UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CELLCO PARTNERSHIP D/B/A VERIZON WIRELESS AT&T MOBILITY LLC Petitioners

V.

SOLOCRON MEDIA, LLC Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-00376 Patent No. 7,319,866

CORRECTED PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,319,866 UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *ET SEQ*.

Mail Stop: Patent Board Patent Trial and Appeal Board United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	IN	TRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND	1
II.	NC	OTICES, STATEMENTS AND PAYMENT OF FEES	7
	A.	Real Party In Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)	7
	B.	Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)	7
	C.	Lead and Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)	8
	D.	Service Information Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4)	8
	E.	Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)	8
	F.	Fees Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.103 – Previously Submitted	9
III.	ID	ENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B)	9
IV.		OW THE CHALLENGED CLAIM IS TO BE CONSTRUED UNDER 37 F.R. § 42.104 (B) (3)	13
V.	TH	E PATENT AND ITS PROSECUTION HISTORY	16
	A.	Prosecution History of the '866 Patent	17
	B.	The '866 Patent Suffers From the Same Deficiencies That the Inventor Identified in the Prior Art.	18
VI.	TH	E EARLIEST PRIORITY DATE OF CLAIM 10 IS MARCH 2000	19
VII		ETAILED EXPLANATION AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE UNDER 3 F.R. §§ 42.104(B)(4) AND (B)(5)	
	A.	"Polyphonic Audio Files" Cannot Form the Basis for Patentability	22
	B.	Claim 10 is Anticipated by the Nokia 9110 User's Manual.	25
	C.	Claim 10 Is Obvious In View Of 9110 UM And 9110 FAQ.	30
	D.	Claim 10 Is Obvious Over 9110 UM And 9110 FAQ in View of Nikkei	33
	E.	Claim 10 Is Obvious Over 9110 UM And 9110 FAQ in View of Perez	36



Case IPR2015-00376 Corrected Petition for Inter Partes Review

	F.	Claim 10 Is Obvious Over 9110 UM And 9110 FAQ Combined With Nikkei And Perez.	37
	G.	Claim 10 is Obvious Over Rizet and Nikkei.	38
	Н.	Claim 10 is Obvious in View of Rizet in Combination with Nikkei and either Perez or YMU757.	43
	I.	Claim 10 is Obvious Over Rizet and Hosoda.	45
	J.	Claim 10 Is Obvious Over Isomursu, Lin, and Nikkei.	50
	K.	The Asserted References Are Not Cumulative At This Point.	58
VII	II C	ONCLUSION	59



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
Abbvie Inc. v. Mathilda & Terence Kennedy Inst. of Rheumatology, 764 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	23, 24, 33
Bayer Schering Pharma AG v. Barr Labs., Inc., 575 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	33
Constant v. Advanced Micro-Devices, Inc., 848 F.2d 1560 (Fed. Cir. 1988)	2, 23
Dystar Textilfarben GmbH & Co. Deutschland KG v. C.H. Patrick, 464 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	35
Geo M. Martin Co. v. Alliance Mach. Sys. Int'l LLC, 618 F.3d 1294 (Fed. Cir 2010)	11
In re Index Sys., 576 F. App'x 976 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	2, 24
KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Co., 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	2, 32, 35
Leapfrog Enters., Inc. v. Fisher-Price, Inc., 485 F.3d 1157 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	5, 36
Lockwood v. Am. Airlines, Inc., 107 F.3d 1565 (Fed. Cir. 1997)	32
Monsanto Co. v. Mycogen Plant Sci., Inc., 261 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	21
<i>In re Mulder,</i> 716 F.2d 1542 (Fed. Cir. 1983)	21
New Railhead Mfg., L.L.C. v. Vermeer Mfg. Co., 298 F 3d 1290 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	20



Case IPR2015-00376 Corrected Petition for Inter Partes Review

In re NTP Inc., 654 F.3d 1268 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	19
<i>Pfizer Inc. v. Teva Pharms. USA, Inc.</i> , 460 F. Supp. 2d 659 (D.N.J. 2006)	22
PowerOasis, Inc. v. T-Mobile USA, Inc., 522 F.3d 1299 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	7, 20, 32
Stored Value Solutions, Inc. v. Card Activation Techs. Inc., 499 F. App'x 5 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	9
Suffolk Techs., LLC v. AOL Inc., 752 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	10
Symantec Corp. v. Computer Assocs. Int'l, Inc., 522 F.3d 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	16
Voter Verified, Inc. v. Premier Election Solutions, Inc., 698 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	10



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

