- a. United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (2009);
- b. United States District Court for the District of Columbia (2007); and
- c. United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas (2013).
- 2. I have not been suspended or disbarred from practice before any court or administrative body;
- 3. I have never had an application for admission to practice before any court or administrative body denied;
- 4. I have never had sanctions or contempt citations imposed by any court or administrative body against me;
- 5. I have read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of 37 C.F.R.;
- 6. I will be subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 et. seq. and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a);
- 7. I am currently applying for *pro hac vice* status before the Board in connection with the following matters: IPR2015-00321, IPR2015-00322, IPR2015-00323,

9. I am an experienced litigation attorney and have been involved in numerous patent infringement cases in the U.S. International Trade Commission and in federal District Courts across the country;

vice status before the board in any other proceeding in the last time (3) years,

- 10. I have significant experience in all aspects of patent infringement matters including depositions, claim construction hearings, and various stages of trial;
- 11. I have served as counsel for Petitioner LG Electronics, Inc. in numerous litigation matters at the U.S. International Trade Commission and in federal District Courts involving electrical technologies ranging from integrated circuit packaging, to bi-directional display communication interfaces, to liquid crystal display panel technology, to LTE standards;
- 12. While I am not a counsel of record in co-pending litigation (*Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. et al. v. LG Electronics, Inc. et al.*, Case No. 5:14-cv-1012 (N.D. Cal.)), I have reviewed and am familiar with the claim construction and invalidity contentions made so far in the co-pending litigation;
- 13. I have reviewed and am familiar with the challenged patents (U.S. Patent Nos. 7,095,945, 6,784,879, 6,889,332, 6,895,520, 7,742,053, 6,897,871, 5,898,849, 6,266,715, and 7,327,369) in each of the IPR proceedings (IPR2015-00321,

15. I have reviewed all accompanying exhibits for each of the Petitions, including the prior art references.

I have reviewed and am familiar with each reduction for the chancinged patents,

16. Accordingly, I am familiar with the subject matter at issue in the *inter partes* review proceedings for the challenged patents.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: February 4, 2015

and

Michael W. Maas

Mayer Brown LLP

1999 K Street, NW

Washington, DC 20006

Respectfully submitted,

(202) 263-3138