
Case: IPR2015-00533

Patent: 7,218,313

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SONY COMPUTER ENTERTAINMENT AMERICA LLC
Petitioner

v.

APLIX IP HOLDINGS CORPORATION
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2015-00533

Patent No. 7,218,313

DECLARATION OF DR. KARON MACLEAN

Mail stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandra, VA 22313-145

APLIX EXHIBIT 2007 SCEA v. APLIX IPR2015-00533
--

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. Background & Qualifications	1
A. Education background and career history.....	1
B. Research expertise	2
C. Collaborations with Industry	6
D. Professional service and recognition.....	7
E. Exhibits analyzed.....	8
II. Legal Framework	10
A. Scope and Content of the Prior Art.....	11
B. Differences Between the Art and the Invention	12
C. The Level of Skill in the Art.....	13
D. Objective Indicia.....	13
III. Opinion	14
A. Background of the Technology	14
1. Short history of handheld computing devices up to 2003.....	14
2. Short History of touchpads and use on computers generally and also on handheld devices up to 2003.....	16
3. Designing Handheld Devices	18
B. Summary of the '313 Patent.....	20
C. Person of ordinary skill in the art.....	23

D.	It is not obvious to combine Pallakoff and Ishihara with respect to claims 15 and 20	24
1.	Pallakoff teaches modifier buttons on the side, and teaches away from modifier buttons on the back, making implementation with Ishihara’s rear touchpad incompatible	26
2.	Ishihara does not teach use of a rear surface touchpad for modification of front-surface input elements or their functions, nor does Ishihara’s touchpad implementation support such a use	30
3.	Ishihara’s invention, intended to facilitate selection from a displayed list, is not functionally compatible (using methods taught by Ishihara) with front-surface key function modification. It would need substantial modification to be combined	30
4.	Pallakoff requires simultaneous activation of a combination of side-buttons, but multiple-touch sensing is not taught or available in Ishihara’s invention	31
5.	It is impractical to replace Pallakoff’s side-located modifier buttons with Ishihara’s back-surface touch pad due to user feedback needs	36
6.	The 533 Petition does not propose a benefit for combination that is compelling enough to motivate a skilled artisan to consider it.....	42
7.	The benefits of combination proposed by the 533 Petition are of limited viability and are incompatible with Pallakoff and Ishihara.....	43
E.	Combination of Pallakoff and Ishihara does not disclose certain ’313 limitations of the claims for which the proceeding has been instituted .	51
F.	Hedberg is not analogous to the '313 patent	52
G.	It is not obvious to combine Liebenow and Hedberg with respect to claims 50 and 51	55

1.	Not obvious to use Liebenow’s device for panning and zooming as taught by Hedberg, and supported by Hedberg’s motion sensors	55
2.	Hedberg teaches using accelerometer-type sensors to control a graphical portal through panning and zooming.....	56
3.	Liebenow does not teach any uses that would benefit from pan/zooming.....	57
4.	The pan/tilt interactions taught by Hedberg could interfere with Liebenow’s main purpose of data entry	57
5.	Not obvious how Hedberg’s motion sensors could be used for the text and digit entry taught by Liebenow.....	59
H.	Claim 37’s requirements that the “selectively configurable sensing surface” enables “provid[ing] a plurality of delineated active areas” which define a mapping of the sensing surface to the areas which are stored “for each application” is not met in Liebenow.....	62
IV.	Conclusion	71

I. Background & Qualifications

1. I have summarized in this section my educational background, career history, and other relevant qualifications. I have also attached a current version of my Curriculum Vitae as **Ex. 2008**.

A. Educational background and career history

2. I am presently a Full Professor at the University of British Columbia, with a regular appointment in Computer Science in the Faculty of Science, and a courtesy appointment in Mechanical Engineering in the Faculty of Applied Science. I have recently been a Visiting Professor at the University of Colorado (Boulder, Colorado, USA) and at the University of Canterbury (Christchurch, NZ).

3. In 1986 I received a B.S. degree in Mechanical Engineering and Biological Sciences from Stanford University. In 1988 I received a M.S. in Mechanical Engineering from Massachusetts of Technology, and 1996 a Ph.D. in Mechanical Engineering from Massachusetts of Technology.

4. From 1989 to 1991 I worked as a project engineer at the University of Utah's Center for Engineering Design in Salt Lake City, UT. From 1996 to 2000 I was a Member of Research Staff and Project Lead at Interval Research Corporation in Palo Alto, CA.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.