- 1 embodiment of Conwell that does the neighbor search,
- 2 we've got the Element (b), those digitally created
- 3 compact electronic representations of a first
- 4 electronic work, because we're taking a robust hash
- 5 value of our unknown work?
- 6 A Right. So just to give a specific
- 7 example, you have an original song; you apply this
- 8 hashing -- this robust hashing algorithm; you obtain
- 9 a 128-bit sequence, which translates to Number 198.
- 10 Okay? So it goes in your database.
- Then you have a version of that song which
- 12 is not identical. It could be, say, MP3 recorded at
- 13 a different sampling rate. You apply -- you go
- 14 through this procedure; you apply a robust hash
- 15 algorithm, and if indeed, you know, that song was
- 16 similar, with very high probability the hash values
- 17 will be the same; and therefore, we obtain, again,
- 18 198.
- 19 So then we simply do a lookup in the
- 20 table, and we have two songs that are simply a
- 21 version of each other, and because they map to the
- 22 exact same identifier, 198, they are deemed to -- to
- 23 be similar, and so they are in the neighborhood of
- 24 each other.
- 25 Q Element (c) says we're NETWORK-1 EXHIBIT 2006
 Google Inc. v. Network-1 Technologies, Inc.



- 1 embodiment of Conwell that does the neighbor search,
- 2 we've got the Element (b), those digitally created
- 3 compact electronic representations of a first
- 4 electronic work, because we're taking a robust hash
- 5 value of our unknown work?
- 6 A Right. So just to give a specific
- 7 example, you have an original song; you apply this
- 8 hashing -- this robust hashing algorithm; you obtain
- 9 a 128-bit sequence, which translates to Number 198.
- 10 Okay? So it goes in your database.
- Then you have a version of that song which
- 12 is not identical. It could be, say, MP3 recorded at
- 13 a different sampling rate. You apply -- you go
- 14 through this procedure; you apply a robust hash
- 15 algorithm, and if indeed, you know, that song was
- 16 similar, with very high probability the hash values
- 17 will be the same; and therefore, we obtain, again,
- 18 198.
- 19 So then we simply do a lookup in the
- 20 table, and we have two songs that are simply a
- 21 version of each other, and because they map to the
- 22 exact same identifier, 198, they are deemed to -- to
- 23 be similar, and so they are in the neighborhood of
- 24 each other.
- 25 Q Element (c) says we're going to be



- 1 comparing the first electronic data with the second
- 2 digitally created compact electronic representation
- 3 using a nonexhaustive neighbor search.
- 4 Do you see that?
- 5 A Yes. That's 13(c); right?
- 6 O Yes.
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Is that, as you understand, Conwell --
- 9 withdrawn.
- 10 Is it your testimony that Conwell teaches
- 11 Element (c) when it teaches using a robust hash
- 12 approach, where it uses a lookup table to compare
- 13 one hash value of the unknown work to hash values
- 14 that are in the database?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q In the embodiment in Conwell that --
- 17 withdrawn.
- 18 Would you agree that Conwell teaches some
- 19 things are not a neighbor search?
- 20 A I will have to read the entire patent. I
- 21 mean, clearly, the intent is to map similar songs to
- 22 the same identifier. So that is the whole point of
- 23 the patent.
- 24 Q Well, if -- does Conwell teach using a
- 25 nonrobust hash?



- In other words, does it say that we can
- 2 use a nonrobust hash, or does it say the only thing
- 3 you can ever use in this is a robust hash?
- A We have to read the entire patent.
- 5 Clearly, the intent is to use -- it teaches,
- 6 actually, using a robust hash. It teaches that.
- 7 Q That's one of the embodiments; right?
- 8 A It is the -- the main one. That's my
- 9 opinion --
- 10 Q All right. The main one.
- 11 A Huh?
- 12 0 It's the main one?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q But it teaches other things as well;
- 15 right?
- 16 A It teaches many things, yes.
- 17 Q Now, does it teach some things that would
- 18 not be a neighbor search?
- 19 A I would have to read the whole patent
- 20 again. I focused on the neighbor search.
- 21 Q By "focused on the neighbor search," you
- 22 mean you focused on the embodiment that uses the
- 23 robust hash; right?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q All right. Then let me see how that maps



Page 196

- 1 onto the claim, because I'm not following you.
- 2 If we look at Claim 13, we've got a
- 3 database that includes various things.
- 4 That's Element (a); right?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Among those are "First electronic data,
- 7 including a first digitally created compact
- 8 electronic representation of one or more referenced
- 9 electronic works"; right?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q In Conwell in the embodiment you're
- 12 pointing to, the "electronic works" are various
- 13 digital songs, right, in a database?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q In Conwell in the embodiment you're
- 16 pointing to, is the "compact electronic
- 17 representation" the hash value?
- 18 A Yes, it is.
- 19 Q Now, the next element, (a) (2), is
- 20 "electronic data related to an action."
- 21 Do you see that?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q And it relates to an advertisement.
- 24 Do you see that?
- 25 A Yes.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

