

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC.  
Petitioner,

v.

CONTENTGUARD HOLDINGS, INC.,  
Patent Owner

Patent No. 7,774,280

Issued: August 10, 2010

Filed: October 4, 2004

Inventors: Nguyen, *et al.*

Title: System and Method for Managing Transfer of Rights Using Shared State Variables

*Inter Partes* Review No. IPR2015-00351

---

**PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**I. Compliance with Requirements of an *Inter Partes* Review Petition.....1**

**A. Certification that the Patent May Be Contested via *Inter Partes* Review by the Petitioner .....1**

**B. Fee for *Inter Partes* Review (§ 42.15(a)) .....1**

**C. Mandatory Notices (37 CFR § 42.8(b)) .....1**

    1. Real Party in Interest (§ 42.8(b)(1)) .....1

    2. Other Proceedings (§ 42.8(b)(2)) .....2

    3. Lead and Backup Lead Counsel (§ 42.8(b)(3)) .....2

    4. Service Information (§ 42.8(b)(4)) .....2

**D. Proof of Service (§§ 42.6(e) and 42.105(a)) .....2**

**II. Identification of Claims Being Challenged (§ 42.104(b)).....3**

**III. Relevant Information Concerning the Contested Patent .....3**

**A. Effective Filing Date of the '280 Patent.....3**

**B. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....4**

**B. Construction of Terms Used in the Claims.....5**

**IV. Precise Reasons for Relief Requested .....24**

**A. Claims 1-5, 8, 11-16, 19, 22, 24-28, 31 and 34 Are Unpatentable as Obvious Based on U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2002/0077984 (“Ireton”)(Ex. 1010) .....25**

    1. Overview of Ireton.....25

    2. Ireton Discloses Systems and Processes with Every Element of the Contested Claims .....26

        a) The Preamble of Independent Claims 1, 12 and 24 .....26

        b) “... obtaining a set of rights associated with an item, the set of rights including a meta-right specifying a right that can be created when the meta-right is exercised ...” .....27

        c) “...wherein the meta-right is provided in digital form and is enforceable by a repository...” .....29

d)	“...determining, by a repository, whether the rights consumer is entitled to the right specified by the meta-right...”	32
e)	“...exercising the meta-right to create the right specified by the meta-right if the rights consumer is entitled to the right specified by the meta-right...”	33
f)	“...wherein the created right includes at least one state variable based on the set of rights and used for determining a state of the created right.”	35
3.	<u>Ireton</u> Discloses the Elements and Features Recited in the Contested Dependent Claims	36
a)	Dependent claims 2, 4, 13, 15, 25 and 27	36
b)	Dependent claims 3, 14, and 26	37
c)	Dependent Claims 5, 16 and 28	37
d)	Dependent Claims 8, 19 and 31	38
4.	The Contested Claims Would Have Been Obvious Based on <u>Ireton</u> Alone or In View of Other Prior Art	39
a)	<u>Ireton</u> Makes Obvious a Range of Implementations of its Scheme	40
b)	<u>Ireton</u> Suggests Use of a Variety of Trusted Systems to Implement Its Scheme	42
c)	<u>Ireton</u> in view of <u>England</u> Would Have Rendered Obvious Claims 1-5, 8, 11-16, 19, 22, 24-28, 31 and 34	45
d)	<u>Ireton</u> in view of <u>Gruse</u> Would Have Rendered Obvious Claims 1-5, 8, 11-16, 19, 22, 24-28, 31 and 34	51

**Attachment A. Proof of Service of the Petition**

**Attachment B. List of Evidence and Exhibits Relied Upon in Petition**

**I. Compliance with Requirements of an *Inter Partes* Review Petition**

**A. Certification that the Patent May Be Contested via *Inter Partes* Review by the Petitioner**

Petitioner certifies that U.S. Patent No. 7,774,280 (Ex. 1001) (the '280 patent) is available for *inter partes* review. Petitioner also certifies it is not barred or estopped from requesting *inter partes* review of the claims of the '280 patent. Neither Petitioner, nor any party in privity with Petitioner, has filed a civil action challenging the validity of any claim of the '280 patent. The '280 patent has not been the subject of a prior *inter partes* review by Petitioner or a privity of Petitioner.

Petitioner certifies this petition for *inter partes* review is timely filed. Specifically, this petition is filed within one year of December 23, 2013, which is the date Apple was served with a complaint for patent infringement of the '280 patent in civil action No. 2:2013cv01112. That action is now pending in the Eastern District of Texas. Because the date of this petition is less than one year from December 23, 2013, this petition complies with 35 U.S.C. § 315(b).

**B. Fee for *Inter Partes* Review (§ 42.15(a))**

The Director is authorized to charge the fee specified by 37 CFR § 42.15(a) to Deposit Account No. 50-1597.

**C. Mandatory Notices (37 CFR § 42.8(b))**

**1. Real Party in Interest (§ 42.8(b)(1))**

The real party of interest of this petition pursuant to § 42.8(b)(1) is Apple

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,774,280

Inc. (“Apple”) located at One Infinite Loop, Cupertino, CA 95014.

**2. Other Proceedings (§ 42.8(b)(2))**

In addition to the action pending in the Eastern District of Texas, the '280 patent is the subject of three other petitions for *inter partes* review filed by Petitioner; namely, IPR2015-00352, IPR2015-00353, and IPR2015-00354. A related patent (U.S. 8,001,053) is subject to four petitions for *inter partes* review filed by Petitioner; namely, IPR2015-00355, IPR2015-00356, IPR2015-00357, and IPR2015-00358.

**3. Lead and Backup Lead Counsel (§ 42.8(b)(3))**

<u>Lead Counsel</u>	<u>Backup Lead Counsel</u>
Jeffrey P. Kushan	Michael Franzinger
Reg. No. 43,401	Reg. No. 46,335
<a href="mailto:jkushan@sidley.com">jkushan@sidley.com</a>	iprnotices@sidley.com
(202) 736-8914	(202) 736-8583

**4. Service Information (§ 42.8(b)(4))**

Service on Petitioner may be made by e-mail (iprnotices@sidley.com), mail or hand delivery to: Sidley Austin LLP, 1501 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20005. The fax number for lead and backup lead counsel is (202) 736-8711.

**D. Proof of Service (§§ 42.6(e) and 42.105(a))**

Proof of service of this petition is provided in **Attachment A**.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.