

RECORD OF ORAL HEARING

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LG DISPLAY CO., LTD., AND LG ELECTRONICS, INC.,

Petitioner,

vs

DELAWARE DISPLAY GROUP LLC,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-00506¹

Patent 7,434,973

Technology Center 2800

Oral Hearing Held: Tuesday, March 1, 2016

Before: THOMAS L. GIANNETTI; BEVERLY BUNTING
(via video link); and MICHELLE N. WORMMEESTER, Administrative
Patent Judges.

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Tuesday,
March 1, 2016, at 10:00 a.m., Hearing Room A, taken at the U.S. Patent and
Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.

REPORTED BY: RAYMOND G. BRYNTESON, RMR,
CRR, RDR

¹ Case IPR2015-01666 has been joined with this proceeding.

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

ROBERT G. PLUTA, ESQ.
AMANDA K. STREFF, ESQ.
Mayer Brown LLP
71 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606-4637
312-782-0600

BALDINE B. PAUL, ESQ.
JAMIE B. BEABER, ESQ.
Mayer Brown LLP
1999 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006-1101
202-263-3000

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

JUSTIN B. KIMBLE, ESQ.
Bragalone Conroy PC
Chase Tower
2200 Ross Avenue, Suite 4500W
Dallas, Texas 75201-7924
214-785-6673

P R O C E E D I N G S

(10:00 a.m.)

JUDGE WORMMEESTER: Good morning. Please be seated. This morning we have our final hearing in IPR2015-00506, LG Display Company v. Delaware Display Group, which concerns U.S. Patent No. 7,434,973. This case is joined with IPR2015-01666.

I'm Judge Wormmeester. To my right is Judge Giannetti. Judge Bunting is appearing remotely from Detroit this morning.

Let's get the parties' appearances, please. Who do we have for Petitioner?

MS. STREFF: Your Honor, Amanda Streff on behalf of Petitioners LG Display and LG Electronics.

MR. PAUL: Baldine Paul on behalf of Petitioner.

MR. PLUTA: Robert Pluta on behalf of Petitioner.

JUDGE BUNTING: Hello. This is Judge Bunting. Unless you speak into the microphone, I cannot hear you.

JUDGE GIANNETTI: You will have to speak up. We can't hear you very well here on the Bench here either. Let's try that again.

MS. STREFF: Amanda Streff on behalf of the Petitioners LG Display and LG Electronics. And with me is Baldine Paul and Robert Pluta and Jamie Beaber.

1 JUDGE WORMMEESTER: Thank you. And who
2 will be presenting the argument?

3 MS. STREFF: Your Honor, I will be presenting on
4 behalf of the Petitioners.

5 JUDGE WORMMEESTER: Good morning,
6 counsel. And for the Patent Owner who do we have?

7 MR. KIMBLE: Justin Kimble, Your Honor.

8 JUDGE WORMMEESTER: Thank you. Welcome.
9 Good to have you here.

10 We set forth the procedure for today's hearing in
11 our trial order, but just to remind everyone the way this will
12 work, each party will have 30 minutes to present arguments.

13 Petitioner has the burden and will go first, and you
14 may reserve time for rebuttal. Patent Owner will then have the
15 opportunity to present its response.

16 Please remember that Judge Bunting will be unable
17 to hear you unless you speak into the microphone. Also, when
18 referring to any demonstrative, please state the slide number
19 so she can follow along.

20 This is a reminder that the demonstrative exhibits
21 you submitted are not part of the record. The record of the
22 hearing will be the transcript. We will give you a warning
23 when you are into your rebuttal time or reaching the end of
24 your argument time.

1 Any questions before we proceed? Okay. Will you
2 be reserving any time?

3 MS. STREFF: Yes, Your Honor, I will.

4 JUDGE WORMMEESTER: How much?

5 MS. STREFF: 12 minutes, please.

6 JUDGE WORMMEESTER: 12 minutes. Okay.

7 Well, you may begin when you are ready.

8 MS. STREFF: Your Honor, if you would like we
9 have printouts of our demonstratives.

10 JUDGE WORMMEESTER: Sure.

11 MS. STREFF: May it please the Board. This IPR
12 was instituted on claims 1 through 5 as anticipated by
13 Shinohara. But this case really boils down to just two
14 limitations in dispute.

15 Because the evidence of record shows by a
16 preponderance of the evidence that Shinohara discloses those
17 two limitations, claims 1 through 5 of the '973 patent are
18 unpatentable.

19 The only other issue in this proceeding is the
20 priority date of the '973 patent. However, all Patent Owner
21 has done is provide additional citations to the same concepts
22 in the '751 patent that the Institution Decision already
23 considered.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.