

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Google Inc.  
Petitioner,  
v.  
Network-1 Technologies, Inc.  
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-00345  
U.S. Patent 8,205,237

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Google Inc.  
Petitioner,  
v.  
Network-1 Technologies, Inc.  
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-00347  
U.S. Patent 8,010,988

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Google Inc.  
Petitioner,  
v.  
Network-1 Technologies, Inc.  
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-00343  
U.S. Patent 8,640,179

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Google Inc.  
Petitioner,  
v.  
Network-1 Technologies, Inc.  
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-00348  
U.S. Patent 8,656,441

## Iwamura

- '237 Ground 1
- '237 Ground 3
- '988 Ground 3

## Ghias

- '237 Ground 2
- '988 Ground 1
- '988 Ground 2
- '179 Ground 2
- '441 Ground 2

## Conwell

- '179 Ground 1
- '441 Ground 1

# Iwamura

	Independent claim	Key missing elements
'237	1	sub-linear time search identify a neighbor
	5	sub-linear time search identify a neighbor
	9	approximate nearest neighbor
	13	approximate nearest neighbor
	25	identify a neighbor non-exhaustive search
	33	sublinear approximate nearest neighbor
'988	15	non-exhaustive search identifying a neighbor

# Iwamura

	Independent claim	Key missing elements
'237	1	<b>sub-linear time search</b> identify a neighbor
	5	<b>sub-linear time search</b> identify a neighbor
	9	approximate nearest neighbor
	13	approximate nearest neighbor
	25	identify a neighbor non-exhaustive search
	33	<b>sublinear</b> approximate nearest neighbor
'988	15	non-exhaustive search search identifying a neighbor

## Petition asserts that Boyer-Moore algorithm is sublinear:

Iwamura determines an identification of the media work using the extracted features by "find[ing] the closest melody from the database," which is a neighbor. 9:25-38, 12:1-2. Iwamura discloses searching using the "Boyer-Moore algorithm" (9:63-64, 10:1-3), which is sublinear (Ex. 1017 at 1). Ex. 1004 at ¶ 72.

'237 Petition 10-11  
'237 Moulin Decl. (1004) ¶75

72. It is my opinion that Iwamura further teaches how this search is sublinear. For example, Iwamura discloses that different "search algorithms" can be applied to perform melody searches," (*id.* at 10:2-3), such as the "Boyer-Moore algorithm," (*id.* at 9:63). "On the average the [Boyer-Moore] algorithm exhibits sublinear behaviour." Ex. 1017 at 1.

'237, Moulin Decl. (1004) ¶75

23 Q The only thing you identify in your  
24 Declaration about Iwamura that could disclose a  
25 sublinear time search is the Boyer-Moore algorithm;  
1 correct?  
2 A As far as I remember, yes. In that  
3 Declaration at that time, yes.

Moulin (A2006-Part 1) 82:23-83:3

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.