

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

CELLCO PARTNERSHIP D/B/A VERIZON WIRELESS  
AT&T MOBILITY LLC  
Petitioners

v.

SOLOCRON MEDIA, LLC  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2015-00376  
Patent No. 7,319,866

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF  
U.S. PATENT NO. 7,319,866  
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *ET SEQ.***

Mail Stop: Patent Board  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND .....	1
II. NOTICES, STATEMENTS AND PAYMENT OF FEES .....	7
A. Real Party In Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....	7
B. Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2) .....	7
C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3) .....	8
D. Service Information Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4).....	8
E. Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a) .....	8
F. Fees Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.103 .....	9
III. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B).....	9
IV. HOW THE CHALLENGED CLAIM IS TO BE CONSTRUED UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104 (B) (3).....	13
V. THE PATENT AND ITS PROSECUTION HISTORY .....	16
A. Prosecution History of the ‘866 Patent .....	17
B. The ‘866 Patent Suffers From the Same Deficiencies That the Inventor Identified in the Prior Art.....	18
VI. THE EARLIEST PRIORITY DATE OF CLAIM 10 IS MARCH 2000.....	19
VII. DETAILED EXPLANATION AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104(B)(4) AND (B)(5) .....	22
A. “Polyphonic Audio Files” Cannot Form the Basis for Patentability .....	22
B. Claim 10 is Anticipated by the Nokia 9110 User’s Manual. ....	25
C. Claim 10 Is Obvious In View Of 9110 UM And 9110 FAQ.....	31
D. Claim 10 Is Obvious Over 9110 UM And 9110 FAQ in View of Nikkei. .	34

E. Claim 10 Is Obvious Over 9110 UM And 9110 FAQ in View of Perez. ....	36
F. Claim 10 Is Obvious Over 9110 UM And 9110 FAQ Combined With Nikkei And Perez. ....	37
G. Claim 10 is Obvious Over Riset and Nikkei. ....	38
H. Claim 10 is Obvious in View of Riset in Combination with Nikkei and either Perez or YMU757. ....	43
I. Claim 10 is Obvious Over Riset and Hosoda. ....	46
J. Claim 10 Is Obvious Over Isomursu, Lin, and Nikkei. ....	50
K. The Asserted References Are Not Cumulative At This Point. ....	59
VIII.CONCLUSION .....	60

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>Abbie Inc. v. Mathilda &amp; Terence Kennedy Inst. of Rheumatology</i> , 764 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2014) .....	24, 33
<i>Bayer Schering Pharma AG v. Barr Labs., Inc.</i> , 575 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2009) .....	33
<i>Constant v. Advanced Micro-Devices, Inc.</i> , 848 F.2d 1560 (Fed. Cir. 1988) .....	2
<i>Dystar Textilfarben GmbH &amp; Co. Deutschland KG v. C.H. Patrick</i> , 464 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2006) .....	36
<i>Geo M. Martin Co. v. Alliance Mach. Sys. Int’l LLC</i> , 618 F.3d 1294 (Fed. Cir 2010) .....	11
<i>In re Index Sys.</i> , 576 F. App’x 976 (Fed. Cir. 2014) .....	2, 24
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Co.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	2, 33, 36
<i>Leapfrog Enters., Inc. v. Fisher-Price, Inc.</i> , 485 F.3d 1157 (Fed. Cir. 2007) .....	5, 36
<i>Lockwood v. Am. Airlines, Inc.</i> , 107 F.3d 1565 (Fed. Cir. 1997) .....	32
<i>Monsanto Co. v. Mycogen Plant Sci., Inc.</i> , 261 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2001) .....	21
<i>In re Mulder</i> , 716 F.2d 1542 (Fed. Cir. 1983) .....	22

<i>New Railhead Mfg., L.L.C. v. Vermeer Mfg. Co.</i> , 298 F.3d 1290 (Fed. Cir. 2002) .....	20
<i>In re NTP Inc.</i> , 654 F.3d 1268 (Fed. Cir. 2011) .....	20
<i>Pfizer Inc. v. Teva Pharms. USA, Inc.</i> , 460 F. Supp. 2d 659 (D.N.J. 2006) .....	22
<i>PowerOasis, Inc. v. T-Mobile USA, Inc.</i> , 522 F.3d 1299 (Fed. Cir. 2008) .....	7, 20, 32
<i>Stored Value Solutions, Inc. v. Card Activation Techs. Inc.</i> , 499 F. App'x 5 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....	9
<i>Suffolk Techs., LLC v. AOL Inc.</i> , 752 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2014) .....	10
<i>Symantec Corp. v. Computer Assocs. Int'l, Inc.</i> , 522 F.3d 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2008) .....	16
<i>Voter Verified, Inc. v. Premier Election Solutions, Inc.</i> , 698 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....	10

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.