

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent of: Shanahan
U.S. Patent No.: 7,742,759 Attorney Docket No.: 01869-10IP759
Issue Date: Jun. 22, 2010
Appl. Serial No.: 11/633,122
Filing Date: Dec. 2, 2006
Title: METHODS AND APPARATUSES FOR PROGRAMMING
USER-DEFINED INFORMATION INTO ELECTRONIC
DEVICES

**Declaration of Mark Lanning
Regarding U.S. Patent No. 7,742,759**

I, Mark Lanning, a resident of Greenville, Texas, declare as follows:

1. I have been retained by McKool Smith, P.C. and Wiley Rein LLP to provide my opinions concerning the validity of U.S. Patent No. 7,742,759 (“the ’759 Patent”) (Ex. 1001). McKool Smith and Wiley Rein are compensating me for my time at the rate of \$550 per hour.

2. My declaration contains the following sections beginning at the designated pages:

I.	Basis for My Opinion	3
II.	Introduction and Qualifications.....	6
III.	My Understanding of the Governing Law	7
	A. Types of Claims—Dependent and Independent Claims.....	7
	B. Patentability and Validity of Claims	8
	C. IPR Proceedings and Claim Interpretation	9
	D. Relevant Time Period.....	10
	E. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art and Relevant Timeframe	11
IV.	The ’759 Patent	12

A.	Technical Overview of the '759 Patent.....	12
V.	Analysis of the '759 Patent Based on <i>Merritt</i>	14
A.	United States Patent No. 6,421,429 (“ <i>Merritt</i> ”)	14
B.	Invalidity of Independent Claim 53	16
C.	Invalidity of Dependent Claim 54.....	27
D.	Invalidity of Dependent Claim 56.....	28
E.	Invalidity of Dependent Claim 59.....	30
F.	Invalidity of Dependent Claim 64.....	33
G.	Invalidity of Dependent Claim 65.....	34
VI.	Analysis of the '759 Patent Based on <i>Gaffney</i>	35
A.	WIPO Patent Application No. WO 98/19438 (“ <i>Gaffney</i> ”).	35
B.	Invalidity of Independent Claim 53	37
C.	Invalidity of Dependent Claim 54.....	43
D.	Invalidity of Dependent Claim 59.....	44
VII.	Analysis of the '759 Patent Based over <i>Gaffney</i> in view of <i>Merritt</i>	46
VIII.	Analysis of the '759 Patent Based on <i>Apache</i>	46
A.	<i>Apache</i>	46
B.	Invalidity of Independent Claim 53	47
C.	Invalidity of Dependent Claim 56.....	51
D.	Invalidity of Dependent Claim 64.....	52
E.	Invalidity of Dependent Claim 65.....	52
IX.	Analysis of the '759 Patent Based over <i>Apache</i> in view of <i>Merritt</i>	53
X.	Compatibility of the References.....	53
XI.	Secondary Considerations of Non-Obviousness.....	54
XII.	Supplementation.....	55
XIII.	Conclusion.....	55

I. Basis for My Opinion

3. In preparing this declaration, I have reviewed:

- US 6,496,692 to Michael E. Shanahan--Methods and apparatuses for programming user-defined information into electronic devices
- US 7,257,395 to Michael E. Shanahan--Methods and apparatuses for programming user-defined information into electronic devices
- US 7,295,864 to Michael E. Shanahan--Methods and apparatuses for programming user-defined information into electronic devices
- US 7,319,866 to Michael E. Shanahan--Methods and apparatus for programming user-defined information into electronic devices
- US 7,742,759 to Twenty Year Innovations, Inc.--Methods and apparatuses for programming user-defined information into electronic devices
- US 8,249,572 to Solocron Media, LLC--Methods and apparatuses for programming user-defined information into electronic devices
- US 8,594,651 to Solocron Media, LLC--Methods and apparatuses for programming user-defined information into electronic devices
- US 5,809,415 to Unwired Planet--Core Wireless Client/Server Architecture Patent (WAP).
- US 5,784,001 to Motorola--Method and apparatus for presenting graphic messages in a data communication receiver
- Prosecution history for US 6,496,692 patent
- Prosecution history for US 7,257,395 patent
- Prosecution history for US 7,295,864 patent
- Prosecution history for US 7,319,866 patent
- Prosecution history for US 7,742,759 patent
- Prosecution history for US 8,249,572 patent
- Prosecution history for US 8,594,651 patent
- Prosecution history for US 5,809,415 patent
- Prosecution history for US 5,784,001 patent

