UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LG ELECTRONICS, INC.
Petitioner

v.

INNOVATIVE DISPLAY TECHNOLOGIES LLC Patent Owner

Case: IPR2015-00493

Patent 7,537,370

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,537,370



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	MAN	IDATORY NOTICES	1	
II.	PAYMENT OF FEES			
III.	STANDING			
IV.	_	UEST FOR <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW OF CLAIMS 1, 4, 8, 13, 15, ND 47 OF THE '370 PATENT		
	Α.	Technology Background	4	
	В.	The Alleged Invention Of The '370 Patent	6	
V.	CLAI	IM CONSTRUCTION	6	
	Α.	Standards For Claim Construction	6	
		Broadest Reasonable Construction	6	
	В.	"deformities" (Claims 1, 4, 8, 13, 15, 27, 29, And 47)	7	
VI.		MARY OF PRIOR ART TO THE '370 PATENT FORMING THE S FOR THIS PETITION		
	Α.	Admitted Prior Art	7	
	В.	U.S. Patent No. 5,005,108 ("Pristash") (Ex. 1006)	8	
	C.	EP 0 500 960 A1 ("Ohe") (Ex. 1007)	8	
	D.	U.S. Patent No. 5,408,388 ("Kobayashi") (Ex. 1008)	9	
VII.	GRO	UNDS FOR UNPATENTABILITY OF EACH CLAIM	9	
	Α.	Ground 1: Claims 1, 4, 8, 13, 15, 27, 29, And 47 Are Unpatenta Under 35 U.S.C. §103 As Being Obvious In View Of Pristash		
	В.	Ground 2: Claims 1, 4, 8, 15, And 29 Are Unpatentable Under	35	

		U.S.C. §102(b) As Being Anticipated By Ohe	28
	C.	Ground 3: Claims 1, 4, And 29 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S. §102(b) As Being Anticipated By Kobayashi	
	D.	Ground 4: Claims 13, 15, 27, And 47 Are Unpatentable Under U.S.C. §103 As Being Rendered Obvious By Kobayashi In View The Admitted Prior Art Or Pristash	Of
VIII.	CONO	CLUSION	58

PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT LIST

Description	Exhibit #
U.S. Patent No. 7,537,370	1001
Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 7,537,370	1002
Complaints filed in Related District Court Cases	1003
Declaration of Michael J. Escuti, Ph.D. ("Escuti Decl.")	1004
U.S. Patent No. 5,461,547 ("Ciupke")	1005
U.S. Patent No. 5,005,108 ("Pristash")	1006
EP500960 ("Ohe")	1007
U.S. Patent No. 5,408,388 ("Kobayashi")	1008
U.S. Patent No. 5,160,195 ("Miller")	1009
J. A. Castellano, Handbook of Display Technology, Academic Press Inc., San	1010
Diego, 1992, at pp. 9-13 and Ch. 8	
U.S. Patent No. 5,598,280 ("Nishio")	1011
U.S. Patent No. 5,384,658 ("Ohtake")	1012
U.S. Patent No. 5,303,322 ("Winston")	1013
U.S. Patent No. 5,050,946 ("Hathaway")	1014
U.S. Patent No. 5,828,488 ("Ouderkirk")	1015
3M product brochure 75-0500-0403-7, "Brightness Enhancement Film	1016
(BEF)," 2 pages (1993)	
U.S. Patent No. 5,706,134 ("Konno")	1017
U.S. Patent No. 5,944,405 ("Takeuchi")	1018

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §311, Petitioner hereby respectfully requests *inter partes* review of Claims 1, 4, 8, 13, 15, 27, 29, and 47 of Ex. 1001, U.S. Patent No. 7,537,370 ("the '370 Patent") which issued on May 26, 2009. The challenged claims are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. §§102 and 103 over the prior art publications identified and applied in this Petition.

I. MANDATORY NOTICES

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §42.8, Petitioner provides the following mandatory disclosures:

A. Real Parties-In-Interest. LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc. is a real party-in-interest with Petitioner, LG Electronics, Inc.

B. Related Matters. Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(2), Petitioner submits that the '370 Patent is the subject of a patent infringement lawsuit brought by the Patent Owner, Innovative Display Technologies LLC (see Ex. 1003), against Petitioner in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware: Delaware Display Group LLC and Innovative Display Technologies LLC v. LG Electronics, Inc., LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc., LG Display Co., Ltd., and LG Display America, Inc., Case No. 1:13-cv-02109. The '370 Patent is also asserted in at least the actions listed in the chart below.

Description	Docket Number
IDT v. AT&T Inc., et. al.	2:14-cv-00720, EDTX
IDT v. BMW of North America, LLC, et. al.	2:14-cv-00106, EDTX
IDT v. Dell Inc.	2:13-cv-00523, EDTX



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

