

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner

v.

E-WATCH, INC.
Patent Owner

IPR2015-00414
U.S. Patent No. 7,643,168 B2

**NOTICE OF PATENT OWNER E-WATCH, INC. REGARDING ORAL
ARGUMENT**

Mail Stop
Patent Board
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a) and in accordance with the Scheduling Order of July 1, 2015 (Paper 14 at 7), Patent Owner does not believe oral argument is necessary. The only issue in this proceeding involves whether a priority claim to an earlier filed patent application was properly perfected. Because this involves a legal issue related to United States Patent and Trademark Office procedure, the Patent Trial and Appeal Board is well-equipped to make this determination based on the submitted briefings and its own knowledge of the priority claiming requirements.

While Patent Owner does not believe oral argument is necessary, to the extent Petitioner requests oral argument and the Board grants the request, Patent Owner requests an equal amount of time to present its positions. Because the only issue involves perfection of a priority claim, Patent Owner suggests that if oral argument is granted, it should be limited to 30 minutes per side.

/s/ Bob Curfiss

Robert C. Curfiss

Reg. No. 26,540

19826 Sundance Drive

Humble, TX 77346

T: (832) 573-1442

F: (832) 644-6152

*Attorney for Patent Owner E-Watch,
Inc.*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies service pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e) of a copy of this Notice of Patent Owner e-Watch, Inc. Regarding Oral Argument by electronic mail on January 20, 2016 on the counsel of record for:

Patent Owner:

Robert C. Curfiss, bob@curfiss.com

David Simmons, dsimmons1@sbcglobal.net

Apple Inc.:

Brian Buroker, bburoker@gibsondunn.com

Blair Silver, bsilver@gibsondunn.com

DATED: January 20, 2016 /s/ Bob Curfiss