

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CELLCO PARTNERSHIP D/B/A VERIZON WIRELESS
AT&T MOBILITY LLC
Petitioners

v.

SOLOCRON MEDIA, LLC
Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-00391
Patent No. 7,257,395

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 7,257,395
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *ET SEQ.***

Mail Stop: Patent Board
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND	1
II. NOTICES, STATEMENTS AND PAYMENT OF FEES	7
A. Real Party In Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....	7
B. Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)	7
C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)	8
D. Service Information Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4).....	8
E. Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)	8
F. Fees Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.103	9
III. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B).....	9
IV. HOW THE CHALLENGED CLAIM IS TO BE CONSTRUED UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104 (B) (3).....	14
V. THE PATENT AND ITS PROSECUTION HISTORY	18
A. Prosecution History of the ‘395 Patent	19
B. Prosecution History of the Related Child ‘866 Patent	20
C. The ‘395 Patent Suffers From the Same Deficiencies That the Inventor Identified in the Prior Art In The ‘866 Prosecution.....	22
VI. THE EARLIEST PRIORITY DATE OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS IS MARCH 2000.....	23
VII. DETAILED EXPLANATION AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104(B)(4) AND (B)(5).....	26
A. “Polyphonic Audio Files” Cannot Form the Basis for Patentability	27
B. Claims 22, 25, 30, 39, and 40 are Anticipated Or Rendered Obvious By the Nokia 9110 User’s Manual.	30
C. Claims 22, 25, 30, 39, and 40 are Obvious In View Of 9110 UM And 9110	

FAQ.....	36
D. Claims 22, 25, 30, 39, and 40 are Obvious Over 9110 UM, 9110 FAQ, and Perez.....	37
E. Claims 22, 25, 30, 39, and 40 Are Obvious Over 9110 UM, 9110 FAQ, Perez and Nikkei.	39
F. Claim 36 Is Obvious Over 9110 UM With The 9110 FAQ And Nokia Press Releases.....	42
G. Claim 36 Is Obvious Over 9110 UM With The 9110 FAQ, Nikkei and Nokia Press Releases.	43
H. Claim 36 Is Obvious Over 9110 UM With The 9110 FAQ, Nikkei, Perez, and Nykanen.....	44
I. Claims 22, 25, 30, 39, and 40 Are Obvious Over Rizet and Either Rolf or Fritsch.....	46
J. Claims 22, 25, 30, 39, and 40 are Obvious Over Rizet, Perez and one of Rolf or Fritsch.	55
K. Claims 22, 25, 30, 39, and 40 Are Obvious Over Rizet and Nikkei.....	56
L. The Asserted References Are Not Cumulative At This Point.	58
VIII.CONCLUSION.....	60

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Abbvie Inc. v. Mathilda & Terence Kennedy Inst. of Rheumatology</i> , 764 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	28, 29
<i>Geo M. Martin Co. v. Alliance Mach. Sys. Int’l LLC</i> , 618 F.3d 1294 (Fed. Cir 2010)	11, 26
<i>In re Index Sys.</i> , 576 F. App’x 976 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	2, 29
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Co.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	2, 41
<i>Leapfrog Enters., Inc. v. Fisher-Price, Inc.</i> , 485 F.3d 1157 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	5, 41
<i>Monsanto Co. v. Mycogen Plant Sci., Inc.</i> , 261 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	26
<i>In re Mulder</i> , 716 F.2d 1542 (Fed. Cir. 1983)	26
<i>New Railhead Mfg., L.L.C. v. Vermeer Mfg. Co.</i> , 298 F.3d 1290 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	24
<i>In re NTP Inc.</i> , 654 F.3d 1268 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	24
<i>Pfizer Inc. v. Teva Pharms. USA, Inc.</i> , 460 F. Supp. 2d 659 (D.N.J. 2006).....	27
<i>PowerOasis, Inc. v. T-Mobile USA, Inc.</i> , 522 F.3d 1299 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	7, 20, 24
<i>Stored Value Solutions, Inc. v. Card Activation Techs. Inc.</i> , 499 F. App’x 5 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	9

<i>Symantec Corp. v. Computer Assocs. Int’l, Inc.</i> , 522 F.3d 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	17
<i>Voter Verified, Inc. v. Premier Election Solutions, Inc.</i> , 698 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	10

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.