Case No. IPR2015-00523 Patent No. 6,321,229

Docket No.: 1361550.00478US1

Filed on behalf of Hewlett-Packard Company

By: David L. Cavanaugh, Reg. No. 36,476

Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP

1875 Pennsylvania Avenue NW

Washington, DC 20006

David.Cavanaugh@wilmerhale.com

Telephone: (202) 663-6025

Owen Allen, Reg. No. 71,118 Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP 950 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304

Owen.Allen@wilmerhale.com Telephone: (650) 600-5029

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_\_

### BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

\_\_\_\_

SERVICENOW, INC. Petitioner

V.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY
Patent Owner

\_\_\_\_\_

Case No. IPR2015-00523
Patent 6,321,229
Filed: January 5, 2015

# PATENT OWNER'S ELECTION TO WAIVE ITS PRELIMINARY RESPONSE



## Patent Owner Waiver of Preliminary Response

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.107(b), Patent Owner Hewlett-Packard Company ("HP") hereby elects to waive its patent owner preliminary response to the Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 6,321,229 ("'229 patent"). As indicated in the Trial Practice Guide, no adverse inference should be taken by this election. *See* Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48756, 48764 (Aug. 14, 2012). Patent Owner reserves all rights to submit a Patent Owner's Response and/or Amendment of the Patent pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.120 and 42.121, respectively, should the Board institute *Inter Partes* Review.

## Patent Owner Notice of Developments in Related litigation

Patent Owner HP wishes to make the Board aware of certain developments in the following related litigation for the '229 patent, which is also set forth in the Petition and HP's Mandatory Notices: *Hewlett-Packard Company v. ServiceNow, Inc.*, Case No. 14-CV-00570 BLF (N.D. Cal. Feb. 6, 2014). In this related litigation, the court granted Petitioner ServiceNow's motion for summary judgment of invalidity under 35 U.S.C. §101 for the claims of the '229 patent that are subject to the Petition. *See* Ex. 2001 (Order Granting Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity). The summary judgment motion did not involve issues under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102, 103. In addition, certain positions regarding claim



Case No. IPR2015-00523

Patent No. 6,321,229

Docket No.: 1361550.00478US1

Constructions were taken with respect to this motion. *See* Ex. 2001 (Order Granting Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity) at Ex. B (HP's Proposed Constructions), Ex. 2002 (Plaintiff Hewlett-Packard Company's Proposed Claim Constructions in Support of HP's Opposition to ServiceNow's Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity under 35 U.S.C. § 101) at 3. The briefing relating to this summary judgment motion is publicly available.

Respectfully Submitted,

Date: May 8, 2015 / David L. Cavanaugh/

David L. Cavanaugh, Reg. No. 36,476 Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP 1875 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20006

Email: David.Cavanaugh@wilmerhale.com

Telephone: (202) 663-6025 Facsimile: (202) 663-6363

Owen Allen, Reg. No. 71,118 Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP 950 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304

Email: Owen.Allen@wilmerhale.com

Telephone: (650) 600-5029 Facsimile: (650) 858-6100

Attorneys for Patent Owner Hewlett-Packard Company



Case No. IPR2015-00523

Patent No. 6,321,229 Docket No.: 1361550.00478US1

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 

I hereby certify that on May 8, 2015, I caused a true and correct copy of the

foregoing document:

• PATENT OWNER'S ELECTION TO WAIVE ITS PRELIMINARY

**RESPONSE** 

• Exhibits 2001-2002

to be served via email on the following attorneys of record for Petitioner:

• Heidi L. Keefe (hkeefe@cooley.com; zpatdcdocketing@cooley.com)

• Andrew C. Mace (amace@cooley.com; zpatdcdocketing@cooley.com)

/David L. Cavanaugh/ David L. Cavanaugh Registration No. 36,476



Case No. IPR2015-00523 Patent No. 6,321,229

Docket No.: 1361550.00478US1

# Exhibit List for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 6,321,229

Exhibit Description	Exhibit #
Order Granting Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment of	2001
Invalidity	
Plaintiff Hewlett-Packard Company's Proposed Claim	2002
Constructions in Support of HP's Opposition to ServiceNow's	
Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity under 35 U.S.C. §	
101	

