

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner

v.

E-WATCH, INC.,
Patent Owner

IPR2015-00414
U.S. Patent No. 7,643,168 B2

PETITIONER'S REPLY

Mail Stop **Patent Board**
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. ARGUMENT	3
A. “Specific Reference” Must Have Been Made To Each “Earlier Filed Application” In The ADS or Specification	3
1. The “Specific Reference” Must Identify And Indicate The Relationship Between All Of The Prior Applications	4
2. The “Specific Reference” Must Be Made In The ADS Or First Sentences Of The Specification	8
B. Neither The ADS Nor Specification Of The ’509 Application Contains A “Specific Reference” To The ’073 Application	10
C. A Reasonable Person Of Ordinary Skill In The Art Would Look For Priority On Face Of The Patent	15
D. There Is No “Routine Approach” Exception To The “Specific Reference” Requirement Of 35 U.S.C. § 120	18
III. CONCLUSION	20

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Page(s)</u>
Cases	
<i>Airbus S.A.S. v. Firepass Corp.</i> , 793 F.3d 1376 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	5
<i>Broadcast Innovation, L.L.C. v. Charter Commc'ns, Inc.</i> , 420 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	13
<i>Encyclopaedia Britannica, Inc. v. Alpine Elecs. of Am., Inc.</i> , 609 F.3d 1345 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	4, 7
<i>Hollmer v. Harari</i> , 681 F.3d 1351 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	15, 16, 18
<i>Hovlid v. Asari</i> , 305 F.2d 747 (9th Cir. 1962)	6
<i>Medtronic CoreValve LLC v. Edwards Lifesciences Corp.</i> , 741 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	4, 12, 13, 17, 18
<i>Sampson v. Ampex Corp.</i> , 463 F.2d 1042 (2d Cir. 1972)	16, 18
<i>Santarus, Inc. v. Par Pharm., Inc.</i> , 694 F.3d 1344 (Fed. Cir. 2012) (Newman, J., concurring in part and dissenting in part).....	13
<i>Sticker Indus. Supply Corp. v. Blaw-Knox Co.</i> , 405 F.2d 90 (7th Cir. 1968)	6, 18
<i>Zenon Env'tl., Inc. v. U.S. Filter Corp.</i> , 506 F.3d 1370 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	13, 18
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 120	2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19
Regulations	
37 C.F.R. § 1.14 (July 2006).....	5, 8
37 C.F.R. § 1.78(a) (July 2006)	9

37 C.F.R. § 1.78(a)(2) (July 2006).....8, 9

37 C.F.R. § 1.78(a)(2)(i) (July 2006)..... 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 14, 17, 20

37 C.F.R. § 1.78(a)(2)(iii) (July 2006)5, 9, 16

Other Authorities

MPEP § 201.116, 7, 9, 12, 13

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Document
1001	U.S. Patent No. 7,643,168 (the “’168 patent”)
1002	Application Data Sheet filed December 28, 2006 (from the file history of the ’168 patent)
1003	Specification filed December 28, 2006 (from the file history of the ’168 patent)
1004	Preliminary Amendment filed December 28, 2006 (from the file history of the ’168 patent)
1005	Filing Receipt mailed February 2, 2007 (from the file history of the ’168 patent)
1006	WO 1999/035818 (the “’818 publication”)
1007	Notice of Publication mailed May 17, 2007 (from the file history of the ’168 patent)
1008	Patent Application Publication No. US 2007/0109594 (the published application corresponding to the ’168 patent)
1009	U.S. Patent No. 7,365,871 (the “’871 patent”)
1010	Declaration of Steven Sasson (“Sasson Decl.”)
1011	Office Action dated October 4, 2007 (from the file history of the ’168 patent)
1012	Revised Patent Owner Preliminary Response, IPR2014-00439, Paper 15 (July 30, 2014)
1013	Patent Owner E-Watch Inc’s Response, IPR2014-00439, Paper 24 (Nov. 12, 2014)
1014	Petition for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,643,168 B2, IPR2014-00989, Paper 1 (June 19, 2014)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.