

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE, INC.,  
Petitioners,

v.

DSS TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT, INC.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case: IPR2015-00373  
U.S. Patent No. 6,128,290

---

**PATENT OWNER DSS TECHNOLOGY, INC.'S  
RESPONSE TO PETITION**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. RELATED IPR PETITION .....	1
III. PATENT OWNER DISCLAIMED CLAIMS 6 AND 7 .....	2
IV. OVERVIEW OF THE INVENTION CLAIMED IN THE ‘290 PATENT .....	2
A. Summary of the prior art .....	2
B. Summary of the ‘290 Patent and its advancement over the prior art .....	5
V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	8
VI. PETITIONER HAS FAILED TO PROVE THAT CLAIMS 9 AND 10 OF THE ‘290 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE.....	16
A. Claims 9 and 10 are not rendered obvious by Natarajan in view of Neve .....	16
1. Natarajan does not teach or suggest that the server transmitter is energized in low duty cycle RF bursts .....	16
a. Natarajan is silent with respect to operation of server transmitter during outbound data traffic periods .....	16
b. The HDLC packet structure disclosed in Natarajan is inconsistent with a server transmitter being energized in low duty cycle RF bursts.....	20
c. Natarajan’s disclosure of “bursty traffic” during the contention period does not teach or suggest that the server transmitter is energized in RF bursts.....	23
d. Petitioner failed to meet its burden of establishing that Natarajan in view of Neve teaches or suggests that the server transmitter is energized in low duty cycle RF bursts .....	25

2.	Combining Natarajan with Neve does not cure deficiencies of Natarajan and further suggests that the combination of these references does not teach or suggest that server transmitter is energized in low duty cycle RF bursts .....	27
a.	Petitioner’s expert distinguished Neve from transmissions involving RF bursts .....	27
b.	Neve does not teach or suggest that server transmitter is energized in low duty cycle RF bursts.....	30
c.	Neve reinforces the conclusion that Natarajan does not teach or suggest the server transmitter being energized in low duty cycle RF bursts .....	31
3.	The Board should not give any weight to Petitioner’s expert’s testimony pertaining to the issue of whether Natarajan in view of Neve teaches or suggests that server transmitters are energized in low duty cycle RF bursts.....	33
VII.	CONCLUSION .....	36

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

**Federal Cases**

*KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.*,  
550 U.S. 398, 418 (2007) ..... 15, 25

*Microsoft Corp. v. Proxyconn, Inc.*,  
789 F.3d 1292, 1298 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....9

*Mintz v. Dietz & Watson, Inc.*,  
679 F. 3d 1372, 1379 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....16

*In re Fine*,  
837 F.2d 1071, 1076 (Fed. Cir. 1988). .....36

**Decisions of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board**

*Liberty Mutual Insurance Co. v. Progressive Casualty Insurance Co.*,  
CBM2013-00009, Final Written Decision at pg. 47 (Feb. 11, 2014). .....33

**Federal Statutes**

35 U.S.C. §103(a) .....1

**Federal Regulations**

37 C.F.R. § 1.321(a).....2

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b) .....8

37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e).....38

...

**PATENT OWNER'S LIST OF EXHIBITS**

- DSS-2001 U.S. Patent No. 5,699,357
- DSS-2002 Definition of “e.g.,” Black’s Law Dictionary (9th ed. 2009)
- DSS-2003 Myk Dormer, *Low Duty Cycle?*, Electronics World Magazine, Dec. 2008, *available at* <http://www.radiometrix.com/files/additional/Low-Duty-Cycle.pdf>
- DSS-2004 U.S. Pat. No. 7,558,232
- DSS-2005 U.S. Pat. No. 7,092,762
- DSS-2006 U.S. Pat. No. 7,049,620
- DSS-2007 U.S. Pat. No. 8,837,653
- DSS-2008 U.S. Pat. No. 8,727,561
- DSS-2009 Definition of “burst,” Chambers Dictionary of Science and Technology (1<sup>st</sup> ed. 1999)
- DSS-2010 Tom Sheldon, *Encyclopedia of Networking & telecommunications*, 549, (Lisa Wolters-Broder ed., McGraw Hill 2001)
- DSS-2011 U.S. Pat. No. 3,598,914
- DSS-2012 U.S. Pat. No. 6,983,031
- DSS-2013 Yurcik, William J., *Serial and Parallel Transmission*. Computer Sciences. 2002. Encyclopedia.com, *available at* <http://www.encyclopedia.com>
- DSS-2014 *Asynchronous HDLC MC68360 ASYNC HDLC Protocol Microcode User’s Manual*, 8, (Freescale Semiconductor, Inc. 1996)
- DSS-2015 Transcript of 08-27-2015 Deposition Testimony of Dr. Jack Duane Grimes

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.