
Case: IPR2015-00476

Patent: 7,218,313

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SONY COMPUTER ENTERTAINMENT AMERICA LLC
Petitioner

v.

APLIX IP HOLDINGS CORPORATION
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2015-00476

Patent No. 7,218,313

DECLARATION OF DR. KARON MACLEAN

Mail stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandra, VA 22313-145

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. Background & Qualifications	1
A. Education background and career history.....	1
B. Research expertise	2
C. Collaborations with Industry	6
D. Professional service and recognition.....	7
E. Exhibits analyzed.....	8
II. Legal Framework	10
A. Scope and Content of the Prior Art.....	11
B. Differences Between the Art and the Invention	12
C. The Level of Skill in the Art.....	13
D. Objective Indicia.....	13
III. Opinion	14
A. Background of the Technology	14
1. Short history of handheld computing devices up to 2003.....	14
2. Short History of touchpads and use on computers generally and also on handheld devices up to 2003.....	15
3. Designing Handheld Devices	18
B. Summary of the '313 Patent.....	20
C. Person of ordinary skill in the art.....	23

D.	It is not obvious to combine Pallakoff and Liebenow with respect to claims 21-24, 26, 52-56 and 58.....	24
1.	Pallakoff and Liebenow represent fundamentally different design approaches, which do not work in combination	26
2.	Pallakoff teaches modifier buttons on the side, and teaches away from modifier buttons on the back, making implementation with Liebenow’s rear touchpad incompatible	28
3.	Liebenow does not teach use of a rear surface touch panel for modification of front-surface input elements or their functions, nor does Liebenow’s touch panel implementation support such a use. Instead, Liebenow explicitly places modifier buttons on the front (or side)	32
4.	Liebenow’s invention of rear-surface touch panel input, intended to facilitate typing on a rear surface while finger locations are displayed on the front display, is not functionally compatible (using methods taught by Liebenow) with front-surface key function modification. It would need substantial modification to be combined	33
5.	Pallakoff requires simultaneous activation of a combination of side-buttons, but multiple-touch sensing is not taught or available in Liebenow’s invention.....	34
6.	It is impractical to replace Pallakoff’s side-located modifier buttons with Liebenow’s back-surface touch pad due to user feedback needs	38
7.	The proposed benefit of combination proposed by the 476 Petition is of limited viability and is incompatible with Pallakoff	41
E.	Hedberg is not analogous to the ’313 patent	45
IV.	Conclusion	47

I. Background & Qualifications

1. I have summarized in this section my educational background, career history, and other relevant qualifications. I have also attached a current version of my Curriculum Vitae as **Ex. 2008**.

A. Educational background and career history

2. I am presently a Full Professor at the University of British Columbia, with a regular appointment in Computer Science in the Faculty of Science, and a courtesy appointment in Mechanical Engineering in the Faculty of Applied Science. I have recently been a Visiting Professor at the University of Colorado (Boulder, Colorado, USA) and at the University of Canterbury (Christchurch, NZ).

3. In 1986 I received a B.S. degree in Mechanical Engineering and Biological Sciences from Stanford University. In 1988 I received a M.S. in Mechanical Engineering from Massachusetts of Technology, and 1996 a Ph.D. in Mechanical Engineering from Massachusetts of Technology.

4. From 1989 to 1991 I worked as a project engineer at the University of Utah's Center for Engineering Design in Salt Lake City, UT. From 1996 to 2000 I was a Member of Research Staff and Project Lead at Interval Research Corporation in Palo Alto, CA.

B. Research expertise

5. The majority of my research relates to different aspects of the design of physical interfaces for human use. These draw on disciplines of Human Computer Interaction (HCI), robotics and mechatronics, human biomechanics, psychophysics and cognition, and design practices more broadly. As a sample, my research includes design of interaction techniques for handheld devices, invention of flexible sensors for touch sensing and machine-learning recognition of human gestural touch, prototyping tools for haptic designers, psychophysically-based tools for creating sets of “haptic icons” (meaningful signals rendered by tactile and force-feedback actuators), and design and deployment of emotional touch in therapeutic applications of touch-based affective robots. Other research interests include human-robot interaction (HRI), accelerometer based motion recognition and interactive motion guidance for users, and mobile tools for systematizing health issue investigation.

6. With my students and postdocs, I have co-authored over 100 peer-reviewed publications in these areas. In the fields of HCI and HRI, top-tier (peer-reviewed, 20-25% acceptance) conferences are a primary mode of publication. My team has received seven “Best Paper” awards and two additional runners-up in the last 10 years at such conferences, including the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI), ACM/IEEE Human Robot Interaction (HRI), IEEE HAPTICS and ACM ICMI (Int’l Conference on Multimodal Interaction).

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.