

EXHIBIT 1071

Rebuttal Declaration of Lester J. Kozlowski dated Jan. 19, 2016

TRW Automotive U.S. LLC: EXHIBIT 1071  
PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW  
OF U.S. PATENT NUMBER 8,599,001  
IPR2015-00436

Trials@uspto.gov  
571-272-7822

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

TRW AUTOMOTIVE U.S. LLC

Petitioner

v.

MAGNA ELECTRONICS, INC.

Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2015-00436<sup>1</sup>

Patent 8,599,001 B2

---

**REBUTTAL DECLARATION OF TRW'S EXPERT  
LESTER J. KOZLOWSKI**

---

<sup>1</sup>Cases IPR2015-00437, IPR2015-00438, and IPR2015-00439 have been consolidated with this proceeding.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
II.	QUALIFICATIONS.....	12
III.	DOCUMENTS REVIEWED .....	22
IV.	LAW GOVERNING OBVIOUSNESS.....	29
V.	GLOSSARY OF TERMS USED TO DESCRIBE IMAGE SENSORS.....	31
VI.	MOS-BASED IMAGE SENSING AND MOORE’S LAW.....	37
VI.A.	THE WORKINGS OF MOS-BASED IMAGE SENSORS.....	37
VI.B.	THE EVOLUTION OF MOS-BASED SENSORS FROM 1960’S TO THE TIME OF THE ‘001 PATENT AND BEYOND.....	51
VI.C.	THE PASSIVE PIXEL TECHNOLOGY.....	63
VI.C.1.	THE EVOLUTION OF PASSIVE PIXEL SENSORS LEADING UP THE FOUNDING OF VLSI VISION LIMITED.....	63
VI.C.2.	LEVERAGING OF THE WORK OF THE PRIOR ARTISANS BY DENYER AND RENSHAW TO FORMVVL AND EXPLOIT THE PASSIVE PIXEL TECHNOLOGY.....	81
VI.C.3.	THE PASSIVE PIXEL SENSORS DEVELOPED BY DR. DENYER’S TEAM MATCHED THE PERFORMANCE OF TYPICAL CCD’S.....	90
VII.	REBUTTAL ARGUMENTS.....	95
VII.A.	VISION SYSTEMS.....	95
VII.A.1.	THE IMPUTER WAS A COMPLETELY GENERIC DEVELOPMENT PLATFORM FOR VISION SYSTEMS.....	95
VII.A.2.	ETIENNE-CUMMINGS’ REPEATED RELIANCE	

	ON MOINI (EX. 2005) IS IN INAPT.....	101
<b>VII.B.</b>	<b>VEHICULAR VISION SYSTEMS.....</b>	<b>108</b>
<b>VII.B.1.</b>	<b>THE GOAL OF THE SKILLED ARTISAN AT THE TIME OF THE ALLEGED INNOVATION, AND ALSO TODAY, WAS TO BOLSTER—AND NOT DIMINISH—THE VERSATILITY OF VEHICULAR VISION SYSTEMS.....</b>	<b>108</b>
<b>VII.B.2.</b>	<b>THE FACT THAT AN “IDEAL SENSOR WAS NOT YET DISCOVERED” HAS LITTLE APPLICABILITY TO THE ISSUES AT HAND.....</b>	<b>112</b>
<b>VII.C.</b>	<b>IMAGE SENSORS.....</b>	<b>113</b>
<b>VII.C.1.</b>	<b>THE ACHILLES HEEL OF CCD WAS NOT THE CHARGE TRANSFER EFFICIENCY.....</b>	<b>113</b>
<b>VII.C.2.</b>	<b>CMOS SENSORS.....</b>	<b>115</b>
<b>VII.C.2.i</b>	<b>AT THE TIME OF THE ALLEGED INNOVATION, THE ARTISAN HAD MANY GOOD REASONS TO SELECT CMOS TECHNOLOGY—INCLUDING PASSIVE PIXEL CMOS TECHNOLOGY—FOR AUTOMOTIVE VISION SYSTEMS.....</b>	<b>115</b>
<b>VII.C.2.ii</b>	<b>THE ‘001 PATENT, LIKE VELLACOTT, CLEARLY SPECIFIES VVL PASSIVE PIXEL SENSOR TECHNOLOGY.....</b>	<b>125</b>

<b>VII.D.</b>	<b>ATTRIBUTES CHARACTERIZING IMAGE SENSORS.....</b>	<b>130</b>
<b>VII.E.</b>	<b>IMAGE INTENSIFIERS .....</b>	<b>133</b>
<b>VII.F.</b>	<b>SUMMARY OF THE ASSERTED REFERENCES....</b>	<b>134</b>
<b>VII.F.1.</b>	<b>VELLACOTT.....</b>	<b>134</b>
<b>VII.F.2</b>	<b>KENUE.....</b>	<b>140</b>
<b>VII.F.3</b>	<b>VENTURELLO.....</b>	<b>142</b>
<b>VII.F.4.</b>	<b>SCHOFIELD.....</b>	<b>145</b>
<b>VII.G.</b>	<b>THE APPLIED PRIOR ART RENDERS THE INSTITUTED CLAIMS INVALID.....</b>	<b>147</b>
<b>VII.G.1.</b>	<b>THE SKILLED ARTISAN WOULD HAVE FOUND IT OBVIOUS TO COMBINE VELLACOTT AND KENUE, AND THE PROPOSED MODIFICATION WOULD NOT RENDER VELLACOTT UNSUITABLE FOR ITS INTENDED PURPOSE .....</b>	<b>147</b>
<b>VII.G.2.</b>	<b>THE ARTISAN WOULD HAVE APPRECIATED THAT THE IMPUTER WAS CAPABLE OF DETECTING HEADLIGHTS IN THE FORWARD FIELD OF VIEW AND HE COULD AND WOULD HAVE USED THE IMPUTER IN THIS FASHION.....</b>	<b>156</b>
<b>VII.G.2.i</b>	<b>ETIENNE-CUMMINGS MISCALCULATES THE DYNAMIC RANGE OF THE IMPUTER.....</b>	<b>159</b>
<b>VII.G.2.ii</b>	<b>THE IMPUTER SENSOR HAS A GREATER NUMBER OF PIXELS THAN THE ‘001 PATENT SENSOR, AND THE IMPUTER CAN BE PROGRAMMED SO AS TO USE ONLY A SUBSET OF THE 256 X 256 ARRAY.....</b>	<b>162</b>
<b>VII.G.2.iii</b>	<b>THE FIELD OF VIEW OF THE IMPUTER IS NOT LIMITED TO “90° (DIRECTION NOT SPECIFIED)” .....</b>	<b>164</b>

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.