# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

# BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

DISH NETWORK L.L.C. and SIRIUS XM RADIO INC., Petitioner,

v.

DRAGON INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, Patent Owner.

> Case IPR2015-00499<sup>1</sup> Patent 5,930,444

Held: February 9, 2016

BEFORE: NEIL T. POWELL, STACEY G. WHITE, and J. JOHN LEE, Administrative Patent Judges.

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Tuesday, February 9, 2016, commencing at 1:30 p.m., at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.

<sup>1</sup> Case IPR2015-01735 has been joined with this proceeding.

DOCKE

### **APPEARANCES:**

DOCKET

### ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

ELIOT D. WILLIAMS, ESQ. HOPKINS GUY, ESQ. JAMIE LYNN, ESQ. Baker Botts L.L.P. 1001 Page Mill Road Building One, Suite 200 Palo Alto, California 94304-1007

### ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER:

KAI ZHU, ESQ. Dragon Intellectual Property, LLC 2700 Plumas Street #120 Reno, NV 89509

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	JUDGE POWELL: Good afternoon. This is the
4	hearing for IPR2015-00499, which involves U.S. Patent Number
5	5,930,444. IPR2015-01735 has been joined with
6	IPR2015-00499. Here with me in the hearing room we have
7	Judge Lee, and joining us remotely from Dallas we have Judge
8	White on the monitor over there to my left.
9	Can counsel please state your names for the record.
10	MR. WILLIAMS: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Eliot
11	Williams with Baker Botts for the Petitioner. With me is
12	Hopkins Guy, Jamie Lynn, and in-house director of IP for Dish
13	Network Jim Hanft.
14	MR. ZHU: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Kai Zhu from
15	Dragon representing Dragon Intellectual Property LLC. With me
16	is Mr. Lei Mei as an observer.
17	MR. MEI: Your Honors, I am just here to observe as a
18	member of the public.
19	JUDGE POWELL: Okay, thank you. Per the hearing
20	order, the Petitioner will have 30 minutes of argument time and
21	the Patent Owner will likewise have 30 minutes of argument
22	time. We will start with the Petitioner who may reserve time for
23	rebuttal. Patent Owner will then respond to Petitioner's
24	presentation and we will close then with Petitioner with the
25	opportunity for Petitioner to use any remaining time to respond to

1	Patent Owner's presentation. When you present, you must
2	identify each demonstrative exhibit clearly and specifically, such
3	as by slide number or screen number, that is particularly
4	important because Judge White cannot view the projection screen
5	here in the hearing room.
6	With that, are there any questions before we begin?
7	(No response.)
8	JUDGE POWELL: Okay, we will start with Petitioner.
9	Would you like to reserve any time?
10	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, Your Honor, I'll reserve 15
11	minutes.
12	JUDGE POWELL: Fifteen?
13	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. And I have hard copies of the
14	demonstratives if the Board would like them.
15	JUDGE POWELL: Certainly. Whenever you're ready.
16	MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Your Honor. If it
17	pleases the Board, again, my name is Eliot Williams, I represent
18	Dish Network, the Petitioner in this action. Before I get into the
19	substance of my presentation, I did just want to take a moment to
20	acknowledge the Board's final written decision in the Unified
21	Patents versus Dragon matter, which is IPR2014-01252, so we
22	did see a copy of the Board's final written decision in that
23	proceeding late last week.
24	I just wanted to note that to the extent that decision does
25	address the Goldwasser reference, which is part of the grounds in

4

1 this proceeding, Petitioner agrees with the Board's conclusions in 2 that final written decision, including the conclusion that 3 Goldwasser discloses the interruption in the preamble of claim 1 4 and 14 of the '444 patent, as well as the Board's conclusions about 5 the use of remote control, and specifically I believe the Board 6 found that the use of a remote control with play and record 7 buttons to invoke the play and record capabilities of time shifting 8 devices, such as -- in that case the Ulmer and Goldwasser 9 references, was within the level of skill in the art. We agree with 10 that discussion. We think that conclusion applies here as well and results in unpatentability of the claims for a very similar 11 12 reason. JUDGE POWELL: Well, I would just say, we'll 13 14 consider the merits of this case based on the documents filed in 15 this case and the arguments made in this case. 16 MR. WILLIAMS: Absolutely, and we hope that the 17 Board will, and that's completely our intention. Let me just begin by discussing the Goldwasser 18 19 reference. It is Petitioner's argument that the Goldwasser 20 reference essentially discloses all of the claimed elements of 21 claim 1 and 14 with the exception of the two-key keyboard. So, 22 let me just -- and then with respect to the two-key keyboard, it's 23 our contention that it would be obvious to use a two-key 24 keyboard in place of what Goldwasser does disclose, which is a 25 user control panel.

5

# DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

# API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

# E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.