO records, and E-Watch Corp. claims to be the exclusive licensee of the 168 Patent in their complaint filed under Case No. 2:13-cv-01063. This Petition demonstrates a reasonable likelihood that Petitioners will prevail with respect to at least one of the Challenged Claims which are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. §103.

## II. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(B)

### A. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

HTC Corporation and HTC America, Inc. are the real parties in interest.

### B. RELATED MATTERS

Patent Owner is asserting the 168 Patent and U.S. Pat. No. 7,365,871 B2 against Petitioners in an on-going patent infringement lawsuit in *E-WATCH, INC. and E-WATCH CORP. et al. v. HTC et al.*, 2:13-cv-01063 filed in the E. District of Texas on Dec. 9, 2013, and against other entities in 9 other lawsuits. In addition, Petitioners are pursuing a petition for *inter partes* review of the 871 Patent.

### C. NOTICE OF COUNSEL AND SERVICE INFORMATION

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.8(b)(3) and 42.10(a), Petitioners appoint Bing

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.