

Case IPR2015-00380  
Petition for *Inter Partes* Review

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

CELLCO PARTNERSHIP D/B/A VERIZON WIRELESS  
AT&T MOBILITY LLC  
Petitioners

v.

SOLOCRON MEDIA, LLC  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2015-00380  
Patent No. 7,295,864

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF  
U.S. PATENT NO. 7,295,864  
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 ET SEQ.**

Mail Stop: Patent Board  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND .....	1
II.	NOTICES, STATEMENTS AND PAYMENT OF FEES .....	7
A.	Real Party In Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....	7
B.	Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2) .....	7
C.	Lead and Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3) .....	7
D.	Service Information Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4).....	8
E.	Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a) .....	8
F.	Fees Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.103 .....	8
III.	THE ‘864 PATENT.....	9
A.	Background.....	9
B.	The Priority Chain of the ‘864 Patent .....	10
C.	Prosecution History of the ‘864 Patent .....	11
IV.	IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B).....	11
V.	HOW THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE TO BE CONSTRUED UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104 (B) (3).....	18
VI.	THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE NOT ENTITLED TO A PRIORITY DATE BEFORE MARCH 3, 2000 .....	21
VII.	DETAILED EXPLANATION AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104(B)(4) AND (B)(5) .....	24
A.	“Polyphonic Audio Files” Cannot Form the Basis for Patentability. ....	25
B.	Claims 11-14, 16, and 17 Are Obvious Over The 9110 UM In Combination With The 9110 FAQ. ....	28
C.	Claims 11-14, 16, 17, and 19 Are Obvious Over The 9110 UM In	

Case IPR2015-00380  
Petition for *Inter Partes* Review

Combination With The 9110 FAQ And Nikkei.....	37
D. Claims 11-14, 16, and 17 Are Anticipated Or Rendered Obvious By The 9110 CD .....	41
a. The 9110 CD Teaches Downloading from the Internet.....	42
b. The 9110 CD PC Download Teaching.....	44
E. Claims 11-14, 16, 17, and 19 Are Rendered Obvious By The 9110 CD Combined With The 9110 FAQ and Nikkei.....	48
F. Claims 11-14, 16, 17, and 19 Are Rendered Obvious By The 9110 UM Combined With Nikkei And Zilliacus .....	49
G. Claims 11 - 14, 16, an d 19 are obvious over Alanara and Nikkei .....	55
H. The Asserted References Are Not Cumulative At This Point. ....	59
VIII. CONCLUSION.....	60

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	Page(s)
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>Abbvie Inc. v. Mathilda &amp; Terence Kennedy Inst. of Rheumatology Trust,</i> 764 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2014) .....	26, 27, 37
<i>Agilent Techs., Inc. v. Affymetrix, Inc.,</i> 567 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2009) .....	23
<i>Bayer Schering Pharma AG v. Barr Labs., Inc.,</i> 575 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2009) .....	37
<i>Bruckelmyer v. Ground Heaters, Inc.,</i> 445 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2006) .....	15
<i>Constant v. Advanced Micro-Devices, Inc.,</i> 848 F.2d 1560 (Fed. Cir. 1988) .....	2, 15, 26
<i>Dystar Textilfarben GmbH &amp; Co. Deutschland KG v. C.H. Patrick Co.,</i> 464 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2006) .....	40
<i>Geo M. Martin Co. v. Alliance Mach. Sys. Int'l LLC,</i> 618 F.3d 1294 (Fed. Cir. 2010) .....	17
<i>In re Hall,</i> 781 F.2d 897 (Fed. Cir. 1986) .....	14
<i>In re Index Sys.,</i> 576 F. App'x 976 (Fed. Cir. 2014) .....	2, 27
<i>In re Klopfenstein,</i> 380 F.3d 1345 (Fed. Cir. 2004) .....	15
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.,</i> 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Leapfrog Enters., Inc. v. Fisher-Price, Inc.,</i> 485 F.3d 1157 (Fed. Cir. 2007) .....	5, 40

Case IPR2015-00380  
Petition for *Inter Partes* Review

<i>Lockwood v. Am. Airlines, Inc.</i> , 107 F.3d 1565 (Fed. Cir. 1997) .....	36
<i>Monsanto Co. v. Mycogen Plant Sci., Inc.</i> , 261 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2001) .....	24
<i>In re Mulder</i> , 716 F.2d 1542 (Fed. Cir. 1983) .....	24
<i>New Railhead Mfg., L.L.C. v. Vermeer Mfg. Co.</i> , 298 F.3d 1290 (Fed. Cir. 2002) .....	22
<i>In re NTP, Inc.</i> , 654 F.3d 1268 (Fed. Cir. 2011) .....	21
<i>Pfizer Inc. v. Teva Pharm. USA, Inc.</i> , 460 F. Supp. 2d 659 (D.N.J. 2006).....	25
<i>PowerOasis, Inc. v. T-Mobile USA, Inc.</i> , 522 F.3d 1299 (Fed. Cir. 2008) .....	7, 22, 23, 36
<i>In re Robertson</i> , 169 F.3d 743 (Fed. Cir. 1999) .....	23
<i>SRI Int'l, Inc. v. Internet Sec. Sys., Inc.</i> , 511 F.3d 1186 (Fed. Cir. 2008) .....	15
<i>Stored Value Solutions, Inc. v. Card Activation Techs. Inc.</i> , 499 F. App'x 5 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....	12, 16
<i>Suffolk Techs., LLC v. AOL Inc.</i> , 752 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2014) .....	13
<i>Voter Verified, Inc. v. Premier Election Solutions, Inc.</i> , 698 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....	12
<i>In re Wyer</i> , 655 F.2d 221 (C.C.P.A. 1981) .....	14

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.