Filed on behalf of Innovative Display Technologies LLC

By: Justin B. Kimble (jkimble@bcpc-law.com)

Jeffrey R. Bragalone (jbragalone@bcpc-law.com)

Bragalone Conroy PC

2200 Ross Ave.

Suite 4500 – West

Dallas, TX 75201

Tel: 214.785.6670

Fax: 214.786.6680

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LG ELECTRONICS, INC. Petitioner,

v.

## INNOVATIVE DISPLAY TECHNOLOGIES LLC, Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-00490 U.S. Patent No. 7,300,194

#### PATENT OWNER'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR JOINDER

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD Patent Trial and Appeal Board U.S. Patent & Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450



Patent Owner Innovative Display Technologies ("IDT" or "Patent Owner") hereby files this opposition to the Motion for Joinder ("Motion," Paper No. 3) filed by LG Electronics, Inc. ("Petitioner"). Patent Owner hereby requests that the Board deny Petitioner's Motion.

## I. STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS

- 1. IDT's patent portfolio is currently subject to 20 IPRs:
  - a. IPR2014-01092 (U.S. Patent No. 7,434,974);
  - b. IPR2014-01094 (U.S. Patent No. 7,404,660);
  - c. IPR2014-01095 (U.S. Patent No. 8,215,816);
  - d. IPR2014-01096 (U.S. Patent No. 7,537,370);
  - e. IPR2014-01097 (U.S. Patent No. 7,300,194);
  - f. IPR2014-01357 (U.S. Patent No. 6,755,547);
  - g. IPR2014-01362 (U.S. Patent No. 7,384,177);
  - h. IPR2015-00359 (U.S. Patent No. 7,384,177);
  - i. IPR2015-00360 (U.S. Patent No. 7,300,194);
  - j. IPR2015-00361 (U.S. Patent No. 6,755,547);
  - k. IPR2015-00363 (U.S. Patent No. 7,404,660);
  - 1. IPR2015-00366 (U.S. Patent No. 8,215,816);
  - m. IPR2015-00368 (U.S. Patent No. 7,434,974);
  - n. IPR2015-00487 (U.S. Patent No. 7,404,660);



- o. IPR2015-00489 (U.S. Patent No. 7,384,177);
- p. IPR2015-00490 (U.S. Patent No. 7,300,194);
- q. IPR2015-00493 (U.S. Patent No. 7,537,370);
- r. IPR2015-00495 (U.S. Patent No. 7,404,660);
- s. IPR2015-00496 (U.S. Patent No. 8,215,816); and
- t. IPR2015-00497 (U.S. Patent No. 7,434,974).
- 2. As seen above, many of those IPRs cover overlapping patents. In fact, the majority of those overlapping IPRs purport to advance identical grounds, the only difference being that different entities filed them. That is the case for the three IPRs concerning the patent-at-issue, U.S. Patent 7,300,194 (the "'194 patent"). Those three IPRs are IPR2014-01097; IPR2015-00360; and IPR2015-00490 (the instant IPR). Each of those three IPRs purports to advance nearly identical grounds of invalidity. See Motion at 1 ("Indeed, the invalidity grounds raised in this IPR are identical to the invalidity grounds raised in the LGD IPR."); see also Mercedes-Benz USA, LLC and Mercedes-Benz U.S. International, Inc., v. Innovative Display Technologies LLC, IPR2015-00360, Paper 3 at 1 (stating the same using exactly the same language) ("Indeed, the invalidity grounds raised in this IPR are identical to the invalidity grounds raised in the LG IPR.").
- 3. LG Display Co., Ltd. filed IPR2014-01097 (the "first IPR") against the '194 patent on July 1, 2014.



- 4. Mercedes-Benz USA, LLC and Mercedes-Benz U.S. International, Inc. filed IPR2015-00360 against the '194 patent on December 4, 2014, seeking to join the first IPR.
- 5. Petitioner LG Electronics, Inc. filed IPR2015-00490 (the instant IPR) against the '194 patent on December 29, 2014, also seeking to join the first IPR.
- 6. On January 13, 2015, the Board instituted the first IPR on the following grounds:
  - a. Obviousness of claims 1, 4–6, and 28 over Pristash;
  - b. Anticipation of claims 1, 16, 22, 23, 27, and 31 by Funamoto;
  - c. Obviousness of claims 4, 5, and 6 over Funamoto;
  - d. Anticipation of claim 28 by Kobayashi; and
  - e. Anticipation of claims 1, 4–6, and 28 by Nishio.

No other proposed grounds of unpatentability were authorized. IPR2014-01097, Paper 9 at 18

- 7. On January 13, 2015, the Board also set a schedule for the first IPR, wherein Patent Owner's response is due on April 6, 2015 and oral argument is set for September 21, 2015. IPR2014-01097, Paper 10 at 5.
- 8. The schedule set for IPR2014-01097 was set concurrent and identical to the schedule of IPR2014-01096, which involves related patent 7,537,370. *Id.*.



## II. AUTHORITY

To serve as a statutory basis for joinder, an IPR must first be instituted. See 35 U.S.C. § 315 ("If the Director institutes an inter partes review, the Director, in his or her discretion, may join as a party to that *inter partes* review any person who properly files a petition under section 311 ...") (emphasis added). Once instituted, the Board has the discretion to join an inter partes review under 35 U.S.C. § 315. If joinder is ordered, the Board has discretion to adjust the time period for issuing a final determination in an *inter partes* review. 35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(11); 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(c). The Board determines whether to grant joinder on a case-by-case basis, taking into account the particular facts of each case, substantive and procedural issues, and other considerations. IPR2014-00702, Decision, July 24, 2014, Paper 12 at 3 (citing 157 CONG. REC. S1376 (daily ed. Mar. 8, 2011) (statement of Sen. Kyl)). When exercising its discretion to join IPR proceedings, the Board is mindful that patent trial regulations, including the rules for joinder, must be construed to secure the just, speedy, and inexpensive resolution of every proceeding. Id. (citing 35 U.S.C. § 316(b); 37 C.F.R. § 42.1(b)).

The moving party has the burden of proof to establish that it is entitled to the requested relief. 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.20(c), 42.122(b). To determine whether to grant a motion for joinder, the Board considers many factors, including, (1) time and cost



# DOCKET

## Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