- Solocron Media, LLC's P.R. 3-1 Infringement Contentions and supporting claim charts, dated Apr. 4, 2014 and served in *Solocron v. AT&T Mobility, et al.* (E.D. Tex.) (Case No. 2-13-cv-1059)
- Defendants' P.R. 3-3 Invalidity Contentions and supporting claim charts, dated June 24, 2014 and served in *Solocron v. AT&T Mobility, et al.* (E.D. Tex.) (Case No. 2-13-cv-1059)
- Exhibits cited in the IPR Petition at Attorney Docket No. 01869-10IP651-1 regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,594,651
- Exhibits cited in the IPR Petition at Attorney Docket No. 01869-10IP651-2 regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,594,651
- Exhibits cited in the IPR Petition at Attorney Docket No. 01869-10IP651-3 regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,594,651
- Exhibits cited in the IPR Petition at Attorney Docket No. 01869-10IP759 regarding U.S. Patent No. 7,742,759
- US 5,794,142 to Nokia--Core SMS Patent: Mobile terminal having network services activation through the use of point-to-point short message service.
- US 6,038,295 to Siemens--Apparatus and method for recording, communicating and administering digital images.
- US 6,487,602 to Ericsson--System and method for accessing the internet in an internet protocol-based cellular network.
- US 6,795,711 to Nokia--Multimedia Message Content Adaptation.
- US 6,192,257 to Lucent--Wireless communication terminal having video image capability.
- US 5,797,089 to Ericsson--A cellular phone combined with a PDA with associated programs.
- US 5,793,416 to LSI (Wireless system for audio, video & data signals).
- US 6,006,105 to LSI (Multi-Freq, Multi-Protocol Wireless Device)
- US 5,764,235 to Insight (System for Xmit Graphical images from server to client)
- US 5,956,716 to InterVu (Delivery of Video data over a computer network)

- US 6,108,655 to Cisco (Transmitting Images & Objects over a computer network).
- WO 1997030556 to Ericsson. Sending graphic images to mobile terminals.
- WO 1998043177 to Intel. System for dynamically transcoding data transmitted between computers over a communication link.
- US 6,516,135 to Matsushita (Video Processing with conversion of image compression format).
- US 6,092,114 to Siemens (performing file conversions of message attachments transmitted between computers).
- US 6,453,340 to Matsushita (Data Converter in an email network)
- WO1999021351 to Adobe.
- US 6,421,429 to AT&T (Network-based System Enabling Image Communications)
- US 6,741,608 to Avaya (transcoding streaming data in telecom system)
- US 5,524,137 to AT&T (Multimedia Messaging System)
- US 6,282,714 to Sharewave (Wireless Home Computer System)
- US 6,813,777 to Rockwell Collins (Passenger Entertainment System)
- “Connectix Ships Color QuickCam 2 for Windows: Next Generation of Best-Selling Digital Camera; Connectix QuickCam 2 Delivers Sharper Images, Enhanced Software, and Easy Applications Integration.” Business Wire, March 10, 1997 (available at <http://www.thefreelibrary.com/Connectix+Ships+Color+QuickCam+2+for+Windows+%3A+Next+Generation+of...-a019185327>) (last visited Nov. 18, 2014)
- “First mobile videophone introduced,” CNN.com, May 18, 1999 (available at <http://www.cnn.com/TECH/ptech/9905/18/japan.phonetv/>) (last visited Nov. 18, 2014)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.