

Case IPR2015-00392
Petition for *Inter Partes* Review

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CELLCO PARTNERSHIP D/B/A VERIZON WIRELESS
AT&T MOBILITY LLC
Petitioners

v.

SOLOCRON MEDIA, LLC
Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-00392
Patent No. 7,257,395

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 7,257,395
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *ET SEQ.***

Mail Stop: Patent Board
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND 1

II. NOTICES, STATEMENTS AND PAYMENT OF FEES 5

 A. Real Party In Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)..... 5

 B. Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2) 5

 C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3) 5

 D. Service Information Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4)..... 5

 E. Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a) 6

 F. Fees Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.103 6

III. THE ‘395 PATENT 6

 A. Background..... 6

 B. Relevant Prosecution History of the ‘395 Patent 7

IV. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B)..... 8

V. HOW THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE TO BE CONSTRUED UNDER
37 C.F.R. § 42.104 (B) (3)..... 13

VI. DETAILED EXPLANATION AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE UNDER 37
C.F.R. §§ 42.104(B)(4) AND (B)(5)..... 17

 A. The Challenged Claims Are Not Entitled to Claim Priority to Any Date
 Earlier Than March 2000. 18

 B. Claims 1, 8 and 14 Are Anticipated By, Or Obvious Over, Rizet. 22

 C. Claim 6 Is Obvious Over the Combination Of Rizet And The 1999 WAP
 Specification..... 29

 D. Claim 8 Is Obvious Over the Combination Of Rizet And Either Valentine
 Or Nokia 9110 UM. 33

 E. Claim 10 Is Obvious Over The Combination Of Rizet And Either SDMI Or

Case IPR2015-00392
Petition for *Inter Partes* Review

Nikkei.....	36
F. Claims 14 and 17 Are Obvious By Rizet In View Of The State Of The Art As Shown In Nikkei.....	41
G. Claims 1, 8, 14 and 17 Are Anticipated by, Or Obvious Under, 9110 UM.	43
H. Claim 6 Is Obvious In View of 9110 UM And One Of 1999 WAP Specification, 1999 Nokia Press Releases Or Nykanen.	52
I. Claim 10 Is Obvious Over The Combination Of 9110 UM With Either Nikkei Or SDMI.....	56
J. Claims 14 and 17 Are Obvious Over The Combination Of 9110 UM And 9110 FAQ.....	58
K. The Cited References Are Not Cumulative At This Point.....	59
VII. CONCLUSION	60

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Bayer Schering Pharma AG v. Barr Labs., Inc.</i> , 575 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	28
<i>Biogen, Inc. v. Berlex Laboratories, Inc.</i> , 318 F.3d 1132 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	16
<i>Dystar Textilfarben GmbH & Co. Deutschland KG v. C.H. Patrick Co.</i> , 464 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	32
<i>Geo M. Martin Co. v. Alliance Mach. Sys. Int’l LLC</i> , 618 F.3d 1294 (Fed. Cir 2010)	10, 22
<i>Monsanto Co. v. Mycogen Plant Sci., Inc.</i> , 261 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	22
<i>In re Mulder</i> , 716 F.2d 1542 (Fed. Cir. 1983)	22
<i>New Railhead Mfg., L.L.C. v. Vermeer Mfg. Co.</i> , 298 F.3d 1290 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	18
<i>In re NTP Inc.</i> , 654 F.3d 1268 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	18
<i>PowerOasis, Inc. v. T-Mobile USA, Inc.</i> , 522 F.3d 1299	19
<i>Suffolk Techs., LLC v. AOL Inc.</i> , 752 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	9
<i>Typhoon Touch Techs., Inc. v. Dell, Inc.</i> , 659 F.3d 1376 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	13
<i>Voter Verified, Inc. v. Premier Election Solutions, Inc.</i> , 698 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	9

PETITIONERS' EXHIBIT LIST

<u>Exhibit No.</u>	<u>Description</u>
Exhibit 1001	U.S. Patent No. 7,257,395 (the '395 patent)
Exhibit 1002	Complaint filed in <i>Solocron v. Cellco Partnership et al.</i> (Case No. 2-13-cv-1059) (E.D. Tex.)
Exhibit 1003	Copy of U.S. Provisional Patent App. 60/169,158, as filed Dec. 6, 1999 (downloaded from PAIR)
Exhibit 1004	Copy of Prosecution History for U.S. Patent App. 09/518,712, filed Mar. 3, 2000 (now U.S. Patent No. 6,496,692) (as produced by Solocron)
Exhibit 1005	Exhibit Not Used
Exhibit 1006	Copy of U.S. Patent App. 10/223,200, as filed Aug. 16, 2002 (now U.S. Patent No. 7,257,395) (downloaded from PAIR)
Exhibit 1007	Copy of Prosecution History for the U.S. Patent No. 7,319,866 (downloaded from PAIR), including U.S. Patent App. 10/915,866 as filed Aug. 11, 2004
Exhibit 1008	Exhibit Not Used
Exhibit 1009	Exhibit Not Used
Exhibit 1010	Prosecution History for the U.S. Patent No. 7,257,395 (U.S. Patent App. 10/223,200) (downloaded from PAIR)
Exhibit 1011	Excerpts of Documents Showing Mr. Shanahan's Prosecution and Litigation Experience
Exhibit 1012	List of Patents and Patent Applications Issued to Nokia Relating to Ringtones
Exhibit 1013	Exhibit Not Used
Exhibit 1014	International Publication No. WO 98/25397, entitled "Telecommunication Device and a Method for Providing Ringing Information", published June 11, 1998 (" Philips " or " Rizet ")

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.