

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Pat. No. 7,365,871

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Apple Inc.

Petitioner

v.

E-Watch, Inc.

Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-00412

Patent 7,365,871

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"

Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. GROUNDS FOR STANDING PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(A).....	2
III. OVERVIEW OF THE ‘871 PATENT	2
IV. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B)	8
A. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(1): Claims For Which Inter Partes Review Is Requested	8
B. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(2): The Prior Art And Specific Grounds On Which The Challenge To The Claims Is Based	8
C. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3): Claim Construction	8
D. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4): How The Construed Claims Are Unpatentable.....	10
E. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(5): Supporting Evidence	10
V. THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE ‘871 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE	10
A. Claims 1-8 and 12-14 Are Obvious Over McNelley In View of Umezawa.....	10
1. Independent Claim 1 is Unpatentable Over McNelley and Umezawa.....	15
2. Independent Claim 6 is Unpatentable Over McNelley and Umezawa.....	26
3. Independent Claim 12 is Unpatentable Over McNelley and Umezawa.....	37
4. Dependent Claims 2-5, 7-8, and 13-14 are Unpatentable Over McNelley and Umezawa	45

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	<u>Page</u>
B. Different Bases of Unpatentability in Petitioner’s Three Petitions Regarding the ‘871 Patent are Independent, Distinct and not Redundant	48
VI. MANDATORY NOTICES PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1).....	50
A. C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(a): Real Party-In-Interest	50
B. C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2): Related Matters	50
C. C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3) and (4): Lead and Back-up Counsel and Service Information.....	51
VII. CONCLUSION.....	52

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Page</u>
CASES	
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co.</i> 383 U.S. 1 (1966).....	12
<i>In re Am. Acad. Sci. Tech. Ctr.</i> , 367 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir., 2004)	9
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex, Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	passim
STATUTES	
35 U.S.C. §§ 102.....	8
35 U.S.C. § 102(b)	4, 11
35 U.S.C. § 103	10, 11, 12
35 U.S.C. § 103(a)	8
OTHER AUTHORITIES	
35 C.F.R. § 42.1(b)	50
37 C.F.R. § 1.68	10
37 C.F.R. § 41.100(b)	8
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1).....	50
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)	50
37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b)	51
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(A).....	2

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Pat. No. 7,365,871

37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B).....	8
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(1).....	8
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(2).....	8
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3).....	8
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4).....	10
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(5).....	10
MPEP § 2111	9
MPEP § 2141	13, 14

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.