### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MERCEDES-BENZ USA, LLC and MERCEDES-BENZ U.S. INTERNATIONAL, INC., Petitioners,

v.

INNOVATIVE DISPLAY TECHNOLOGIES LLC,

Patent Owner.

Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,300,194

IPR Case No.: To Be Assigned

MOTION FOR JOINDER PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 315(c) AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b)



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	STA	TEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED	1
II.	STA	TEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS	2
III.	STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED		
	A.	Joinder is appropriate because it will not impact the Board's ability to complete the review in a timely manner	6
	В.	Joinder will promote efficiency by consolidating issues, avoidin duplicate efforts, and preventing inconsistencies	_
	C.	Joinder will not prejudice IDT or LG	7
	D.	Without joinder, Petitioners may be prejudiced	9
IV.	COI	NCLUSION	9



## **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	Page(s)
Cases	
Dell Inc. v. Network-1 Security Sols., Inc., IPR2013-00385	5, 6
LG Display Co., Ltd. v. Innovative Display Technologies LLC, IPR2014-01097	9
SAP Am. Inc. v. Clouding IP, LLC, IPR2014-00306	7
Sony Corp. of Am. and Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Network-1 Security Sols., Inc., IPR2013-00495	7
Taiwan Semiconductor Mfg. Co. v. Zond, LLC, IPR2014-00781, - 00782	1
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 102(a)	3, 4
35 U.S.C. § 102(b)	3, 4
35 U.S.C. § 102(e)	3, 4
35 U.S.C. § 103	3, 4
35 U.S.C. § 315(c)	1, 4, 5
Regulations	
37 C.F.R. § 42.22	1
37 C F R 8 42 122(b)	1



## I. STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 315(c) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b), petitioners Mercedes-Benz USA, LLC and Mercedes-Benz U.S. International, Inc. (collectively, "Petitioners") respectfully request that they be joined as parties to the following pending (but not yet initiated) inter partes review proceeding concerning the same patent at issue here, U.S. Patent No. 7,300,194 ("the '194 Patent"): LG Display Co., Ltd. v. Innovative Display Technologies LLC, IPR2014-01097 (the "LG IPR"). Petitioners have filed concurrently herewith a "Petition for Inter Partes Review of Claims 1, 4-6, 16, 22, 23, 27, 28, and 31 of U.S. Patent No. 7,300,194," in which they assert the same grounds of invalidity as have been raised in the LG IPR. This Motion is timely under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.22 and 42.122(b) because it is being submitted before the LG IPR has been instituted. See Taiwan Semiconductor Mfg. Co. v. Zond, LLC, IPR2014-00781, -00782, Paper 5 (May 29, 2014) at 3; 37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b).

Petitioners respectfully submit that joinder of these proceedings is appropriate. Joinder will not impact the Board's ability to complete its review in the statutorily prescribed timeframe. Indeed, the invalidity grounds raised in this IPR are identical to the invalidity grounds raised in the LG IPR. Accordingly, joinder will ensure the Board's efficient and consistent resolution of the issues surrounding the invalidity of the '194 Patent. Moreover, joinder will not



prejudice the LG IPR parties because the scope and timing of the LG IPR proceeding should remain the same. Finally, the Board can implement procedures that are designed to minimize any impact to the schedule of the LG IPR, by requiring, for example, consolidated filings and coordination among petitioners. For these reasons and the reasons outlined herein, joinder should be granted.

### II. STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS

- 1. On April 24, 2014, Innovative Display Technologies LLC ("IDT" or "Patent Owner") filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas accusing Petitioners of infringing several patents, including the '194 Patent. *See Innovative Display Technologies LLC v. Mercedes-Benz U.S. International, Inc. and Mercedes-Benz USA, LLC*, 2:14-cv-00535-JRG (E.D. Tex.) (hereinafter, "the Underlying Litigation").
- 2. In its Complaint, IDT purports to be the owner of the '194 Patent.

  See id.
- 3. LG Display Co., Ltd. ("LG") filed a petition for *inter partes* review of the '194 Patent on July 1, 2014 (the "LG Petition"). *See* IPR2014-01097, Paper 2 (July 1, 2014).
- 4. IDT has asserted the '194 Patent against LG in co-pending litigation in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware. *See id.* at 1.



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

