

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

LG ELECTRONICS, INC. AND MICROSOFT MOBILE OY  
Petitioner

v.

E-WATCH, INC.  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2015-00408  
Patent 7,643,168

---

**JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE WITH RESPECT TO MICROSOFT  
MOBILE OY, MICROSOFT CORPORATION, AND NOKIA INC.  
PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 317 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.74**

**EXHIBIT**

E-WATCH 2001 Confidential Settlement and License Agreement

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(a)-(b), Petitioner Microsoft Mobile OY (“MMO”) and Real Parties in Interest, Microsoft Corporation and Nokia Inc. (collectively “the Microsoft/Nokia parties”) and Patent Owner e-Watch, Inc. (“e-Watch”) jointly request termination of the *Inter Partes* Review (IPR) of U.S. Patent No. 7,643,168, Case No. IPR2015-00408 with respect to the Microsoft/Nokia parties. The Microsoft/Nokia parties and e-Watch are collectively referred to herein as “Parties” and individually as a “Party.” The Parties agree that each Party shall bear its own fees and expenses.

Termination of this proceeding as to the Microsoft/Nokia parties is appropriate, as the Parties have agreed to settle their dispute. Moreover, this review is in its early stages. MMO jointly filed its petition with LG Electronics, Inc. (“LGE”) for IPR on December 10, 2014, which included the designation of the Microsoft/Nokia parties as real parties in interest. e-Watch has yet to file a Preliminary Patent Owner Response and the IPR has yet to be instituted. As a result, e-Watch has not filed any substantive papers or declarations.

The applicable statute, 35 U.S.C. § 317(a), provides that an *inter partes* review proceeding “shall be terminated with respect to *any petitioner* upon the joint request of the petitioner and the patent owner, unless the Office has decided

the merits of the proceeding before the request for termination is filed.” (emphasis added).

In this case, the *inter partes* review has not been instituted. Thus, the Office has not yet reached any decision on the merits of the proceeding. Moreover, strong public policy considerations favor settlement between parties to an *inter partes* review proceeding, *see* Patent Office Trial Practice Guide, Fed. Register, Vol. 77, No. 157 at 48768 (Aug. 14, 2012), and no public interest or other factors militate against termination of this proceeding with respect to the Microsoft/Nokia parties.

The Microsoft/Nokia parties and e-Watch have agreed to settle their dispute and have reached an agreement to terminate this review as to the Microsoft/Nokia parties. The Settlement Agreement between e-Watch and the Microsoft/Nokia parties has been made in writing and is filed separately as Exhibit 2001. The Settlement Agreement is being filed concurrently with a Joint Request to Treat Settlement Agreement as Business Confidential Information Under 35 U.S.C. § 317 (b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74 (c). There are no collateral agreements referred to in the Parties’ Settlement Agreement. As stated in 35 U.S.C. § 317(a), because the Microsoft/Nokia parties and e-Watch jointly request this termination, it is understood that no estoppel under 35 U.S.C. § 315(e) shall attach to the Microsoft/Nokia parties. As provided in 37 C.F.R. § 42.73(d)(3), because no

adverse judgment has been entered, it is also understood that, as to e-Watch, no estoppel under 37 C.F.R. § 42.73(d)(3) shall attach to e-Watch.

On January 23, 2015, the Microsoft/Nokia parties and e-Watch advised the Board that they have reached a settlement, and sought authorization to file a joint motion to terminate the proceeding as to the Microsoft/Nokia parties. The Board held a conference call with the parties on January 23, 2015. On January 23, 2015, the Board authorized the filing of a joint motion to terminate this proceeding as to the Microsoft/Nokia parties. Per the Board's January 23, 2015 authorization order, the Microsoft/Nokia parties and e-Watch understood that they were also to file a separate paper requesting that the Settlement Agreement be treated as business confidential information as specified in 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c) and that the Settlement Agreement was to be filed in PRPS under the designation of "Board and Parties Only."

Wherefore, the Microsoft/Nokia parties and e-Watch respectfully request termination of the *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,643,168, Case No. IPR2015-00408 as to the Microsoft/Nokia parties.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.