### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC., Petitioner,

v.

E-WATCH, INC., Patent Owner.

\_\_\_\_\_

Case IPR2015-00414 Patent 7,643,168 B2

Held: February 24, 2016

BEFORE: JAMESON LEE, GREGG I. ANDERSON, and MATTHEW R. CLEMENTS, Administrative Patent Judges.

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Wednesday, February 24, 2016, commencing at 2:00 p.m., at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.



### **APPEARANCES:**

### ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

BRIAN M. BUROKER, ESQ. BLAIR A. SILVER, ESQ. Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-5306

and

KIM MOORE, ESQ. Apple 1 Infinite Loop MS 169-2NYJ Cupertino, California 95014

### ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER (Via teleconference):

DAVID O. SIMMONS, ESQ. IVC Patent Agency 7637 Parkview Austin, Texas 78731



1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	JUDGE JAMESON LEE: Good afternoon.
4	JUDGE ANDERSON: Can you all hear me?
5	JUDGE JAMESON LEE: Yes.
6	JUDGE ANDERSON: So, good morning or good
7	afternoon, depending on what time zone you happen to be in.
8	This is the hearing on IPR2015-00414 between Petitioner Apple
9	Inc. and Patent Owner E-Watch, Inc., the owner of U.S. Patent
10	7,643,168.
11	I am Judge Anderson, I am appearing remotely,
12	obviously, as is Judge Clements. Judge Lee is before you there in
13	person. Both sides have demonstratives, and it is very important
14	we have copies of them on our computers, it's very important
15	that you give us a slide number, Judge Clements and I, when
16	you're discussing those demonstratives in the context of your
17	argument.
18	Each party is going to have 30 minutes to present their
19	argument per our order. Petitioner has the burden to show
20	unpatentability, and will go first, followed by Patent Owner.
21	Petitioner, you may reserve time for rebuttal, just let us know
22	once we do the introductions.
23	So, at this time, on behalf of Petitioner, may I have
24	some annearances inlease?



1	MR. BUROKER: Yes, your Honor, Brian Buroker
2	from Gibson Dunn on behalf of Apple. With me today is my
3	colleague, Blair Silver, as well as in-house counsel from Apple,
4	Kim Moore.
5	JUDGE ANDERSON: Thank you, Mr. Buroker.
6	And for Patent Owner? Hopefully Mr. Simmons is on
7	the line and can hear me.
8	MR. SIMMONS: Yes, Your Honor, I can hear you.
9	Thank you. David Simmons, I'm back-up counsel for Patent
10	Owner E-Watch.
11	JUDGE ANDERSON: Very good.
12	MR. SIMMONS: And as you know, Your Honor,
13	Mr. Curfiss was unable to make the proceedings today, for
14	reasons that he elaborated on.
15	JUDGE ANDERSON: Right. I think we've already
16	covered the fact that you're going to present the argument and
17	Mr. Curfiss isn't going to be here in our prior order. So, that's
18	perfectly okay.
19	At this time, Mr. Buroker, whoever is going to present
20	the argument on behalf of Petitioner may go ahead and proceed.
21	Let me know how much time you would like to reserve for
22	rebuttal and I will give you a one-minute warning for that.
23	JUDGE JAMESON LEE: This is Judge Lee. I see
24	there's someone at the Patent Owner's table, but is that also a
25	representative of Petitioner?



1	MR. BUROKER: Yes, Your Honor, we were just
2	trying to, for convenience, have the person running the computer
3	over there, if that's okay.
4	JUDGE JAMESON LEE: Sure. Thank you.
5	MR. BUROKER: I have hard copies for Judge Lee and
6	I actually have a copy of Patent Owner's slides as well, if you
7	would like those.
8	JUDGE JAMESON LEE: I do. Thank you.
9	MR. BUROKER: May I approach?
10	JUDGE JAMESON LEE: Yes.
11	MR. BUROKER: Judge Anderson, if I may have 10
12	minutes for rebuttal, that would be appreciated. And I think
13	we've lost Judge Anderson on the screen. Is he still there?
14	Should I proceed?
15	JUDGE JAMESON LEE: We should have both judges
16	on the screen. Here we go.
17	MR. BUROKER: Thank you, Your Honors, may it
18	please the Board, my name is Brian Buroker for Petitioner Apple
19	As I said, I would like to reserve 10 minutes of my time for
20	rebuttal.
21	Your Honors, the statute, regulations and case law here
22	compel a finding that claims 1 to 31 of the '168 patent are invalid
23	as anticipated in light of the related but previously published PC
24	application, which is Exhibit 1006, also referred to in the papers
25	as the Monroe application, or the Monroe PCT publication.



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

